Combined earthquake hazard map Porirua City











Earthquake Hazard Mitigation Measures				
Hazard	Effect on ground	Effect on facilities	Mitigation options: existing facilities	Mitigation options: planne facilities
Fault movement	Ground disturbances vertically and horizontally over a zone depends on depth to rock below surface. Cracks in land surface.	Upheaval, tearing apart, movement of foundations, severe damage to structures which cross the fault.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: ory failties from fault zone ilimit damage by providing weak links or isolation	Verify. Assess impact. Options: incorporate special strengthening provide weak links or special isolation to limit damage
Ground shaking	Violent horizontal and vertical motions for up to one minute duration.	Cracking, fracture, collapse of buildings. Breaks in underground services. Deformation of surface infrastructure.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: orighten or base isolate secure/improve vulnerable parts limit damage by providing weak links or isolation.	Verify: Assess impact. Options: oronstruction incorporate strength and resilience secure vulnerable parts and contents
Liquefaction	Shaking causes some soils to behave like liquid, causing loss of support to structures above. Such soils may be up to 10m below ground surface. Lateral novement of large soil masses, especially adjacent to rivers. Variable subsidence of ground surface.	Sinking and tilting of structures supported on liquefied material. Severe damage to underground services. Floation of empty underground tanks and chambers.	Verify. Assess impact. Gotions: install piles install gravel drains drain liquefable layees prepare for quick reinstatement	Verify. Assess impact. Gotions: compact ground at site install piles and gravel drains drain liquefiable layers
Slope failure	A significant soil masses moves bodily down the slope, from few hundred millimeters to many metres. Landslides occur at many different locations.	Ranges from deformation of foundations and structural failures to total destruction of site and all buildings and infrastructure above and below ground.	Verify. Assess impact. Gptions: stabilise slope – retaining walls stabilise slope – ground anchors improve drainage, reduce erosion	Verify. Assess impact. Options: ind a better site stabilize slope retaining walls stabilize slope - ground anchors improve drainage, reduce erosion
Tsunami	Land flooded. Scouring action erodes soil dramatically	Flooding of basements. Undermining/destruction of surface infrastructure. Exposure/ damage to underground services. Undermining of foundations. Bodily movement of some structures, equipment, vehicles etc.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: orstruct protective sea walls shift critical facilities to higher level	Verify. Assess impact. Options: Options: Ind a better site onstruct protective sea walls design special foundations / diles put critical facilities at high level

Background statement

recognition of the earthquake hazard in the Region, the Greater Wellington Regional Council has carried out studies on ground surface rupture from acti utiling ground shaling, liquetaction potential and associated ground damage, slope failure and sustami imundation (Wellington Harbour). Single factor azard maps have been produced by Greater Wellington for each of these earthquake hazards.

eet is part of a series of four map sheets showing the combined earthquake hazard for the main urban areas in the western part of the Wellingt map series is one of Greater Wellington's natural hazard education and awareness initiatives. ed earthquake hazard map is a generalised map of earthquake hazard reflecting possible effects on a typical range of facilities (buildings, roads,). The methodology has involved broad assessments of many factors which determine the effects of earthquakes.

This map series was prepared for Greater Wellington by Ian R Brown Associates Ltd in association with Kingston Morrison Ltd and Victoria University of

assessment methodologies developed for each of the earthquake hazard components and the methodology used to combine and present ormation impose certain qualifications and limitations on the use of the information. Details on the qualifications and limitations, and assigned the various gies of the component earthquake hazard studies are available from Greater Wellington. The methodology used to ombine the various e hazards are described in the Greater Wellington Report on Mapping Methodology and Risk Mitigation Measures WRC/RP-T96/22. mation provided on these maps cannot be substituted for a site specific investigation. The site specific potential for and consequent damage from lting, amplified ground shaking, liquefaction, slope failure, and tsunami inundation should be assessed by qualified and experienced practitioner.

Sibliographic reference ineuter Wellington Regional Council (1996). Sheet 2 Porirua (1st ed.) Combined Earthquake Hazard Map 1:30000, Pub. No. WRC/RP-T-96/15 Greater Wellington Regional Council, Wellington, New Zealand.

- rtes on earthquake hazard mitigation measures Check that the broad indication of hazard from the maps is correct for a particular site. (In many case, this could prove cost-effective towards mitigation.) Obtain provisional advice on implications and available countermeasures.
- Mitigation options shown are in brief general terms. Professional advice will be needed to account for particular circum
- Single component hazard maps These combine to produce the Combined Earthquake Hazard Maps. Maps of the single components (ground shaking, liquefaction and earthquake indi siope failure) are available from the Hazard Analyst at Creative Weilington.

Copyright: Wellington Regional Council. The topographic information used in this map has been reproduced under licence from Land Information New Zealand (LINZ). Crown Copyright Reserved.

