




Combined earthquake hazard map Hutt Valley











Earthquake hazard mitigation measures				
Hazard	Effect on ground	Effect on facilities	Mitigation options: existing facilities	Mitigation options: planned facilities
Fault movement	Ground disturbances vertically and horizontally over a zone depends on depth to rock below surface. Cracks in land surface.	Upheaval, tearing apart, movement of foundations, severe damage to structures which cross the fault.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: Options: strengthen to survive move facilities from fault zone limit damage by providing weak links or isolation	Verify. Assess impact. Options: construct facilities elsewhere incorporate special strengthening provide weak links or special isolation to limit damage
Ground shaking	Violent horizontal and vertical motions for up to one minute duration.	Cracking, fracture, collapse of buildings. Breaks in underground services. Deformation of surface infrastructure.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: ostengthen or base isolate secure/improve vulnerable parts limit damage by providing weak links or isolation.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: comply with current codes for design and construction incorporate strength and resilience secure vulnerable parts and contents
Liquefaction	Shaking causes some soils to behave like liquid, causing loss of support to structures above. Such soils may be up to 10m below ground surface. Lateral movement of large soil masses, especially adjacent to rivers. Variable subsidence of ground surface.	Sinking and tilting of structures supported on liquefied material. Severe damage to underground services. Flotation of empty underground tanks and chambers.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: install piles install graved drains drain liquefiable layers prepare for quick reinstatement	Verify. Assess impact. Options: compact ground at site install piles and gravel drains drain liqueflable layers
Slope failure	A significant soil masses moves bodily down the slope, from few hundred millimetres to many metres. Landsidies occur at many different locations.	Ranges from deformation of foundations and structural failures to total destruction of site and all buildings and infrastructure above and below ground.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: a) stabilise slope – retaining walls stabilise slope – ground anchors improve drainage, reduce erosion	Verify. Assess impact. Options: Ind a better site stabilise slope retaining walls stabilise slope – ground anchors improve drainage, reduce erosion
Tsunami	Land flooded. Scouring action erodes soil dramatically	Flooding of basements. Undermining/destruction of surface infrastructure. Exposure/ damage to underground services. Undermining of foundations. Bodily movement of some structures, equipment, vehicles etc.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: construct protective sea walls shift critical facilities to higher level	Verify. Assess impact. Grons: Grons: Gronstruct protective sea walls design special foundations / dikes put critical facilities at high level

n recognition of the earthquake hazard in the Region, the Greater Wellington Regional Council has carried out studies on ground surface rupture from activating, in ground shaking, liquefaction potential and associated ground damage, skepe faithur and Issumani inundation (Wellington Harbour). Single factor azard mays have been produced by Constert Wellington for each of these earthquake hazards.

ined earthquake hazard map is a generalised map of earthquake hazard reflecting possible effects on a typical range of facilities (buildings, roads, etc). The methodology has involved broad assessments of many factors which determine the effects of earthquakes.

e hazard assessment methodologies developed for each of the earthquake hazard components and the methodology used to combine and present ard information impose certain qualifications and limitations on the use of the information. Details on the qualifications and limitations, and asset which dologies of the component earthquake hazard studies are available from Greater Wellington. The methodology used to combine the various thquake hazards are described in the Greater Wellington Report on Mapping Methodology and Risk Mitigation Measures WRC/RP-T-96/22.

- Notes on earthquake hazard mitigation measures

 1. Check that the broad indication of hazard from the maps is correct for a particular site. (In many case, this could prove cost-effective towards mitigation.)

 2. Obtain professional advice on implications and available countermeasures.
- Mitigation options shown are in brief general terms. Professional advice will be needed to account for particular circums

Single component hazard maps
These combine to produce the Combined Earthquake Hazard Maps. Maps of the single components (ground shaking, liquefaction and earthquake induc slope failund are available from the Hazard Analyst at Conster Wellington.

