


Submitter ID:

File No:

Further Submission

on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region



Fold here

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

Please complete this form to make a further submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region (PNRP). All sections of this form need to be completed for the submission to be accepted.

A further submission may only be made by a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or a person that has an interest in the PNRP greater than the interest that the general public has, or the Wellington Regional Council itself. A further submission must be limited to a matter in support of, or in opposition to, a submission made on the PNRP.

For information on making a further submission see the Ministry for the Environment website:
www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/everyday-guide-rma-making-submission-about-proposed-plan-or-plan-change


Return your signed further submission to the Wellington Regional Council by post or email by 5pm Tuesday 29 March 2016 to:

Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan
for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

Regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Fold here

FreePost Authority Number 3156



FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM

This is a further submission in support of, or opposition to, a submission on the PNRP.

A. DETAILS OF FURTHER SUBMITTER

FULL NAME

Phil Teal

ORGANISATION (* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

Wellington Region Fish & Game Council

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE (INCLUDING POSTCODE)

P O Box 1325
Palmerston North 4640

PHONE

(06) 359 0409

FAX

EMAIL

p teal@fishandgame.org.nz

Only certain people may make further submissions

Please tick the option that applies to you:

- I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or
 I am a person who has an interest in the PNRP that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Specify below the grounds for saying that you are within the category you have ticked.


Statutory mandate under the Conservation Act

Service of your further submission

Please note that you must serve a copy of this further submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after this further submission has been provided to Wellington Regional Council.

If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served on each original submitter.

Signature:



Date:

29/3/2016

Signature of person making further submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the further submission. A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Please note

All information contained in a further submission under the Resource Management Act 1991 becomes public information. All further submissions will be put on our website and will include all personal details included in the further submission.

B. APPEARANCE AT HEARING

Please select from the following:

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or
 I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and, if so,
 I would be prepared to consider presenting this further submission in a joint case with others making a similar further submission at any hearing.

Please enter further submission points in the table on the following pages

C. FURTHER SUBMISSION POINTS

Please complete the following table with details of which original submission points you support and/or oppose, and why, adding further rows as necessary.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
Name of person/ group making original submission and postal address.	The original submission number can be found on the submitter address list.	Whether you support or oppose the submission.	Indicate which parts of the original submission (which submission points) you support or oppose, together with any relevant PNRP provisions.	Why you support or oppose each submission point.	The part or whole of each submission point you wish to be allowed or disallowed.
e.g. Joanne Bloggs 12 Pine Tree Avenue Redwood	e.g. submitter S102	e.g. Oppose	e.g. Oppose all of submission point S102/41	e.g. The submission point does not recognise...	e.g. Disallow the parts of S102/41 relating to...
Federated Farmers of New Zealand P O Box 715, Wellington, 6140	S352	Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/001	The submission point fails to recognise that primary productive land uses have and are having a significant adverse effect on the healthy and sustainability of freshwater resources and habitats in some parts of the region, and as such will be required to be managed to ensure that current and future primary productive land uses operate within environmental limits. Improvements and reduction in contaminant losses will be required where the freshwater resources are at allocation, approaching allocation limits or where the ecological health of freshwater is currently degraded. Trend is not an indication of current quality or state.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/002	The submission point misrepresents the current state of lwi, hapu, wider community, and stakeholder engagement in the sustainable management of natural resources in the region.	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/003	Amendments sought fail to recognise or give effect to integrated catchment management and the purpose and principals of the RMA	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/004	Amendments sought are contrary to the RMA and NPSFWM	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/005	Amendments sought are contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, are inconsistent with the requirements of the NPSFWM and fail to reflect the requirements under s32 RMA	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/006	Amendments sought are contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, are inconsistent with the requirements of the NPSFWM	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/007	Amendments sought fail to give effect to the purpose and principals of the RMA as well as s14(3)(b) RMA. Amendments sought are inconsistent with the statutory mandate of Fish an Game and the requirements of the Conservation Act, and are inconsistent with Fish and Games sportfish management plans, of which this Regional Plan must have regard to.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/008	Amendments sought are will not improve plan readability, and if implemented would required a substantial restructuring of the proposed plan which is not sufficiently outlined nor is it considered warranted.	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				Amendments sought may substantially alter the planning framework so that its ability to address regionally significant natural resource management issues is compromised	
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/009	Amendments sought add greater complexity to the plan and in some cases are ultra vires.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/010	Amendments sought are factually incorrect. Fish and Game are supportive of non regulatory partnerships and acknowledge that they are an important tool in the toolbox in facilitating sustainable management of land and water resources and in upskilling and working with farmers, however as proposed the amendments do not reflect this.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/011	Amendments sought fail to give effect to the RMA or/and NPSFWM	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/012	Amendments sought fail to give effect to the RMA and NPSFWM, and are inconsistent with other legislative and policy requirements including but not limited to the conservation Act. Amendments sought would further reduce the ability of the plan to address the regionally significant natural resource management issues	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/013 to 052 Definitions	Amendments sought to the definitions are inconsistent with RMA and NPSFWM, and introduces further ambiguity and	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				subjectiveness to the definitions and as such the plan provisions. In some instances the proposed amendments to the definitions act to reduce the activities and effects that the plan is to address, and therefore acts to reduce plan effectiveness	
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/054	Amendments sought may reduce plan effectiveness in addressing significant natural resource management issues, and in promoting the sustainable management of land and water resources. Amendments sought in some instances will result in a failure to safeguard the life supporting capacity and ecosystem health of freshwater resources.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/056 Objective 05	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA and fails to give effect to the NPSFWM	Disallow the whole submission point and related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/057 Objective 06	Amendments sought fail to recognise the integrated natural of groundwater and surface water. In some instances groundwater quality will need to be maintained or where degraded improved to water quality limits which achieve the surface water quality outcomes and limits sought for ecological health. Further the drinking water standards specified may be to high in some instances.	Disallow the whole submission point and related submission points including but not limited to the submission point on Schedule M
		Oppose	Oppose submission points S352/058 Objective 07	Amendments sought are inconsistent with s14(3)(b) RMA and the Ecoli standard is set to high.	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				<p>While Fish and Game recognise the requirement for the provision of stock drinking water of suitable quality and quantity, this provision must occur with environmental limits which safeguard the life supporting capacity and ecological health of freshwater. Where freshwater resources are approaching, or are at, or are overallocated, then either the stocking rate for the land may need to be reduced or alternative supplies for stock drinking water will need to be provided by the landowner.</p>	
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/059 Objective 08	<p>Amendment sought is inconsistent with NPSFWM and fails to recognise requirements to set and manage to environmental limits which at the very least must safeguard the life supporting capacity and ecological health of freshwater</p>	Disallow the whole submission point and related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/060 Objective 09	<p>Amendment sought fails to provide for primary contact recreation of surface waterbodies, and as such fails to recognise and provide for the public's right to swimmable rivers and streams.</p> <p>Amendment sought will result in failure of the plan to address regionally significant issue of water quality</p>	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/061 Objective 010	<p>Amendment sought is inconsistent with RMA and fails to provide for public access to freshwater resources and recreational and cultural values of freshwater and</p>	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				habitats	
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/063 Objective 012	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA and the NMPF. Provision for Regionally significant infrastructure may not always be appropriate or represent sustainable management	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/065 and 066 New Objective for Primary Production	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA and the NMPF. Provision for primary production may not always be appropriate or represent sustainable management.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/067	Amendment sought fails to recognise and provide for cultural and maori values of freshwater and their habitats	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/068	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSF, and fails to address the regionally significant issue of loss of natural character of freshwater habitats and their margins	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/069	Amendment sought fails to address regionally significant issue of declining health and degraded state of estuary environments	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/070 Objective 019	Amendment sought is inconsistent with purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSF	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/073 Objective 23	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA and section 30 Act, and the NPSFWM	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/074	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA and section 30 Act, and the NPSFWM	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/075	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and the Conservation Act	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/076	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and the Conservation Act	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/077	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and the Conservation Act	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/078 to 081 Objective 025	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and fails to recognise and provide for the ecological health and intrinsic, cultural, amenity, and recreational values of freshwater	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/082 Objective	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and fails to recognise and provide for the ecological health and intrinsic, cultural, amenity, and recreational values of freshwater.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/083	Amendment sought fails to recognise or provide for cultural values of freshwater and habitats	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/084	Amendment sought fails to give effect to purpose and principals of the RMA.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/085 Objective 028	Amendment sought fails to give effect to purpose and principals of the RMA, or address the regionally significant issue of loss of wetland habitats and ongoing degradation	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/086	Amendment sought fails to give effect to purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NSFWM, and is inconsistent with the requirements of the Conservation Act and Fish and Games statutory mandate under that Act	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/087	Amendment sought is unnecessary and fails to accurately reflect the scope for this section of the Plan	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/088 Objective 30	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA, fails to reconstitute and provide for the habitat of trout, and fails to address the regionally significant issue of habitat loss and degradation including water quality and quantity.	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/089 Objective 31	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, the NPSFWM.	Disallow the whole submission point and related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/090 Objective 032	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, the NPSFWM.	Disallow the whole submission point and related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/091 Objective 033	Amendment sought fails to recognise or provide for cultural, and maori values of freshwater and their habitats. The amendment sought is inconsistent with the	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				purpose and principals of the RMA	
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/093 Objective 035	Amendment sought fails to address regionally significant issue of loss of biodiversity and habitats for indengous species	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/098 Objective 042	Amendment sought fails to address regionally significant issue of erosion and loss of productive soils to freshwater and associated impacts on aquatic ecosystems and water quality	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/099 Objective 044	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA, and is inconsistent with the NPSFWM. Amendments sought fails to address the regionally significant issue of land use impacts on soil and water	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/100 Objective 045	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA, and is inconsistent with the NPSFWM. Amendments sought fails to address the regionally significant issue of impacts of stock on freshwater quality and habitats.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission points S352/ 101 to 103	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA, and is inconsistent with the NPSFWM. Amendments sought fails to address the regionally significant issue of discharges to land and discharges of sediment which impact on freshwater quality	Disallow the whole submission point and all related submission points

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 108 Objective 052	<p>and habitats</p> <p>Amendment sought fails to provide for the efficient allocation and use of freshwater resources within environmental limits. Fails to ensure resource use is first necessary, secondly reasonable and thirdly is used efficiently where the use is both necessary and reasonable and ensures that environmental limits are not exceeded. Fails to recognise or provide for consents durations under 25 years which may be required to address impacts on freshwater resources or other non consumptive or consumptive uses, including cumulative impacts.</p>	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose submission point S352/ 109 Policy P1	<p>Amendment sought to clause (c) fails to give effect to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and fails to recognise the wider community interest in sustainable resource management, and fails to recognise that the current level of investment in schemes may be unsustainable and therefore be required to be reduced overtime.</p>	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose submission point S352/ 111 Policy P3	<p>Amendment sought is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM and is ultra vires. Amendment sought fails to give effect to s30 RMA, and fails to adopt a precautionary approach in relation to the receiving</p>	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				environment.	
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/113 Policy P4	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and fails to adequately ensure that adverse effects are avoided remedied or mitigated and that significant adverse effects are avoided.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 114 Policy P6	Amendment fails to provide for cumulative impacts on freshwater or other users to be addressed, overallocation to be avoided or where it exists clawed back, and hinders the adaptive management of freshwater.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 115	Amendment sought adds ambiguity and further complexity to the plan which is not warranted.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 116 and 127 Policy P7 and Policy P11	Amendment sought is factually incorrect, and does not assist plan development or implementation	Disallow the whole submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 117	Amendment sought does not represent activities which have a beneficial environmental outcome	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 118	Amendment sought fails to recognise and provide for cultural and recreational values of freshwater	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 119	Amendments sought fail to recognise the significant adverse effects of damming of rivers.	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 125	Amendments sought fail to recognise that the provision for primary production including	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				development and intensification will not always be consistent with purpose and principals of the RMA and sustainable management.	
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 126	<p>Amendments sought fail to recognise that the provision for current and future irrigation will not always be consistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA and sustainable management.</p> <p>Amendments sought are contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM</p>	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 128	<p>Amendment sought fails to recognise or address the potential significant adverse effects of drainage on freshwater habitats and ecosystems and cultural and recreational values</p>	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 129	<p>Amendment sought fails to address concerns around reverse sensitivity while providing for the sustainable management of land and water resources.</p> <p>While the concept is supported by Fish and Game, amendments would be required to ensure that primary production land uses were protected from new land uses while ensuring that the impacts of land use on water quality, ecological health, and cultural and recreational values was avoided, remedied, or mitigated and that significant adverse effects are avoided.</p>	Disallow the whole submission point

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 131	Amendments sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA and fails to address the regionally significant natural resource management issue of degraded health of estuary environments	Disallow the whole submission point
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 132	Amendments sought introduce a requirements that restoration activities are undertaken in partnership with landowners and communities, which may not always be appropriate, or the most effective and efficient approach to the sustainable management of natural resources in the region. Amendments sought to clause (d) extend the powers of the regional council beyond the requirements of the RMA, and may result in clashes with other legislative and policy documents including the Conservation Act.	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 134 Policy P25	Amendments sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA and will result in the plan failing to ensure that the natural character of freshwaters and their margins is protected as a matter of national importance	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/135 Policy P26	Amendments sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA and the NPSFWM, and will result in the plan failing to ensure that the natural character of freshwaters and their margins is protected as a matter of national importance, and that the ecological health of freshwater is safeguarded	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 136	Amendment sought fail to promote the sustainable management of freshwater habitats and their margins, and fails to address the regionally significant issue of loss of river habitats through engineering activities	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 137	Amendments sought fail to recognise or provide for the protection of wetland habitats, and their sustainable management	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 138	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM and is inconsistent with other legislative and policy documents including the conservation act	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 139	Amendment is ultra vires.	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 140	Amendment is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA Amendment is ultra vires.	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 147 Policy P40	Amendment is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 148	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and is inconsistent with the Conservation Act	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 149	Amendment sought is contrary to the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM Amendment is ultra vires. Amendment is contrary to purpose and principals of the RMA	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 150	Amendment is contrary to purpose and principals of the RMA, NPSFWM, and fails to address the regionally significant issue of degradation and loss of ecosystems and biodiversity	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 152	Amendment is contrary to purpose and principals of the RMA, NPSFWM, and fails to recognise and provide for maori and cultural values of freshwater and habitats Farms do not have a privileged place in the scheme of things when it comes to freshwater management or the provision of the wider community values of freshwater	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 153	Amendment is contrary to purpose and principals of the RMA, NPSFWM, and fails to recognise and provide for maori and cultural values of freshwater and habitats	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 158	Amendment unnecessary and not reflective of the scope of this section of the plan	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points including rules
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 159 Policy 63	Amendment fails to provide for primary contact recreation in the region	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 160 Policy P65	Amendment is ultra vires and fails to address the regionally significant issue of impacts of land use including primary production land uses on water quality, freshwater habitats, ecological health, and cultural and recreational values.	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 162 Policy 68	Amendment fails to recongise that discharges of treated discharges may have adverse effects on the receiving environment which are required to be managed to ensure that the purpose and principals of the Act are met	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 163 Policy 69	Amendment is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA, and the NPSFWM, and will not provide for safe and reliable drinking water, or for ecosystem health	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 171 Policy P96	Amendment fails to promote the sustainable management of land and water resources and fails to address the regionally significant issue of impacts of primary production land uses on freshwater habitats and their values	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points including rules
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 172 Policy P97	Amendment fails to address the impacts of land use including infrastructure in relation to sediment discharges and water quality and ecosystem health, and cultural and recreational values	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 173 Policy P98	Amendment fails to address the regionally significant issue of accelerated erosion and ensure that land use is sustainably managed	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points including amendments to the rules
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 174 Policy P99	Amendment fails to address the regionally significant issue of stock in freshwater and environmental effects. Fails to promote the sustainable management of freshwater resources.	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points including amendments to the rules

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 175 Policy P100	Amendments fail to recognise and provide for the sustainable management of riparian margins and their use as attenuation tool within farming land use practices, where appropriate and where their impacts on the values of riparian margins will not be compromised and will be maintained and enhanced	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 176 Policy P105	Amendment sought fails to recognise and provide for the habitat of trout. Amendment is inconsistent with the purpose and principals of the RMA, or the NPSFWM, and is contrary to the Conservation Act	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points
		Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S352/ 184 Rules	Amendment sought fails to recognise and address the regionally significant issue of primary productive land uses on water quality, freshwater habitats, and cultural and recreational values of freshwater, and fails to ensure that land uses will be sustainably managed, and the purpose and principals of the RMA met, along with the NPSFWM and CPS.	Disallow the whole submission point and any related submission points

If you require more space for additional comments, please insert new rows as needed