



Further Submission by

## Rangitāne Tū Mai Rā Trust and Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc

on the

Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region pursuant to Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

| Го: | Greater Wellington Regional Council |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
|     |                                     |

P O Box 11646 Wellington 6142

**Further Submission from:** Rangitāne Tū Mai Rā Trust and Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc ("Rangitāne")

Further Submission on: Proposed Natural Resources Plan notified on 31 July 2015 with summary of submissions notified on 26 February

2016.

**Provisions the submission relates to:** The particular parts of submissions (including the relevant provisions), Rangitāne's support or opposition to

those submissions, the reasons for this support or opposition, and the decision sought are contained in detail on

the following pages.

**Status as a further submitter:** Rangitāne represents a relevant aspect of the public interest. Rangitāne also has an interest in the proposal that is

greater than the interest the general public has. Rangitāne has mana whenua in Wairarapa and has a kaitiakitanga role within our rohe. Our connection to this land began when Kupe first set foot on these shores back in the 12th Century. His people settled along the eastern and southern coastlines. Later on Whatonga first arrived at Te Rangiwhakaoma (Castlepoint) some 30+ generations ago. This signalled the arrival of the Kurahaupo people and the iwi of Rangitāne. Our people have lived here continuously since then. The Proposed Plan has a direct effect

on the relationship Rangitane and our culture and traditions have with the natural and physical resources in

Wairarapa.

Hearing: Rangitāne Tū Mai Rā Trust and Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc. wish to be heard in support of our further

submission, and will consider presenting a joint case at any hearing with other parties presenting on similar

matters.

## Signed by:

Jason Kerehi

for Rangitāne Tū Mai Rā Trust

Horipo Rimene

Pou-tiaki-whenua

Ph: 06 3700608

Rangitáne o Wairarapa Inc.

**Date:** 29 March 2016

**Address for service:** C/- Rangitāne o Wairarapa Inc

12 Kokiri Place PO Box 354 Masterton 5810 Attn: Horipo Rimene

Telephone: (06) 370 0600

Email: horipo@rangitane.iwi.

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                           | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa              | \$300/002                  | Objective O3: Mauri                      | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa              | \$300/004                  | 6. Methods                               | Support  | Encourages more natural approach to the management of stormwater and flood risk and often results in improved water quality and is supported by Rangitāne. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa              | \$300/009                  | 3.4 Natural character, form and function | Support  | The form, function and Mauri of waterways in Wairarapa are of great importance to Rangitāne.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa              | \$300/011                  | 3.7 Sites with significant values        | Support  | Indigenous flora and fauna are highly valued by Rangitāne.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa              | \$300/014                  | Policy P20: Exercise of kaitiakitanga    | Support  | This aligns with the definition of Kaitiakitanga in the RMA, which recognises that it is an active relationship.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                           | Rangitāne Support or Oppose Primary Submission             | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/018                   | Category 1 surface water body            | Oppose   | Is not consistent with achieving the objectives of the Plan.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/019                  | Category 2 surface water body            | Oppose   | Is not consistent with achieving the objectives of the Plan.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New            | S352/028                   | Good management practice                 | Oppose   | GMPs don't need to be industry agreed - they   | Disallow                                  |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Zealand                             |                            |                                 |  | need to be effective practices.   | submission point                          |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/032                  | Highly modified river or stream | Oppose   | The extent of highly modified water courses is unlikely to be effectively mapped and a map will not show newly modified watercourses over time.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/023                  | Drain                           | Oppose   | The change reduces the effectiveness of rules controlling discharges of contaminants and other activities.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/024                   | Earthworks                      | Oppose   | The change reduces the effectiveness of rules controlling discharges of contaminants and other activities. Effects of those activities can be significant.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/021                   | Cultural impact assessment      | Oppose   | The changes do not reflect important aspects of cultural impact assessments and overly restrict such assessments.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/034                   | Mahinga kai                     | Support in part  | Including examples may assist but does not recognise that mahinga kai encompasses more than just food species - mahinga kai reflects the habitat and processes that support the particular species. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/035                  | Mana whenua                     | Oppose   | The changes are not consistent with the definition of mana whenua.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/039                  | Outstanding natural wetland     | Oppose   | Definition provides useful cross reference for Plan users   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/040                   | Point source discharge          | Oppose   | Unnecessary deletions   | Disallow<br>submission                    |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                        | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 5 1                                 | 6050/014                   |                                       |  |   | point                                     |
| Federated Farmers of New Zealand    | S352/041                   | Property                              | Oppose   | Unnecessary cross-referencing.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/042                   | Regionally significant infrastructure | Oppose   | The listed additions are not regionally significant. If these activities are included, significant consequential changes to the Plan would be required to include additional controls on regionally significant infrastructure. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/043                  | Restoration management plan           | Oppose   | Proposed changes remove rigour and certainty for RMPs.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/045                  | Sensitive area                        | Oppose   | Changes reduce effectiveness of provisions of the Plan in achieving objectives.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/046                  | Significant natural wetland           | Oppose   | Changes reduce effectiveness of provisions.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/049                  | Stock crossing point                  | Oppose   | Changes reduce effectiveness of provisions.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/050                  | 2.2 Definitions                       | Oppose   | Rangitāne is opposed to livestock access to waterways.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/048                   | Stabilised                            | Oppose   | The effects of farm earthworks are no different to earthworks on other sites.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New            | S352/051                   | Surface water body                    | Oppose   | Changes reduce effectiveness of provisions.   | Disallow                                  |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                          | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Zealand                             |                            |   |  |  | submission point                          |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/052                   | Vegetation clearance                    | Oppose   | Changes reduce effectiveness of provisions.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/053                  | 3.1 Ki uta ki tai: mountains to the sea | Oppose   | Retain definition as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/056                   | Objective O5: Fresh and coastal water   | Oppose   | Requested change is not consistent with achieving objectives of the Plan, the RPS, the NPSFM or Part 2 RMA.                        | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/058                  | Objective O7: Water for livestock       | Oppose   | The specific limits and standards to achieve the objectives should be included in the relevant tables.                             | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/062                   | Objective O11: Maori customary use      | Oppose   | Retain reference to natural wetlands in the objective  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/065                   | 3.2 Beneficial use and development      | Oppose   | Inappropriate additional objective   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/066                   | 3.2 Beneficial use and development      | Oppose   | Inappropriate additional objective   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/067                   | Objective O16: Nga Taonga Nui a<br>Kiwa | Oppose   | Rangitāne's relationship with all water bodies and their mauri are significant. Changes requested are inconsistent with s6(e) RMA. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/068                   | Objective O17: Natural character        | Oppose   | Does not achieve the requirements of s6(a) of the Act.   | Disallow submission                       |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/093                   | Objective O35: Significant indigenous biodiversity values  | Oppose   | Requested change is not consistent with Part 2 RMA. Sentiment of working in partnership with landowners is supported, however the objective does not need to be specific as to who is responsible for achieving outcomes.   | point Disallow submission point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/079                   | Objective O25: Aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai    | Oppose   | Requested change is inconsistent with achieving objectives and the NPSFM.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/080                   | Objective O25: Aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai    | Oppose   | Requested change is inconsistent with achieving objectives and the NPSFM.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/081                  | Objective O25: Aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai    | Oppose   | Requested change is inconsistent with achieving objectives and the NPSFM.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/097                  | 3.8 Air  | Oppose   | This is a land use approach better suited to district plans. Many activities emit odour, smoke or dust so inefficient to control sensitive activities without defining scale or significance of discharges to be avoided. Also assumes significant adverse effects from emitting activities is appropriate, which is inconsistent with s5, RMA. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/082                   | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Oppose   | The Plan should address resource management issues now. Whaitua process should only be a refinement process rather than leaving issues to be resolved by whaitua.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New Zealand    | S352/099                   | Objective O44: Land use impacts on soil and water          | Oppose   | Significantly changes the focus of the objective and its weakening its focus. A principally non-  | Disallow submission                       |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                                     |                            |   |  | regulatory approach is unlikely to be effective.   | point                                     |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/100                   | Objective O45: Livestock access to waterbodies        | Oppose   | Rangitāne is opposed to livestock access to waterways. The requested change creates a contradiction in the objective.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/103                   | Objective O47: Sediment runoff                        | Oppose   | Significantly reduces the scope of the objective. Farming and other activities are major sources of sediment-laden run-off, particularly in Wairarapa.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/108                   | Objective O52: Efficient allocation                   | Oppose   | Retain as notified (with exception of deletion of e) as per Rangitāne primary submission). Long consent duration is not appropriate in catchments that are already or are close to over-allocation. Water transfers between catchments is not supported. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/111                   | Policy P3: Precautionary approach                     | Oppose   | Requested changes do not reflect a precautionary approach.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/112                   | 4.1 Ki uta ki tai and integrated catchment management | Support in part  | Improvements in the research and information gathering programmes supporting good resource management is important.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/115                   | 4.1 Ki uta ki tai and integrated catchment management | Oppose   | Unnecessary policy.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/117                   | Policy P8: Beneficial activities                      | Oppose   | Disagree with the additional activities considered by the submitter to be beneficial   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/125                   | 4.2 Beneficial use and development                    | Oppose   | Unnecessary provision with little justification  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                            | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/126                  | 4.2 Beneficial use and development        | Oppose   | Unnecessary provision with little justification. Several requests are inconsistent with the NPSFM methodology for setting and meeting limits, and elevates use and development above s5 RMA bottom lines.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/127                  | Policy P11: In-stream water storage       | Oppose   | Redundant change.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/128                   | 4.2 Beneficial use and development        | Oppose   | Unnecessary provision with little justification in and is not consistent with the NPSFM methodology for setting and meeting limits, and elevates use and development above s5 RMA bottom lines.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/129                   | 4.2 Beneficial use and development        | Oppose   | Regional Councils are only responsible for including policies in relation to actual or potential effects on land with regional significance. Rangitāne disagree that primary production should fall under this category and therefore, a new policy is not required. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/131                  | Policy P22: Ecosystem values of estuaries | Oppose   | Completely changes the intent of the policy and does not ensure future protection of ecosystem values of estuaries.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/137                   | Policy P30: Natural buffers               | Oppose   | Retain policy as notified. Wetlands are important natural buffers for natural hazard mitigation.  Major loss of wetlands in Wairarapa has in part driven the use of engineered flood mitigation activities like stopbanks.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New            | S352/140                   | Policy P32: Adverse effects on            | Oppose   | Change is not consistent with accepted use of off-   | Disallow                                  |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Zealand                             |                            | aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai  |  | setting.  | submission point                          |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/147                   | Policy P40: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values                             | Oppose   | Policy is significantly weakened with the amendments sought by the submitter.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/149                   | Policy P41: Managing adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values | Oppose   | Oppose the removal of a precautionary approach to the assessment of adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats with significant biodiversity values.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/156                   | 4.8 Discharges to land and water  | Oppose   | While some aspects of the requested policy have merit in their own right, the policy is not a 'land and water management framework'.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/164                   | Policy P70: Managing point source discharges for aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai                       | Oppose   | Requested change is not consistent with achieving objectives of the Plan, the RPS, the NPSFM or Part 2 RMA.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/165                   | Policy P71: Quality of discharges   | Support in part  | Suggestion of management should be amended to avoided, remedied or mitigated as per the requirements of the Act.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/174                   | Policy P99: Livestock access to surface water bodies  | Oppose   | Rangitāne is opposed to livestock access to waterways. Drinking water for stock can be achieved by means other than direct access to water bodies by stock. Requested change confuses the policy. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/184                   | 5. Rules  | Oppose   | Retain default discretionary status for rules as notified.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New Zealand    | S352/185                   | Rule R36: Agrichemicals - permitted activity  | Oppose   | Introduces ambiguity into the rule through the "identified as sensitive" and removes written  | Disallow<br>submission                    |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
|                                     |                            |  |  | agreement requirement from neighbours.   | point                                     |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/186                   | Rule R37: Agrichemicals into water - permitted activity  | Oppose   | Retain as notified. No evidence that 1km downstream is appropriate to address risk.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/192                   | Rule R71: Pit latrine - permitted activity   | Oppose   | Retain setback as notified.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/194                   | Rule R75: New or upgraded on-<br>site wastewater systems -<br>permitted activity                 | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/196                   | Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/198                   | Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity         | Oppose   | Submitter is seeking to differentiate between new and existing premises, and their primary concerns relate to cost and not protection of the environment from animal effluent. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/199                   | Rule R84: Discharge of collected animal effluent to water - non complying activity               | Oppose   | Rangitāne consider that collected animal effluent into water is appropriate as a non-complying activity in all instances.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/200                  | Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity                                    | Oppose   | Retain the rule as notified. Changes significantly reduce the effectiveness of the conditions.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/201                  | Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity   | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/202                   | Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost -  | Oppose   | Retain as notified. Suggested changes do not address potential discharges to water and is  | Disallow<br>submission                    |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                                     |                            | permitted activity  |  | unlikely to be consistent with s70 RMA.  | point                                     |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/203                   | Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity  | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/204                   | Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/205                  | Rule R94: Cultivation or tilling of land - permitted activity   | Oppose   | Proposed changes reduce effectiveness of the permitted activity conditions.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/206                   | Rule R95: Break-feeding - permitted activity  | Oppose   | Discharges from heavily stocked areas can be cumulative over time, and include contaminants that are not always visible (e.g pathogens). | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/208                  | Rule R98: Livestock access to the beds of surface water bodies - discretionary activity                               | Oppose   | There needs to be an ability for consent to be declined where effects cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.                          | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/231                  | Rule R123: Planting - permitted activity  | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/232                  | Rule R123: Planting - permitted activity  | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/234                  | Rule R136: Take and use of water - permitted activity   | Oppose   | Retain as notified. Recording takes is necessary to manage to limits.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/235                   | Rule R137: Farm dairy washdown and milk-cooling water -   | Oppose   | Retain as notified. Recording takes is necessary to manage to limits.  | Disallow<br>submission                    |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne Support or Oppose Primary Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                                     |                            | permitted activity  |  |  | point                                     |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/236                   | Rule R143: Temporary water permit transfers - controlled activity | Oppose   | The ability and terms of transfers should be managed through a resource consent process to enable effective accounting and to ensure integration with other activities such as discharges on the respective properties involved in the transfer. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/239                   | 6. Methods  | Support in part                                | Improved guidance on improving practice across a range of activities will assist in improving plan outcomes.   | Allow submission point                    |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/242                   | Method M9: Wairarapa Moana  | Support  | Landowners are already included through reference to the community but are acknowledged as key stakeholders on achieving outcomes for Wairarapa Moana.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/243                   | Method M10: Water quality investigations and remediation actions  | Oppose   | Should work with the community as a whole including iwi if anything is to be specified.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/245                   | Method M13: Wairarapa water races                                 | Support in part                                | Research into water races to obtain better information about their effects and uses is appropriate. Tangata whenua should also be involved in the process.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/250                  | Method M20: Wetlands  | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/251                   | Method M21: Fish passage  | Support in part                                | Support further education around the design and retro-fitting of culverts. This education should also include education on the cultural values of water to tangata whenua. Do not agree with inclusion of  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
|                                     |                            |   |  | 'named'.  |   |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/252                   | Method M24: Outstanding natural features and landscapes and high natural character        | Oppose   | Support to the extent that consultation on Outstanding natural features and landscapes is undertaken with the community as a whole including Rangitāne. However oppose request to delete reference to outstanding/high natural character in the coastal environment. The Plan currently does not give effect to the NZCPS or the RPS in relation to these sites and features so remedying this policy gap should be a high priority.                      | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/256                   | 7 Ruamahanga Whaitua  | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/262                   | Table 7.1: Minimum flows for rivers in the Ruamahanga River and Lake Wairarapa catchments | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
|                                     |                            |  |  | bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA.   |   |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/263                   | Table 7.2: Minimum lake levels<br>and minimum water levels for<br>Lake Wairarapa   | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/264                   | Table 7.3: Surface water allocation amounts for rivers and groundwater directly connected to surface water in the Ruamahanga River catchments above the Lake Wairarapa outflow | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/265                   | Table 7.4: Surface water allocation amounts for rivers, Lake Wairarapa and groundwater directly connected to surface water in the Lake Wairarapa                               | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                                     |                            | catchment   |  | allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA.  |   |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/266                   | Table 7.5: Groundwater allocation amounts for groundwater not directly connected to surface water in the Ruamahanga River catchment | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/267                   | Table 7.1: Minimum flows for rivers in the Ruamahanga River and Lake Wairarapa catchments   | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. Evidence indicates that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA. Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
|                                     |                            |  |  | insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA.  |   |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/268                   | Table 7.3: Surface water allocation amounts for rivers and groundwater directly connected to surface water in the Ruamahanga River catchments above the Lake Wairarapa outflow | Oppose   | Minimum flows and allocations should be derived based on the best evidence available at the present time and a precautionary approach applied where there is insufficient or uncertain information. There is some indication that the RFP allocation and minimum flow standards are causing a long-term adverse effect on water bodies and therefore are not consistent with the outcomes intended by the RPS, NPSFM, objectives of the PNRP and the RMA. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/276                   | Schedule F3: Identified significant natural wetlands   | Oppose   | Oppose the deletion of wetlands under 1ha in size. Mapping would only be appropriate where there is certainty that it will be more effective than a descriptive methodology for wetland identification.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | \$352/277                  | Schedule F3a: Contents of restoration management plans   | Oppose   | Retain as notified. Appropriate for clear guidance for contents of RMPs to be included in the plan to ensure certainty of outcomes.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/278                   | Schedule G: Principles to be applied when proposing and considering mitigation and offsetting in relation to   | Oppose   | Retain as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                                     |                            | biodiversity  |  |  |   |
| Federated Farmers of New<br>Zealand | S352/281                   | Schedule H2: Priorities for improvement of fresh and coastal water quality for contact recreation and Maori customary use | Oppose   | There should be no reference to the 'NOF' in the Plan. Freshwater limits and targets for over allocated water bodies should be established in this plan. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                        | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Fish and Game | S308/004                   | Aquatic ecosystem health              | Support  | Provides greater detail and clarity than existing definition   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/005                   | Mahinga kai                           | Support  | Mahinga Kai has significant cultural values to Rangitāne and therefore this amendment, or words to similar effect are supported.             | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/006                   | 2.1.6 Definitions, schedules and maps | Support  | An efficient system for allocating water quality limits to polluters is necessary for effective freshwater management.                       | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/007                   | 2.1.6 Definitions, schedules and maps | Support  | Need to ensure that a definition of natural character, if included, recognises the attributes that make up natural character under the NZCPS | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision              | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Fish and Game | \$308/008                  | Entire Plan                 | Support  | Recognises and provides for s.6(a) of the RMA  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/009                  | Natural wetland             | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/010                  | Significant natural wetland | Support  | Provides greater detail and clarification for plan users and recognises the importance of wetland areas and the threat from animals.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/012                  | 3.5 Water Quality           | Support in part  | Support to the extent that these changes are consistent with recognising and providing for the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites and waahi tapu and other taonga, and are consistent with Part 2 of the RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Fish and Game | S308/023                   | Objective O24: Contact recreation and Maori customary use | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users and is consistent with Part 2 RMA.                                    | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/025                  | 3.5 Water Quality   | Support  | Addresses groundwater quantity which is not otherwise addressed through objectives in the Plan.                           | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/026                   | 3.5 Water Quality   | Support  | Specifying freshwater objectives, limits and standards that are consistent with achieving freshwater values is essential. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/033                  | 3.9 Soil  | Support  | Provides greater protection for freshwater habitats and sites.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                          | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Fish and Game | \$308/039                  | 3. Objectives                           | Support  | Ensures that s.6 of the RMA is recognised and provided for when considering flood protection measures.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/041                   | 4. Policies                             | Support  | The avoidance of adverse effects, particularly those that are significant, is generally preferable over the remediation or mitigation of these effects.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/043                   | Policy P4: Minimising adverse effects   | Support  | The submission seeks to ensure that the policy accurately reflects the purpose of the Act and provides useful guidance to plan uses which is not currently achieved through the policy as notified. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/044                  | Policy P5: Review of existing consents  | Support  | This is consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM and is consistent with Part 2 RMA.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/051                   | Policy P15: Flood protection activities | Support  | The amendment seeks to ensure that the purpose of the Act is achieved when addressing river management and flood protection activities.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Fish and Game | S308/052                   | Policy P16: New flood protection and erosion control                    | Support  | The amendment seeks to ensure that the purpose of the Act is achieved when addressing river management and flood protection activities.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/056                  | Policy P32: Adverse effects on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Support  | The policy as notified has the effect of allowing significant adverse effects, which is inconsistent with s5 RMA and is inconsistent with achieving freshwater objectives and limits. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/066                  | Policy P99: Livestock access to surface water bodies                    | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/067                   | Policy P100: Riparian margins for cultivation and break-feeding         | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/068                   | Policy P101: Management of riparian margins                             | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/083                  | 4. Policies   | Support  | Consistent with management for mahinga kai and reflects the interconnected nature of water quality, quantity and land.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Fish and Game | \$308/085                  | 5. Rules   | Support  | Ensures that the requirements of s.70 of the Act are met. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/089                  | Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity  | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users       | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/092                   | Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity | Support  | Necessary to give effect to the NPSFM.                    | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/093                  | Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity   | Support  | Necessary to meet s70 and for discharge accounting.       | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/094                  | Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity             | Support  | Necessary to meet s70 and for discharge accounting.       | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/095                  | Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity   | Support  | Necessary to meet s70 and for discharge accounting.       | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Fish and Game | \$308/096                  | Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity | Support  | Necessary to give effect to the NPSFM.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/098                  | 5. Rules  | Support  | Necessary to give effect to the NPSFM.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/099                  | Rule R94: Cultivation or tilling of land - permitted activity   | Support  | Ensures that the requirements of s.70 of the Act are met.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/100                  | Rule R99: Earthworks- permitted activity  | Support  | Ensures that the requirements of s.70 of the Act are met.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/107                  | Rule R100: Vegetation clearance on erosion prone land - permitted activity  | Support  | Ensures that the requirements of s.70 of the Act are met.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/108                  | Rule R102: Plantation forestry harvesting on erosion prone land - permitted activity                                  | Support  | Ensures that the requirements of s.70 of the Act are met.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/110                  | Rule R103: Plantation forestry harvesting - controlled activity   | Support  | This amendment will ensure greater water quality outcomes. Necessary to meet s70 and for discharge accounting. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Fish and Game | \$308/116                  | 5.5.5 Activities in beds of lakes and rivers                               | Support  | Consistent with achieving objectives of the Plan.                                  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/128                   | 5. Rules   | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/129                  | Rule R136: Take and use of water - permitted activity                      | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/130                  | Rule R137: Farm dairy washdown and milk-cooling water - permitted activity | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/133                  | Rule R141: Take and use of water not permitted - controlled activity       | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/134                  | Rule R143: Temporary water permit transfers - controlled activity          | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/135                   | Rule R144: Transferring water permits - restricted discretionary activity  | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Fish and Game | \$308/138                  | Method M28: Development of good management practice guidelines. | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users                                | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/140                  | Entire Plan   | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/141                  | Entire Plan   | Support  | Consistent with achieving consistent improvements to the plan.                     | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/142                   | Schedule I: Important trout fishery rivers and spawning waters  | Support  | Uses the terminology Significant in place of "important" as notified.              | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/144                  | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai      | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/145                  | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai      | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

|               | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                                    | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Fish and Game | S308/147                   | 3.7 Sites with significant values                 | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA.                      | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | S308/148                   | 3.5 Water Quality                                 | Support  | Table is redundant if Objective 24 is amended to set primary contact recreation as the minimum outcome. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Fish and Game | \$308/150                  | Schedule Q: Reasonable and efficient use criteria | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name                              | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/001                  | Objective O24: Contact recreation and Maori customary use | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name                              | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/002                  | Objective O25: Aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai    | Support  | Defining numeric freshwater objectives and limits that reflect sustainable state is essential.    | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/008                  | 2.2 Definitions  | Support in part  | Climate change is defined in the RMA and any definition included in the Plan should reflect this. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/027                   | Objective O25: Aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai    | Support  | Provides certainty and clarification for plan users   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/028                  | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Support  | Defining numeric freshwater objectives and limits that reflect sustainable state is essential.    | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name                              | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/029                  | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Support  | Defining numeric freshwater objectives and limits that reflect sustainable state is essential. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/030                  | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA.             | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/031                   | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA.             | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/032                   | 3.6 Biodiversity, aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Support  | Provides certainty and clarification for plan users  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name                              | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/051                  | 3.13 Coastal management   | Support  | Gives effect to the NZCPS  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/053                  | Policy P4: Minimising adverse effects   | Support  | Ensures consistency with the purpose of the RMA  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/071                   | Policy P32: Adverse effects on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai   | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to the NPSFM, the objectives of the Plan and s5 RMA.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/080                  | Policy P41: Managing adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values | Support  | Introduces specific policy for managing adverse effects on significant sites in the coastal environment which gives effect to the NZCPS. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name                              | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne Support or Oppose Primary Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/125                   | Policy P148: Motor vehicles in sites with significant value | Support  | Support retention of the policy  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/136                  | 5.4.3 Livestock exclusion                                   | Support  | Summary of submission point does not accurately articulate submission intent. Submission seeks an outcome that is consistent with giving effect to NPSFM and achieving objectives of the Plan. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/137                   | 5.4.3 Livestock exclusion                                   | Support  | Ensures policy 96 can be achieved.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/157                   | 5.5.8 Damming and diverting water                           | Support  | Provides greater protection from damming and diversion for lakes and rivers identified as outstanding.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name                              | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/158                  | 5.7 Coastal management rules  | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to NZCPS.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/166                   | Rule R206: Re-deposition of wind-<br>blown sand - permitted activity            | Support  | Deposition and associated damage or disturbance in sites of significance should be considered through a restricted discretionary consent to enable activities with significant adverse effects to be declined. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/167                   | Rule R207: Deposition for beach renourishment - controlled activity             | Support  | Deposition and associated damage or disturbance in sites of significance should be considered through a restricted discretionary consent to enable activities with significant adverse effects to be declined. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/168                   | Rule R211: Dumping or storage of waste or other matter - discretionary activity | Support in part  | R212 achieves the desired relief sought and is supported.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                              | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | S353/178                   | Schedule F4: Sites with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area    | Support  | Will ensure that RPS and NZCPS is given effect to. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Royal Forest and Bird<br>Protection Society | \$353/179                  | Schedule F5: Habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in the coastal marine area | Support  | Will ensure that RPS and NZCPS is given effect to. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name           | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Minister of Conservation | S75/006                    | Biodiversity offset              | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/007                   | Category 1 surface water body    | Support  | Ensures all significant natural wetlands are appropriately protected from livestock access                                | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/008                   | Category 2 surface water body    | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/010                   | Good management practice         | Oppose   | Does not provide a robust system for GMPs to be evaluated before being adopted. GMPs should be confirmed as part of Plan. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/012                    | Significant natural wetland      | Support  | Includes all natural wetlands not just those that are 0.1ha or greater  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/015                    | Objective O4: Intrinsic values   | Support  | Aligns with the purpose of the Act  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/018                    | Objective O17: Natural character | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name           | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Minister of Conservation | S75/028                    | Objective O25: Aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai  | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users??                          | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/029                   | Objective O25: Aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai  | Support  | Consistent with giving effect to NZCPS and s6(e).                              | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/038                    | Surface water body   | Oppose   | Inclusion of CMA is inconsistent with the definition of water body in the RMA. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/054                    | Policy P9: Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the beds of lakes and rivers | Support  | Aligns with the NZCPS  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/055                   | Policy P22: Ecosystem values of estuaries  | Support  | To ensure alignment with the NZCPS   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/062                    | Policy P29: Climate change   | Support  | To ensure alignment with the NZCPS   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/063                   | Policy P30: Natural buffers  | Support  | To ensure alignment with the NZCPS   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name           | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Minister of Conservation | S75/065                    | Policy P32: Adverse effects on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai   | Support  | Provides clarification  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/075                   | Policy P41: Managing adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values | Support  | To ensure alignment with the NZCPS  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/085                   | Policy P71: Quality of discharges   | Support  | Ensures effects of contaminants on downstream environments are managed to achieve objectives. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/086                    | Policy P71: Quality of discharges   | Support  | Ensures effects of contaminants on downstream environments are managed to achieve objectives. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/087                    | Policy P71: Quality of discharges   | Support  | Ensures effects of contaminants on downstream environments are managed to achieve objectives. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/088                   | Policy P71: Quality of discharges   | Support  | Ensures effects of contaminants on downstream environments are managed to achieve objectives. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name           | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/110                   | Policy P143: Deposition in a site of significance                             | Support  | Aligns with the NZCPS  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/136                   | Rule R88: Aerial application of vertebrate toxic agents - controlled activity | Oppose   | Discharges of VTA, especially where there may be discharges to water should be managed through resource consent.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/137                    | 5.3.7 Vertebrate toxic agents   | Support  | While discharge of contaminants to water is not generally supported, it is appropriate to provide for application to assist in managing pests that significant impact on the values of water bodies. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/151                    | 5.5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions                             | Support  | Extends the period for protection of from March to January.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | S75/157                    | Rule R136: Take and use of water - permitted activity                         | Support  | Provide additional protection for fish species   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/158                   | Rule R137: Farm dairy washdown and milk-cooling water - permitted activity    | Support  | Provide additional protection for fish species   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Minister of Conservation | \$75/159                   | Rule R141: Take and use of water not permitted - controlled activity          | Support  | Provide additional protection for fish species   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name  | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| South Wairarapa<br>Biodiversity Group<br>Incorporated | S78/001                    | 3.1 Ki uta ki tai: mountains to the sea   | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitane and ensures NZCPS and NPS Freshwater Management are given effect to. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| South Wairarapa<br>Biodiversity Group<br>Incorporated | S78/002                    | 3.3 Maori Relationships   | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne and ensures NZCPS and NPS Freshwater Management are given effect to. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| South Wairarapa<br>Biodiversity Group<br>Incorporated | S78/003                    | 3.4 Natural character, form and function  | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitane and ensures NZCPS and NPS Freshwater Management are given effect to. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| South Wairarapa<br>Biodiversity Group<br>Incorporated | S78/004                    | 3.5 Water Quality   | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitane and ensures NZCPS and NPS Freshwater Management are given effect to. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| South Wairarapa<br>Biodiversity Group<br>Incorporated | S78/005                    | Schedule F: Ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne and ensures NZCPS and NPS Freshwater Management are given effect to. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason                               | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Nga Hapu o Otaki | \$309/030                  | 4.8 Discharges to land and water  | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne. | Allow submission point                    |
| Nga Hapu o Otaki | \$309/045                  | Method M6: National Policy<br>Statement for Freshwater<br>Management strategy | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitane. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Nga Hapu o Otaki | \$309/046                  | Method M10: Water quality investigations and remediation actions              | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne. | Allow submission point                    |
| Nga Hapu o Otaki | \$309/048                  | Method M15: Regional stormwater working group                                 | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitane. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Nga Hapu o Otaki | \$309/051                  | Method M27: Improving water quality in priority water bodies                  | Support  | Aligns with the values of Rangitāne. | Allow submission point                    |

| Submitter Name                                   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/022                   | Taonga species   | Oppose   | Unclear what relief is sought by the submitter but Rangitāne wish to be included in any discussions around the definition of taonga species.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/027                   | Objective O12: Benefits of regionally significant infrastructure | Oppose   | Oppose the inclusion of industry and irrigation infrastructure in O12.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/042                   | Policy P3: Precautionary approach                                | Oppose   | The notified approach of applying precaution across all resources is appropriate and consistent with the RMA. Adaptive management should only be used with extreme caution and has the significant disadvantage in that it generally relies on adverse effects becoming apparent before adaption is applied, which is often too late for longer-term effects such as water quality degradation. An adaptive approach is not appropriate as a general policy direction for management of activities in the plan. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/044                   | Policy P6: Synchronised expiry and review dates                  | Oppose   | The plan should provide for a comprehensive resource management regime now rather than relying on uncertain outcomes from the whaitua process.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                                   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | \$316/050                  | Policy P14: Incompatible activities adjacent to regionally significant infrastructure and renewable electricity generation activities | Oppose   | Disagree with regionally significant industry and primary production activities being offered the same protection as regionally significant infrastructure and renewable energy generation activities.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | \$316/061                  | Policy P44: Protection and restoration of sites with significant mana whenua values   | Support  | Provides clarification and certainty for plan users   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/062                   | Policy P45: Managing adverse effects on sites with significant mana whenua values   | Oppose   | The tables are intended to identify values rather than identify a sub-set of activities that might impact on those values   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/072                   | Policy P71: Quality of discharges   | Oppose   | The plan should provide for a comprehensive resource management regime now.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/091                   | Policy P129: Minimum flows and water levels   | Oppose   | The appropriateness of water takes and the level at which such takes are appropriate needs to be considered on a case by case basis and needs to take into account factors such as natural flow variations, natural character, and cultural and spiritual relationships and values. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                                   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/092                   | Policy P138: Structures in sites with significant values  | Oppose   | The requested change as drafted creates an inappropriately wide exception in the policy.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/101                   | Rule R84: Discharge of collected animal effluent to water - non complying activity                  | Oppose   | Retain as notified. Effluent storage should be designed to take account of extreme weather events in the same way that other infrastructure is.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/110                   | Rule R98: Livestock access to the beds of surface water bodies - discretionary activity             | Oppose   | Disagree this is necessary. R98 is clear that it relates to disturbance by stock, with a bridge erected, stock should have no cause to access and otherwise disturb and discharge into a surface water body. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/113                   | Rule R107: Activities in natural wetlands and significant natural wetlands - discretionary activity | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/114                   | Rule R108: Activities in natural wetlands and significant natural wetlands - non-complying activity | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                                   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | S316/123                   | Rule R136: Take and use of water - permitted activity                 | Support in part  | To the extent that the note provides clarification.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | \$316/126                  | Rule R141: Take and use of water not permitted - controlled activity  | Support in part  | To the extent that the note provides clarification.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co-<br>operative Group Ltd | \$316/135                  | Policy R.P1: Minimum flows and water levels in the Ruamahanga Whaitua | Oppose   | The relief requested is not so much a change to the policy but a statement of the way the whaitua should operate. The Plan should provide a clear resource management regime consistent with sustainable management now with the whaitua committees recommending any changes to that regime they consider necessary in the future. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/002                   | 4. Policies   | Support  | The principles for a nutrient allocation framework are sound.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/008                   | Sensitive activity                                      | Support  | NB Categorised as "Sensitive activity" when the definition in the Plan is "Sensitive Area".  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/009                   | 5. Rules  | Support in part  | Agree that rules should be carefully designed to achieve outcomes. Effectiveness of methods like farm plans is dependent on the robustness of the methodology and degree of control and oversight of the development and implementation of them and should only be adopted where s32 requirements can be met.                                      | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/010                   | Rule R37: Agrichemicals into water - permitted activity | Support  | While discharges to water are generally not supported, in some circumstances such as those identified in the submission, application may be appropriate provided there is appropriate consideration of mana whenua values and sites.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/011                   | Rule R42: Minor discharges - permitted activity         | Oppose   | Against the values of Rangitāne. Land uses, including primary production, that contribute nutrients and other contaminants to fresh and coastal water need to be managed through an appropriate regime that, given the variability and need for managing allocation, is likely to be most effectively achieved through resource consent processes. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/015                   | Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity | Oppose   | While the requested reference to the Fert association CoP is supported, retention of an express requirements to avoid discharges to water and beyond the property boundary should be retained.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/016                   | Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity   | Oppose   | 100m3 is an excessive size for each property as a permitted activity.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/017                   | Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity                     | Oppose   | Retain as notified. Permitting an unknown amount of contaminants from the base and sides of a silage storage area is not appropriate and makes is difficult to determine a property's nutrient losses for the purposes of allocation. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/018                   | Rule R94: Cultivation or tilling of land - permitted activity                                    | Oppose   | The suggested wording is ambiguous and provides less certainty for plan users.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/019                   | Rule R95: Break-feeding - permitted activity   | Oppose   | The suggested wording is ambiguous and provides less certainty for plan users.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/023                   | Rule R99: Earthworks- permitted activity   | Support  | Agree with the submitter that earthworks should be measured in total area and not necessarily in contiguous area.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name   | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Beef and Lamb NZ | S311/024                   | Rule R100: Vegetation clearance on erosion prone land - permitted activity | Oppose   | Increasing the permitted activity area to 5ha creates significant risk of adverse effects, particularly where the 5ha is contiguous. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name  | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                            | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/010                  | 3.1 Ki uta ki tai: mountains to the sea   | Oppose   | Production of food is already encompassed in human sustenance.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/012                  | 3.1 Ki uta ki tai: mountains to the sea   | Support in part  | The plan is an appropriate place to direct how values will be provided for. The term 'balance' is not the appropriate terminology however it would be useful if the plan described the relationships and, where appropriate, any prioritisation. | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/014                  | 3.2 Beneficial use and development        | Oppose   | The management of biosecurity risk to rural production is primarily achieved through the Biosecurity Act and the HSNO Act.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | S307/015                   | 3.2 Beneficial use and development        | Oppose   | This objective is unnecessary and provides unnecessary emphasis on rural production activities   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/022                  | Objective O41: Nuisance discharges to air | Support  | More closely aligns with the purpose of the Act.   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |

| Submitter Name  | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/023                  | 3.8 Air  | Oppose   | This approach of assessing the effects of activities is achieved through resource consent assessments and doesn't require an objective in the Plan.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/025                  | Objective O42: Soil health and erosion                       | Support in part  | If included, this objective should reflect the purpose of the Act i.e. to avoid, remedy or mitigate   | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/030                  | Policy P1: Ki uta ki tai and integrated catchment management | Oppose   | Good management practices are only one aspect of resource management. The policy is high-level and it is not appropriate for a specific method to be included.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | S307/032                   | Policy P7: Uses of land and water                            | Oppose   | Food production is already included in the policy. 'and provided for' should not be included as these activities should only occur where they are consistent with safe-guarding life-supporting capacity, providing for future generations and avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | S307/033                   | Policy P8: Beneficial activities                             | Support in part  | Rangitāne agree that the removal of pest species is beneficial and generally appropriate however it is not clear what is intended by the amendment to f) management of riparian margins.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name  | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Horticulture NZ | S307/035                   | 4. Policies   | Oppose   | Regional Councils are only responsible for including policies in relation to actual or potential effects on land with regional significance. Rangitāne disagree that primary production should fall under this category and therefore, a new policy is not required. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | S307/037                   | Policy P32: Adverse effects on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Oppose   | The matters in (a) to (c) are connected so 'and' is correct.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/059                  | Policy P55: Managing air amenity  | Oppose   | Sufficient guidance already exists on determining these effects.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/061                  | 5.1 Air quality rules   | Support  | If there is not existing provision in legislation for such burning to occur, then this approach may be appropriate subject to conditions to address actual and potential effects.  | Allow<br>submission<br>point              |
| Horticulture NZ | S307/065                   | 5.1.13 Ground-based and aerial applications                             | Oppose   | Rangitāne expect to see controls over the use of agrichemicals near waterways, even when used for removing unwanted organisms. The mauri of waterways and overall water quality can be significantly affected by agrichemicals.                                      | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name  | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/066                  | Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity  | Oppose   | Retain as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/067                  | Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity                      | Support in part  | Reference to the CoP is supported however the rule needs to be explicit about the scale and extent of any discharges.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | S307/068                   | Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity | Oppose   | Retain as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/069                  | Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity   | Oppose   | No matters of discretion are suggested by the submitter and Rangitāne consider a discretionary activity status is appropriate for all contaminants not already addressed in the plan where all potential adverse effects can be considered. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/070                  | Rule R94: Cultivation or tilling of land - permitted activity   | Oppose   | Retain as notified. If any reference to guidance should be included, it should be the Greater Wellington Regional Council's own document "Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for the Wellington Region Reprinted June 2006".           | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name  | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Horticulture NZ | S307/072                   | 5.4.4 Earthworks and vegetation clearance                            | Oppose   | It is not clear that the current permitted activity rule provides a problem for such activities.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | S307/076                   | Rule R141: Take and use of water not permitted - controlled activity | Oppose   | Higher takes should be managed through a comprehensive consenting regime to ensure appropriate allocation management and efficient resource use.                  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Horticulture NZ | \$307/077                  | Rule R142: All other take and use - discretionary activity           | Oppose   | Retain as notified. The matters of discretion are too narrow to address the full range of potential effects notwithstanding the catchment may be under-allocated. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                                 | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Wairarapa Water User's<br>Incorporated Society | S124/006                   | Objective O52: Efficient allocation   | Oppose   | The requested change is not consistent with managing freshwater to achieve fresh water objectives and limits. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Wairarapa Water User's<br>Incorporated Society | S124/007                   | Policy P7: Uses of land and water   | Support  | There are benefits derived from the non-point contaminant assimilative capacity of freshwater.                | Allow submission point.                   |
| Wairarapa Water User's<br>Incorporated Society | S124/013                   | Rule R135: General rule for taking, use, damming and diverting water - discretionary activity | Oppose   | The matters of discretion are too broad for these activities to be restricted discretionary.                  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Wairarapa Water User's<br>Incorporated Society | S124/029                   | 7 Ruamahanga Whaitua  | Oppose   | Allocation and limits should be defined based on current information and applying the precautionary approach. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                         | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                     | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Irrigation New Zealand<br>Incorporated | s306/003                   | 3.2 Beneficial use and development | Oppose   | This objective is unnecessary and provides unnecessary emphasis to rural production activities   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Irrigation New Zealand<br>Incorporated | \$306/018                  | 5.6.2 Take and use of water        | Oppose   | Resource consents, once expired, should be assessed against the provisions of the relevant plan at the time, and not given special treatment through an alternative consenting process. The matters of discretion proposed by the submitter fail to consider adverse effects on the environment. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                         | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Wairarapa Regional<br>Irrigation Trust | S127/026                   | Policy P65: Minimising effects of nutrient discharges   | Oppose   | Not clear what the submitter intends here but clarification is needed.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Wairarapa Regional<br>Irrigation Trust | S127/029                   | 4.9 Taking, using, damming and diverting water  | Oppose   | The policy is unnecessary as any comprehensive resource consent for a water storage and distribution scheme would address subsequent takes and uses by individual users. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Wairarapa Regional<br>Irrigation Trust | S127/031                   | Rule R.R3: Take and use of water that exceeds minimum flows, lake levels or core allocation - prohibited activity | Oppose   | The policy is unnecessary as any comprehensive resource consent for a water storage and distribution scheme would address subsequent takes and uses by individual users. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                        | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Carterton District Council          | \$301/007                  | 12 Schedules                          | Oppose   | No evidence or evaluation has been provided to justify the requested alternative approach.                     | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Carterton District Council          | \$301/068                  | 2.1.6 Definitions, schedules and maps | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Masterton District Council          | \$367/134                  | 2.1.6 Definitions, schedules and maps | Oppose   | Aspects of maps can be refined. It is not clear on the justification for full withdrawal and review.           | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | \$366/012                  | 2.1.6 Definitions, schedules and maps | Oppose   | There is already a definition of BPO in the RMA.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Masterton District Council          | \$367/012                  | 2.2 Definitions                       | Oppose   | There is already a definition of BPO in the RMA.   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Carterton District Council          | S301/018                   | Maori customary use                   | Oppose   | Rangitāne wish to be involved in any discussion around the refinement of a definition for Māori customary use. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision                                       | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Masterton District Council          | \$367/017                  | Maori customary use                                  | Oppose   | Rangitāne wish to be involved in any discussion around the refinement of a definition for Māori customary use.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | \$366/017                  | Maori customary use                                  | Oppose   | Rangitāne wish to be involved in any discussion around the refinement of a definition for Māori customary use.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Carterton District Council          | \$301/055                  | Policy P109: Lapse dates affecting water takes       | Oppose   | Retain as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Masterton District Council          | \$367/089                  | Policy P85: Biosolids and treated wastewater to land | Oppose   | The guidelines provide for current best practice and if the reference to them is to be deleted the Plan should provide an equivalent level of control over discharges of biosolids. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | \$366/089                  | Policy P85: Biosolids and treated wastewater to land | Oppose   | The guidelines provide for current best practice and if the reference to them is to be deleted the Plan should provide an equivalent level of control over discharges of biosolids. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Masterton District Council          | \$367/118                  | Rule R113: Diversion of flood water by existing structures - permitted activity | Support in part  | First part of the provision provides clarification and certainty for plan users which is beneficial. Not sure that additional rule is necessary however.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | S366/118                   | Rule R113: Diversion of flood water by existing structures - permitted activity | Support in part  | First part of the provision provides clarification and certainty for plan users which is beneficial.  Not sure that additional rule is necessary however. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Masterton District Council          | \$367/111                  | Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity                               | Oppose   | Retain the setback as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | S366/111                   | Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity                               | Oppose   | Retain the setback as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Masterton District Council          | S367/116                   | Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity                                | Oppose   | Retain the setback as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | \$366/116                  | Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity                                | Oppose   | Retain the setback as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought<br>from the<br>Council |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Masterton District Council          | S367/004                   | Schedule C5: Sites of significance to Ngati Kahungunu ki Wairarapa and Rangitane o Wairarapa | Oppose   | While Henley Lake itself is not of significance to Rangitāne, the area on which Henley Lake was created is of significance to Rangitāne as a natural wetland and should be recognised as such. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | S366/004                   | Schedule C5: Sites of significance to Ngati Kahungunu ki Wairarapa and Rangitane o Wairarapa | Oppose   | While Henley Lake itself is not of significance to Rangitāne, the area on which Henley Lake was created is of significance to Rangitāne as a natural wetland and should be recognised as such. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Masterton District Council          | \$367/005                  | Schedule F3: Identified significant natural wetlands   | Oppose   | While Henley Lake itself is not of significance to Rangitāne, the area on which Henley Lake was created is of significance to Rangitāne as a natural wetland and should be recognised as such. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |
| South Wairarapa District<br>Council | \$366/005                  | Schedule F3: Identified significant natural wetlands   | Oppose   | While Henley Lake itself is not of significance to Rangitāne, the area on which Henley Lake was created is of significance to Rangitāne as a natural wetland and should be recognised as such. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point           |

| Submitter Name                                 | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan Provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought from<br>the Council |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Atiawa ki Whakarongotai                        | S398/025                   | Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity                                  | Support  | Retain provisions as notified                             | Allow<br>submission<br>point           |
| Carter Families                                | S295/005                   | Policy P45: Managing adverse effects on sites with significant mana whenua values | Oppose   | Amend policy as outlined in Rangitāne original submission | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| Carter Families                                | S295/049                   | Policy P45: Managing adverse effects on sites with significant mana whenua values | Oppose   | Amend policy as outlined in Rangitāne original submission | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| Friends of Taputeranga<br>Marine Reserve Trust | \$69/003                   | Policy P32: Adverse effects on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai           | Oppose   | Minimise is imprecise.                                    | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |

| Submitter Name           | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan Provision   | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason   | Decision<br>sought from<br>the Council |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Holcim (New Zealand) Ltd | S276/012                   | 4.2 Beneficial use and development   | Oppose   | Disagree with identification of mineral extraction as regionally significant. The existing suite of objectives and policies (as amended by Rangitāne's submission) provide for the management of effects of mineral extraction activities. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| lan Jensen               | S176/019                   | Policy P9: Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the beds of lakes and rivers | Oppose   | Disagree with the rule proposed by the submitter   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| lan Jensen               | S176/010                   | Policy P39: Adverse effects on outstanding water bodies  | Oppose   | Rangitāne seek to be involved in the outcomes of any re-evaluation as sought by the submitter  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| Joe Hintz                | S401/037                   | Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity   | Oppose   | Retain as notified   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |

| Submitter Name      | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan Provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason  | Decision<br>sought from<br>the Council |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Leo Vollebregt      | S372/022                   | Rule R143: Temporary water permit transfers - controlled activity | Oppose   | Retain as a controlled activity to ensure relevant matters of control can be considered.  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| Mahaki Holdings LTD | S370/042                   | Objective O33: Significant mana whenua values                     | Oppose   | Lessens the protection and restoration of mana whenua sites   | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| Max Lutz            | S348/117                   | Rule R136: Take and use of water - permitted activity             | Oppose   | The Plan should establish a freshwater limits regime based on current information and adopting a precautionary approach. Any amendment to the regime established through this process can be reviewed and any changes recommended by the Whaitua committee process. | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| Tim Williams        | S324/015                   | Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity   | Oppose   | Retain as notified  | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |

| Submitter Name           | Submission<br>Point Number | Plan Provision  | Rangitāne<br>Support or<br>Oppose<br>Primary<br>Submission | Reason             | Decision<br>sought from<br>the Council |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|
| USNZ                     | \$349/026                  | Policy P32: Adverse effects on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Oppose   | Retain as notified | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |
| Wellington Water Limited | \$135/067                  | Policy P32: Adverse effects on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai | Oppose   | Retain as notified | Disallow<br>submission<br>point        |