Submitter ID:

File No:



Further Submission

on Proposed Natural Resources
Plan for the Wellington Region



Fold here

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

Please complete this form to make a further submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region (PNRP). All sections of this form need to be completed for the submission to be accepted.

A further submission may only be made by a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or a person that has an interest in the PNRP greater than the interest that the general public has, or the Wellington Regional Council itself. A further submission must be limited to a matter in support of, or in opposition to, a submission made on the PNRP.

For information on making a further submission see the Ministry for the Environment website: www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/everyday-guide-rma-making-submission-about-proposed-plan-or-plan-change

Return your signed further submission to the Wellington Regional Council by post or email by 5pm Tuesday 29 March 2016 to:

Greater Wellington Regional Council Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region Freepost 3156 PO Box 11646 Manners Street Wellington 6142 Regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Fold here

FreePost Authority Number 3156







Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM

This is a further submission in support of, or opposition to, a submission on the PNRP.

A. DETAILS OF FURTHER SUBMITTER

FULL NAME					
Charles Yates Grant					
ORGANISATION (* the organisation	that this submission is made on behalf of)			
KRL Developments Limited		,			
ADDRESS FOR SERVICE (INCLU	JDING POSTCODE)				
PO Box 577 Wanaka 9343					
PHONE	FAX				
0274314089	None				
EMAIL					
landgroup@xtra.co.nz					
Specify below the grounds for saying the KRL Developments Ltd have an in considering development of that I		icked. load Ota	iki and have for so	the course of studie	
Service of your further submi					
•	by of this further submission on the ori ovided to Wellington Regional Council.	_	bmitter no later tha	n five working days a	ıfter
-	on a number of original submissions, ther		of your further subm	ission will need to be s	erved
Signature: C Y Grant		Date:	29/03/16		
Signature of person making further sub- signature is not required if you make you	bmission or person authorised to sign on bour submission by electronic means.	oehalf of p	person making the fo	urther submission. A	
Please note					
	ubmission under the Resource Manageme website and will include all personal details		•		
B. APPEARANCE AT HEAR	ING				

Please select from the following:

☐I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or

- ☑I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and, if so,
- ☑I would be prepared to consider presenting this further submission in a joint case with others making a similar further submission at any hearing.

Please enter further submission points in the table on the following pages

C. FURTHER SUBMISSION POINTS

Please complete the following table with details of which original submission points you support and/or oppose, and why, adding further rows as necessary.

Details of the submission you are commenting on Name of person/ group making original submission and postal address.	Original submission number The original submission number can be found on the submitter	Position Whether you support or oppose the submission.	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose Indicate which parts of the original submission (which submission points) you support or oppose, together with any relevant PNRP provisions.	Reasons Why you support or oppose each submission point.	Relief sought The part or whole of each submission point you wish to be allowed or disallowed.
e.g. Joanne Bloggs 12 Pine Tree Avenue Redwood	address list. e.g. submitter S102	e.g. Oppose	e.g. Oppose all of submission point S102/41	e.g. The submission point does not recognise	e.g. Disallow the parts of \$102/41 relating to
Porirua City Council PO Box 50218, Porirua	163/117	Support	Whole submission	Agree that requiring two separate applications is unreasonable and unnecessary.	Allow the relief sought by the Submitter
	163/ 119	Support	Relief Sought	There are often situations where minor encroachments may be appropriate into the margins of defined wetland areas, especially given that the definition of the boundaries of wetland designations are not always precise and the mapping methodology uses lines which in ground terms are some metres thick. A prohibited activity status is a blunt instrument.	Allow the relief sought by the Submitter
Waa Rata Estate 149 Terrace Road Waikanae RD1 Kapiti Coast	152/002	Support	Whole Submission	The concept of Restoration Management Plans is supported, however the process currently proposed in the plan (Rule 106, Schedule F3a and definitions) is poorly defined and cumbersome.	Allow the relief sought by the Submitter by amending and consolidating the following: Rule106; Schedule F3a and the Definition of a Restoration Management Plan.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				Two approval processes are required and who has responsibility for preparation and approval of the plans is vague. The end result is likely to be a low implementation rate.	
	152/080	Support	Part related to Rule106	Similar reasoning to 152/02 above	Allow the relief sought by the Submitter
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand. PO Box 631 Wellington 6140	353/143	Oppose	Whole Submission	The submitter requests Rules 104- 106 be retained. As per other cross submissions KRL is supporting submissions have requested that Rule 106 be modified	Disallow in so far as the rules be modified in accordance with the changes outlined in other cross submissions.
	353/147	Support in part/ Oppose in part	Support with respect to highlighting an issue with the drafting of Rule 111and what is a reclamation, but oppose the relief sought	The submitter correctly highlights a problem with the term "reclamation" as it is used in Rule 111. This problem is further confused by referencing the Definitions in Section 2.2 where the definition of Reclamation provided is specific to the coastal marine area. Rule 111 on the other hand is specific to "Outstanding Natural Wetlands", unlikely to occur in the Coastal Marine Area. The relief sought by the submitter retains the word reclamation and is too broad as any activity whatsoever has the potential to "change the characteristics of a wetland"	Delete the word reclamation and replace with the actual activities that are prohibited.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
Maypole Environmental Ltd PO Box 10-283 Wellington	143/015	Support	Whole Submission	Highlights shortcomings in the Proposed Plan with respect to Wetland Management Plans	Allow the relief sought by the Submitter
Womington	143/016	Support	Whole Submission	Highlights shortcomings in the Proposed Plan with respect to Wetland Management Plans	Allow the relief sought by the Submitter
Minister of Conservation RMA Shared Services Private Bag 3072 Hamilton 3240	75/145	Oppose	The relief sought," to retain as notified".	Conflicts with modifications requested to Rule 106.	Disallow in so far as the rule be modified in accordance with the changes outlined in other cross submissions.
Tidilinicon 62-40	75/150	Oppose	The relief sought," to retain as notified."	Conflicts with modifications requested to Rule 111.	Disallow in so far as the rule be modified in accordance with the changes outlined in other cross submissions.
Ian Jensen 182 Te Hapua Road Otaki	176/019	Support	Whole Submission	Access is fundamental to being able to manage and restore wetlands.	Allow. The new rule could potentially form part of a modified Rule 106.
	176/020	Support	Whole Submission	Highlights shortcomings in the Proposed Plan with respect to the potential content of, the preparation and approval of Wetland Management Plans.	Allow the relief sought by the Submitter.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand. PO Box 715 Wellington 6140	352/269	Support	Whole Submission	Highlights shortcomings in the process used for the identification of outstanding water bodies	Allow the relief sought by the submitter

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought			

If you require more space for additional comments, please insert new rows as needed