

Proposed Natural Resources Plan:

Submitter:

CentrePort Properties Limited

Submitter Number:

S141

To: Freepost 3156
Wellington Regional Council
PO Box 11646
Wellington 6142

Or email: regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Wellington Regional Council

25 SEP 2015

Your details

Full name: CentrePort Properties Limited

Organisation name:
(If applicable)

Address for Service: CentrePort House, 1 Hinemoa Street, Wellington 6140

P O Box 794, Wellington

Telephone no's: Work: (04)4953855 Home: Cell: 0292003471

Contact person: Neville Hyde

Address and telephone no (if different from above):

Electronic communication

Wellington Regional Council has a preference for providing information about the Proposed Natural Resources Plan via email. We will send you updates on the process, information and provide you with details of any meetings and the hearing. Please tick here if you do not agree to receive communication via email.

Email address: neville.hyde@centreport.co.nz

Trade competition

I/we **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. [Go straight to **Your Submission**]

I/we **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you **could** gain an advantage please complete one of the following:

I/we **are** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of my submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

I/we **are not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of my submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Your submission

NOTES

In these submissions reference to;

1. "CentrePort" means CentrePort Properties Limited, and
2. "Lambton Harbour Area" includes reference to the Lambton Harbour (Northern Zone) area as shown on Map 32

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
Objectives, policies and rules relating to the development of Waterloo Quay Wharf and Interisland Wharf	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers the Waterloo Quay Wharf and Interisland Wharf are presently unattractive, under utilised, and dilapidated. This situation is likely to continue into the future. Unless these wharves are strengthened they have minimal economic utility, and are likely to deteriorate and pose a health and safety risk. If the wharves were able to be strengthened and developed this would unlock the benefits of their strategic location including in relation to the Harbour Quays development, and the ability to create greater pedestrian and cycle linkages, commercial opportunities and improved amenities. Until such time as redevelopment may occur CentrePort seeks an appropriate planning framework that ensures it is able to continue to use these structures for Port Commercial uses.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details):	CentrePort seeks amendment to the objectives, policies and rules relevant to the Waterloo Quay Wharf and Interisland Wharf to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) provide for the mixed use redevelopment of the Wharves, the Coastal Marine Area around the Wharves and adjacent land areas for retail, office, residential, short term accommodation/hotel, food/beverage, marine and/or pleasure/commercial boat facility activities, either as a complete change of use or in combination with Port related activities; and b) retain underlying provisions relating to port operations in the Commercial Port Area, until such time as mixed use redevelopment of the Wharves occurs and for as long as they remain utilised for Port related activities. This could be achieved by an overlay mechanism or similar; and c) apply appropriate controls over the redevelopment of the Wharves including such matters as building design and envelopes (including height), provision for open space and access, landscaping etc. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
Hard engineering*	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the definition of Hard engineering in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain this definition in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
Māori customary use	Reasons for my submission: →	The term Maori customary use is very broadly defined. As CentrePort's wharves are located in the Coastal Marine Area, greater clarity is needed as to the activities that constitute Maori customary use.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	The definition of Maori Customary use to be further refined to provide clarity as to the activities that fall within the term. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Noise sensitive activities	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the definition of Noise sensitive activities in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan as it is necessary to recognise certain activities such as residential activities are more sensitive to noise.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain this definition in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Port related activities	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort generally supports the definition of Port related activities in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan because it is appropriate to separately identify port related activities in the policy and rule frameworks, but seeks the deletion of the last sentence of the definition as it is unnecessary.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend this definition by deleting the last sentence of the definition. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Port Noise Control Line	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the definition of Port Noise Control Line in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan because it is appropriate to identify the line at or beyond which the rule controlling the emission of noise from port related activities applies and where the noise from port related activities is monitored.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain this definition in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Reclamation	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the definition of Reclamation in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan so it is clear to all users of the plan that it means the creation of dry land.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain this definition in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
--	---------------------------------------	---

Regionally significant infrastructure*	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the definition of Regionally Significant Infrastructure in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan because it is appropriate to include reference to the Commercial Port Area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain this definition in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Reverse sensitivity*	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the definition of Reverse sensitivity in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan because it is appropriate to recognise the vulnerability of existing lawfully-established activities to other activities in the vicinity which are sensitive to adverse environmental effects.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain this definition in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Sensitive activity*	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the definition of Sensitive activity in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan because it is an inclusive definition capturing all activities which suffer should they experience adverse effects typically associated with some lawful activities.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain this definition in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Objective O9: Recreational values	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	The recreational values of the coastal marine area should be maintained and enhanced where appropriate as this will not be everywhere in the coastal marine area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	CentrePort seeks amendment of the objective to refer to "where appropriate". CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Objective O12: Benefits of regionally significant infrastructure	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	There is a concern that the Proposed Plan does not adequately recognise the benefits of commercial developments in the coastal marine area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	The Plan should recognise the benefits of other commercial infrastructure and developments in the coastal marine area that are not regionally significant infrastructure or renewable energy generation activities. Amend Objective O12 as follows:

		<p>The social, economic, cultural and environmental benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, and renewable energy generation activities and <u>new commercial development</u> activities are recognised.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>
--	--	---

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Objective O53: Functional need in the coastal marine area</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort considers that the objective needs to be amended so there is no inconsistency with Objective O57 which provides that use and development is appropriate in the Lambton Harbour Area when it is compatible with its surroundings and the Central Area of Wellington City.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Amend Objective O53 as follows:</p> <p>Use and development in the coastal marine area has a functional need or operational requirement to be located there, <u>unless the use and development is in the Lambton Harbour Area.</u></p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Objective O54: Use and development in the coastal marine area</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort supports the retention of this objective.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Retain Objective O54 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Objective O56: New development in the coastal marine area</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort supports the retention of this objective because it is appropriate to provide that new development is of a scale, density and design that is compatible with its location in the coastal environment.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Retain Objective O56 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Objective O57: Use and development in Lambton Harbour Area</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort supports the retention of this objective because it is appropriate to enable use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area when it is compatible with its surroundings and the Central Area of Wellington City.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Retain Objective O57 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Objective O59: Passage of vessels and aircraft	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this objective as the Proposed Plan needs to ensure that the efficient and safe passage of vessels that support the movement of people, goods and services is provided for in the coastal marine area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Objective O59 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Policy P4: Minimising adverse effects	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers that this provision is too restrictive, and does not take into account the benefits of new use and development, and where there are alternative means of addressing adverse effects.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend Policy P4 as follows: Where minimisation of adverse effects is required by policies in the Plan, minimisation means reducing adverse effects of the activity to the greatest extent reasonably practicable and shall <u>may</u> include: (a) consideration of alternative locations and methods for undertaking the activity that would have less adverse effects, and (b) <u>where reasonably practicable</u> , locating the activity away from areas identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies), Schedule C (mana whenua), Schedule E (historic heritage), Schedule F (indigenous biodiversity), and (c) timing the activity, or the adverse effects of the activity, to avoid times of the year when adverse effects may be more severe, or times when receiving environments are more sensitive to adverse effects, and (d) using good management practices for reducing the adverse effects of the activity, and (e) designing the activity so that the scale or footprint of the activity is as small as practicable, <u>where it is reasonably practicable to minimise adverse effects on identified areas</u> . CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Policy P8: Beneficial activities	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	This policy appropriately recognises that beneficial activities in the coastal marine environment include the maintenance and use of existing structures and the removal of dangerous or derelict structures.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Policy P8 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Policy P12: Benefits of regionally significant infrastructure and renewable	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	There is a concern that the Proposed Plan does not adequately recognise the benefits of other commercial developments in the coastal marine area.

<p>electricity generation facilities</p>	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>CentrePort seeks the amendment of this policy as follows:</p> <p>The benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, and renewable energy generation activities, <u>and new commercial developments</u> are recognised by having regard to:</p> <p>(a) the strategic integration of infrastructure and land use, and (b) the location of existing infrastructure and structures, and (c) the need for renewable energy generation activities to locate where the renewable energy resources exist, and (d) the functional need for port activities to be located within the coastal marine area, and (e) operational requirements associated with developing, operating, maintaining and upgrading regionally significant infrastructure and renewable energy generation activities.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>
---	---	--

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P24: Outstanding natural character</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended</p>
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort considers that this policy is too restrictive. As areas of outstanding natural character are yet to be identified, new use and development in the coastal marine area may be restricted by this policy if the area in which development is proposed is later identified as being one of outstanding natural character. If the policy is to be retained in its current form the areas of outstanding natural character should be identified on planning maps.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Amend Policy P24 as follows:</p> <p>Identified areas of outstanding natural character in the coastal marine area will be preserved by:</p> <p>(a) avoiding, adverse effects of activities on natural character in areas of the coastal marine area with outstanding natural character, and (b) requiring use and development to be of a type, scale and intensity that will maintain the natural character values of the area, and (c) requiring built elements to be subservient to the dominance of the characteristics and qualities that make up the natural character value of the area, and (d) maintaining the high levels of naturalness of these areas, and (e) avoiding, <u>remedying or mitigating</u> the adverse effects of activities, including those located outside the area, that individually or cumulatively detract from the natural character values of the outstanding natural character area.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P27: High hazard areas</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended</p>
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>This policy is inconsistent with policies that allow use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area, which is also a high hazard area by virtue of being in the coastal marine area. This policy should be amended to recognise that use and development is appropriate in the Lambton Harbour Area.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Amend Policy P27 as follows:</p> <p>Use and development, including hazard mitigation methods, in high hazard areas shall be avoided except where:</p> <p>(a) they have a functional need or operational requirement or there is no practicable alternative to be so located, and the risk to the development and/or residual risk after hazard mitigation measures, assessed using a risk-based approach, is low, <u>and or</u> (b) <u>the use and development is in the Lambton Harbour Area, and</u> (c) <u>the development does not cause or exacerbate natural hazards in</u></p>

		<p>other areas, and</p> <p>(d) interference with natural processes (coastal, fluvial and lacustrine processes) is minimised, and</p> <p>(e) natural cycles of erosion and accretion and the potential for natural features to fluctuate in position over time, including movements due to climate change and sea level rise, are taken into account.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>
--	--	--

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P46: Managing adverse effects on sites with significant historic heritage value</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort considers that this provision to manage adverse effects in sites with significant historic heritage values is generally appropriate, given that more than minor adverse effects on 'significant' historic heritage values are to be avoided, remedied or mitigated. However, the listed outcomes may unduly constrain opportunities for otherwise appropriate redevelopment of historic heritage sites.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Amend Policy P46 so that the listed outcomes do not unduly constrain opportunities for otherwise appropriate redevelopment of historic heritage sites.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P47: Appropriate demolition</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort considers that an amendment to Policy P47 is required so that it does not preclude appropriate redevelopment where the structures are derelict and redundant.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Amend Policy P47 as follows:</p> <p>Demolition or removal of a structure with significant historic heritage value identified in Schedule E1 (heritage structures), Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds), Schedule E3 (navigation aids), or Schedule E5 (freshwater heritage) is inappropriate except where the structure is:</p> <p>(a) substantially damaged by fire or natural hazard, and</p> <p>(b) impracticable to repair, or</p> <p>(c) <u>derelict and has no on-going functional or economic use in its present state and/or configuration.</u></p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P132: Functional need and efficient use</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>The requirement for there to be a functional need, or operational requirement or no reasonable or practical alternative to locating in the coastal marine area is too restrictive. These provisions unduly restrict appropriate use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area which would otherwise bring important economic, social or cultural benefits to central Wellington.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Amend Policy P132 as follows:</p> <p>Use and development in the coastal marine area shall:</p> <p>(a) have a functional need, or</p> <p>(b) have an operational requirement to locate within the coastal marine area, and no reasonable or practicable alternative to locating in the coastal marine area, or</p> <p>(c) <u>be located in the Lambton Harbour Area, or</u></p> <p>(d) for any other activity, it shall have no reasonable or practicable alternative to locating in the coastal marine area, and in respect of (a), (b) and (c):</p>

		<p>(e) only use the minimum area necessary, and</p> <p>(f) be made available for public or multiple use where appropriate, and</p> <p>(g) result in the removal of structures once redundant, and</p> <p>(h) concentrate in locations where similar use and development already exists where practicable.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>
--	--	---

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P133: Recreational values</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort considers that this provision places an unduly onerous obligation on developers to provide for recreational opportunities.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Amend Policy P133 as follows:</p> <p>The adverse effects of use and development in the coastal marine area on recreational values shall be managed <u>where practicable</u> by providing for a diverse range of recreational opportunities while avoiding conflicts and safety issues.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P134: Public open space values and visual amenity</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>WRC should develop the content of appropriate controls to maintain visual amenity instead of relying upon the provisions contained in any bordering territorial authorities' proposed and/or operative district plan.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>CentrePort seeks the amendment of Policy P134 to delete the reference to "having particular regard to any relevant provisions contained in any bordering territorial authorities' proposed and/or operative district plan." and seeks the development of appropriate (including site specific) controls or guidelines.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P135: Safe passage</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort supports the retention of this policy as it is necessary to avoid inappropriate use and development in navigation protection areas.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Retain Policy P135 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Policy P136: Hutt Valley aquifer zone in Wellington Harbour (Port Nicholson)</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort seeks that the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) is removed from the Hutt Valley aquifer zone. The aquifer zone is too extensive and the restrictions that flow from its identification can only be justified where they are supported by robust science.</p>

	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Policy P136 in its current form. Remove the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) from the Hutt Valley aquifer zone. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.
--	---	---

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Policy P139: Seawalls	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	This policy is unduly restrictive of potential new use and development in the coastal marine area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend Policy 139 as follows: The construction of a new seawall is <u>generally</u> inappropriate except where the seawall is required to protect: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) existing, or upgrades to, infrastructure, <u>reclaimed land or structures</u> or (b) new regionally significant infrastructure, and in respect of (a) and (b): (c) there is no reasonable or practicable alternative means, and (d) it is suitably located, designed and certified by a qualified, professional engineer, and (e) designed to incorporate the use of soft engineering options where appropriate. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Policy P142: Lambton Harbour Area	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports provision for appropriate use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area, but seeks amendments to the proposed policy.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend policy P142(j) to state: has particular regard to provisions, including design guides, contained in the Wellington City District Plan and any relevant proposed plan changes or variations, including the following matters: amenity values; noise and vibration; views; traffic; wind; lighting and glare; sunlight and shading; height, bulk and form; and urban design, <u>except in the case of use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone):</u> {CentrePort seeks an appropriate height limit(s) be identified and imposed that reflects what can currently occur in this area or what can reasonably be anticipated for redevelopment of the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone). CentrePort also seeks reasonable building envelopes and provision for site coverage and other controls that are appropriate for this location.] CentrePort will provide further details regarding the controls it seeks through the planning process. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
--	---------------------------------------	--

Policy P145: Reclamation, drainage and destruction	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers that this provision is too restrictive. Reclamation, drainage and destruction within the coastal marine area should not be limited to that associated with regionally significant infrastructure where there are no locations outside of the coastal marine area and no practical alternative methods for providing the activity. The Proposed Plan needs to recognise that other commercial developments in the coastal marine area can bring important economic, social or cultural benefits, and that reclamation, drainage or destruction may be needed in order to provide for those activities.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend Policy P145 as follows: Reclamation, drainage or destruction in the coastal marine area shall be avoided except where: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the reclamation, drainage or destruction is associated with the development, operation, maintenance and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure (b) and there are no other locations outside the coastal marine area for the activity associated with the reclamation, drainage or destruction, or (b) <u>the reclamation, drainage or destruction is associated with appropriate use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone); and</u> (c) there are no practicable alternative methods of providing for the associated activity. <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

Policy P150: Noise and lighting	The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
		Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this policy so the general conditions as set out in section 5.7.2 of the Plan apply or by adopting the best practicable option to ensure that the emission of noise does not exceed a reasonable level.
		I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Policy P150 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

Rule R146: Geotechnical investigation bores – permitted activity	The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
		Reasons for my submission: →	There is no reference to the General Conditions in Section 5.7.2 which state that there shall be no disturbance below 0.5m within the Hutt Valley aquifer zone. CentrePort's Waterloo Quay Wharf and Interisland Wharf are both located within the aquifer zone. This will have implications on any developments because the bore depth will be restricted. CentrePort is also concerned that there is insufficient justification for the restriction generally and that the delineation of the extent of the restriction is arbitrary
		I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	The Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) should be removed from the Hutt Valley aquifer zone and/or that the provision be amended to address the issue raised in the submission. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
--	---------------------------------------	--

Rule R147: Drilling, construction or alteration of any bore – controlled activity	Reasons for my submission: →	There is no reference to the General Conditions in Section 5.7.2 which states that there shall be no disturbance below 0.5m within Hutt Valley aquifer zone. Waterloo Quay Wharf and InterIsland Wharf are both located within the aquifer zone. This will have implications on any developments because the bore depth will be restricted. CentrePort is also concerned that there is insufficient justification for the restriction generally and that the delineation of the extent of the restriction is arbitrary
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	The Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) should be removed from the Hutt Valley aquifer zone and/or that the provision be amended to address the issue raised in the submission. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R148: Drilling, construction or alteration of any bore – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	There is no reference to the General Conditions in Section 5.7.2 which states that there shall be no disturbance below 0.5m within the Hutt Valley aquifer zone. Waterloo Quay Wharf and InterIsland Wharf are both located within the aquifer zone. This will have implications on any developments because the bore depth will be restricted. CentrePort is also concerned that there is insufficient justification for the restriction generally and that the delineation of the extent of the restriction is arbitrary
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	The Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) should be removed from the Hutt Valley aquifer zone and/or that the provision be amended to address the issue raised in the submission. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Coastal management general conditions: Disturbance (a) – (d)	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	Sub-section (c) states that there is to be no disturbance of the foreshore and seabed to a depth greater than 0.5m below the seabed and foreshore within the Hutt Valley aquifer zone as shown on Map 30. The entirety of Wellington Harbour has been designated as an aquifer zone, including CentrePort's two wharves Waterloo Quay Wharf and InterIsland Wharf. This limitation will restrict any developments of CentrePort's wharves. CentrePort is also concerned that there is insufficient justification for the restriction generally and that the delineation of the extent of the restriction is arbitrary
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	The Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) should be removed from the Hutt Valley aquifer zone and/or that the provision be amended to address the issue raised in the submission . CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Coastal management general conditions: Noise in the coastal marine area (p) – (t)	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	The minimum performance standards in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) for habitable buildings containing noise sensitive activities should be the same as those in the Commercial Port Area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain provisions for noise in current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be

	necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.
--	---

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R149: Maintenance or repair of structures – permitted activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule as it is appropriate to provide for some maintenance and repair as a permitted activity.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R149 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R150: Minor additions or alterations to structures – permitted activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule as it is appropriate to provide for some maintenance and repair as a permitted activity.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R150 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R151: Additions or alterations to structures – controlled activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R151 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R152: Removal or demolition of structures or part of a structure – permitted activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R152 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R153: Removal or demolition of a structures or part of a structure – restricted discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers when determining if removal or demolition of a structure is appropriate consideration should also be given to the purpose and benefits of the removal or demolition.
	I seek the following	CentrePort seeks the following additional matters of discretion:

	decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Removal or demolition is for the purpose of appropriate development in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone); Positive effects on the Lambton Harbour Area. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.
--	--	---

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R154: New temporary structures outside sites of significance – permitted activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule to enable temporary structures.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R154 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R155: New temporary structures – restricted discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers when determining if new temporary structures are appropriate consideration should also be given to the purpose and benefits of them.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	CentrePort seeks the following additional matters of discretion: New temporary structure is for the purpose of appropriate development in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone); Positive effects on the Lambton Harbour Area. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R161: New structures, additions or alterations to structures outside sites of significance – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports a discretionary activity rule to enable applications for new structures, or additions or alterations to existing structures. CentrePort considers the Waterloo Quay Wharf and InterIsland Wharf are operationally redundant, and in the case of Waterloo Quay Wharf dilapidated. These wharves have minimal economic utility for Commercial Port Activity, and are likely to deteriorate and pose a health and safety risk. If the wharves were able to be redeveloped this would unlock the benefits of their strategic location including in relation to the Harbour Quays development area and the rest of the Lambton Harbour Area, facilitate appropriate commercial development and enhance the ability to create improved pedestrian and cycle linkages.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule 161 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
--	---------------------------------------	---

Rule R163: Replacement of structure or parts of structures – permitted activity	Reasons for my submission: →	This provision should be amended so that it applies to redevelopment of the wharves Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone).
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	This provision should be amended so that it applies to redevelopment of the wharves in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone). CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R164: Replacement of structures – restricted discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	<p>CentrePort supports a restricted discretionary activity rule to enable applications for replacement of structures.</p> <p>CentrePort considers the Waterloo Quay Wharf and Interisland Wharf are operationally redundant, and in the case of Waterloo Quay Wharf dilapidated. These wharves have minimal economic utility for Commercial Port Activity, and are likely to deteriorate and pose a health and safety risk. If the wharves were able to be redeveloped this would unlock the benefits of their strategic location including in relation to the Harbour Quays development area and the rest of the Lambton Harbour Area, facilitate appropriate commercial development and enhance the ability to create improved pedestrian and cycle linkages.</p>
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	<p>Delete the reference in condition (f) to Schedule E1 (heritage structures).</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R166: Seawalls outside sites of significance – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule as the Proposed Plan should enable applications for seawalls as discretionary activities as sometimes this is necessary to support appropriate developments.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	<p>Retain Rule R166 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R168: Alteration of structures identified in Schedule E2 or Schedule E3 – permitted activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule as it is appropriate to provide for some alteration to heritage structures as a permitted activity.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	<p>Retain Rule R168 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R169: Additions or alterations to structures identified in Schedule E1 and or Schedule E2 – restricted discretionary	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers when determining if additions or alterations to heritage structures are appropriate consideration should also be given to the purpose and benefits of the additions and alterations.

activity	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	CentrePort seeks the following additional matters of discretion: Whether or not the additions or alterations are for the purpose of appropriate development in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone); Positive effects on the Lambton Harbour Area. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.
----------	---	--

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R171: Additions or alterations to structures identified in Schedule E1, Schedule E2 or Schedule E3 – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule to enable additions or alterations to heritage structures. CentrePort considers the Waterloo Quay Wharf and InterIsland Wharf are operationally redundant and in the case of Waterloo Quay Wharf dilapidated. These wharves have minimal economic utility for Commercial Port Activity, and are likely to deteriorate and pose a health and safety risk. Until the wharves are able to be redeveloped the ability to effect additions and alterations remains..
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R171 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R172: Removal, demolition or replacement of a structure or part of a structure identified in Schedule E1, Schedule E2 or Schedule E3 – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule. CentrePort considers the Waterloo Quay Wharf and InterIsland Wharf are operationally redundant, and in the case of Waterloo Quay Wharf dilapidated. These wharves have minimal economic utility for Commercial Port Activity, and are likely to deteriorate and pose a health and safety risk. If the wharves were able to be redeveloped this would unlock the benefits of their strategic location including in relation to the Harbour Quays development area and the rest of the Lambton Harbour Area, facilitate appropriate commercial development and enhance the ability to create improved pedestrian and cycle linkages.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R172 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R173: Additions or alterations to structures inside the Commercial Port Area – permitted activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers it is appropriate that specified additions or alterations to structures inside the Commercial Port Area are permitted activities to facilitate the ongoing efficient operation of the Port. For the avoidance of doubt, this includes application of permitted activity rules to the Waterloo Quay Wharf and the Inter-Island Wharf (also known as the Railway Wharf) in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) for as long as they remain utilised for port operations.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R173 in its current form, or amend to be consistent with Rules 6, 10, and 12 in Section 6.3 of the Operative Regional Coastal Plan. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R174: Additions or alterations to structures inside the Commercial Port Area – controlled activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers it is appropriate that specified additions or alterations to structures inside the Commercial Port Area are controlled activities to facilitate the ongoing efficient operation of the Port. For the avoidance of doubt, this includes application of controlled activity rules to the Waterloo Quay Wharf and the Inter-Island Wharf (also known as the Railway Wharf) in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) for as long as they remain utilised for port operations.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R174 in its current form, or amend to be consistent with Rule 13 in Section 6.3 of the Operative Regional Coastal Plan. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R183: Renewal of existing resource consents for occupation of space by structures – controlled activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports controlled activity status for the renewal of existing resource consents for occupation of space by structures given the significant investment in such structures and the operations they support.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R183 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R184: Occupation of space – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers discretionary activity status is appropriate for occupation of space in the coastal marine area which is otherwise not permitted.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R184 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R186: General surface water and foreshore activities – restricted discretionary	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R186 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
--	---------------------------------------	--

Rule R196: Motor vehicles – permitted activity	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R196 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R197: Motor vehicles for certain purposes – permitted activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers the amendment of this rule is appropriate to allow for use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend Rule R197 as follows: The disturbance of the foreshore or seabed from motor vehicles in the coastal marine area, for the following purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) surf lifesaving operations, or (b) emergency situations, including firefighting, oil spills, rescue operations, salvage of vessels and marine mammal strandings, or (c) local authority activities, including law enforcement, or (d) the maintenance, upgrade and operation of regionally significant infrastructure <u>or</u> (e) <u>use and development in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone).</u> is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (f) the vehicle shall take the most direct route, and shall only operate within the area necessary to carry out the activity to ensure minimal disturbance to the foreshore or seabed, and (g) the activity shall comply with the coastal management general conditions specified above in Section 5.7.2. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R204: Destruction, damage or disturbance outside sites of significance – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this rule because at times it is necessary for sites to be disturbed or damaged to facilitate development.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Rule R204 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Rule R214: Reclamation and drainage for regionally significant infrastructure outside of sites of significance – discretionary activity	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers this rule should be amended to provide for appropriate development in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone).
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend Rule R214 as follows: Reclamation and drainage for regionally significant infrastructure activities and development inside the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) outside a site or habitat identified in Schedule C (mana whenua), Schedule E4 (archaeological sites), Schedule F4 (coastal sites), Schedule F5 (coastal habitats) or Schedule J (geological features) in the coastal marine area, including any associated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) occupation of space in the common marine and coastal area, and (b) destruction of the foreshore or seabed, and

		<p>(c) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed, and (d) deposition in, on or under the foreshore or seabed, and (e) discharge of contaminants, and (f) diversion of open coastal water is a discretionary activity.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>
--	--	--

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Rule R215: Reclamation and drainage – non-complying activity</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort considers reclamation and drainage should be assessed as discretionary activity not non-complying.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Delete Rule R215.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Rule R216: Destruction – non-complying activity</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort considers destruction of the foreshore and seabed should be assessed as discretionary activity not non-complying.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Delete Rule R215.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Rule R217: Planting – permitted activity</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort supports the retention of this rule as appropriate planting should be enabled under the Proposed Plan.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Retain Rule R217 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

<p>The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):</p> <p>Rule R218: Planting – discretionary activity</p>	<p>My submission on this provision is: →</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	<p>Reasons for my submission: →</p>	<p>CentrePort supports the retention of this rule because if the permitted activity standards cannot be complied with then planting should be assessed as a discretionary activity.</p>
	<p>I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →</p>	<p>Retain Rule R218 in its current form.</p> <p>CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.</p>

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Method M23: Archaeological discovery protocols	My submission on this provision is: →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the retention of this method.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain Method 23 in its current form. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Schedules C, F4, F5, and J	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	It does not appear that the Inter-Island (Railway) Wharf and the Waterloo Quay Wharf are identified in Schedule C (mana whenua), Schedule F4 (coastal sites), and Schedule J (geological). It is not clear whether the wharves are listed in Schedule F5 (coastal habitats) as these areas are not mapped. If the wharves are included in any of these schedules then CentrePort considers they should be removed.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Remove Railway Wharf and Waterloo Quay Wharf and the underlying and adjacent coastal marine area from Schedule C (mana whenua), Schedule F4 (coastal sites), Schedule F5 (coastal habitats), and Schedule J (geological), and all associated maps if these are considered to apply to the wharves. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Schedule E: Sites with significant historic heritage values	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers that development of the Inter-Island (Railway) Wharf and the Waterloo Quay Wharf is appropriate, particularly as these are located in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone) and Commercial Port Area.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Remove Railway Wharf and Waterloo Quay Wharf from Schedule E2: Historic heritage (wharves and boatsheds) and all associated maps. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Schedule E: Sites with significant historic heritage values	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort considers that if the amendment to remove the Inter-Island (Railway) Wharf and the Waterloo Quay Wharf from Schedule E2 is not achieved, the descriptions of these wharves need to be amended as they currently overstate the historical significance of the wharves.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	If Railway Wharf and Waterloo Quay Wharf are not removed from Schedule E2: Historic Heritage (wharves and boatsheds) then: Amend the 'Significant values' description of Railway Wharf to read: 'Railway Wharf is a structure of some historic significance-value, as the second deep-water wharf built in Wellington, and with a long and varied history as trading ship berthage, a coal wharf, and later as an inter-island ferry terminal.'

		Amend the 'Significant values' description of Waterloo Quay Wharf to read 'Waterloo Quay Wharf is a structure of some antiquity and historic significance <u>heritage value</u> , based mainly on its 19th and 20th century uses.' CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.
--	--	---

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Map 32: Commercial port, Lambton Harbour Area & noise control lines – Lambton Harbour	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	CentrePort supports the inclusion of the area surrounding and including the Waterloo Quay Wharf and the Inter-Island Wharf (also known as the Railway Wharf) in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone), but also seeks to apply the Commercial Port Area to the wharves for as long as they remain utilised for port operations .
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Retain the incorporation of the Waterloo Quay Wharf and the Inter-Island Wharf (also known as the Railway Wharf) in the Lambton Harbour Area (Northern Zone). Add the Commercial Port Area as an overlay on the Waterloo Quay Wharf and the Inter-Island Wharf (also known as the Railway Wharf) and adjoining areas. CentrePort seeks such further, consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary, desirable, or appropriate to give effect to the decision sought.

If you have more submissions you wish to make, please find more boxes at the bottom of this document

Attendance and wish to be heard at hearing(s)

- I/We do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
[Note: This means that you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing(s).]
- I/We do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
[Note: This means that you cannot speak at the hearing. However, you will still retain your right to appeal any decision made by the Wellington Regional Council to the Environment Court.]
- If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature: _____

Date: 25 September 2015

[Person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making submission. NB. Not required if making an electronic submission]

Publication of details

Wellington Regional Council is legally required to notify a summary of submissions, including your name and address for service as provided on this submission form. Your name and address are included so that a person making a further submission is able to serve you with a copy of it.

#1529648

Monica Seevens

From: Regional Plan
Sent: Tuesday, 20 October 2015 11:29 a.m.
To: Caroline Ammundsen; Records
Cc: Regional Plan
Subject: FW: Proposed Natural Resources Plan

Hey Caro and Records,

Please see below an update required on the CentrePort Properties Ltd submission. I have replied and acknowledged we will incorporate change (but not sure how to do this), whether to attach it to their original submission document so that the two sit side by side. I am guessing this may have been updated in Spoken. Any questions please ask.
Thanks Sam

From: Regional Plan
Sent: Tuesday, 20 October 2015 11:23 a.m.
To: Neville Hyde; Regional Plan
Cc: Nigel Corry; Andrew Beatson; Nick Wareham
Subject: RE: Proposed Natural Resources Plan

Neville, thank you very much for your email. We will ensure that the information you have provided below replaces what is currently entered in our system. If there is any uncertainty or clarification required we will contact you further.

Kind regards Sam

Sam Wren | Team Administrator, People and Customer
GREATER WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL
Te Pane Matua Taiao
Shed 39, 2 Fryatt Quay, Pipitea, Wellington 6011 | PO Box 11646, Manners St, Wellington 6142
T: 04 830 4032
www.gw.govt.nz
Hours: Monday to Friday 10am to 2pm

From: Neville Hyde [<mailto:Neville.Hyde@centreport.co.nz>]
Sent: Tuesday, 20 October 2015 9:41 a.m.
To: Regional Plan
Cc: Nigel Corry; Andrew Beatson; Nick Wareham
Subject: Proposed Natural Resources Plan

In reviewing our submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the purpose of addressing material for presentation at a future submission hearing, we have noted an error in our requested decision relative to "Rule R164:Replacement of structures – restricted discretionary activity".

Whilst the submission correctly details the reasons for the submission on R164 the decision sought by CentrePort Properties Ltd incorrectly refers "Delete the reference in condition (f) to Schedule E1 (heritage structures)" and should read "**Delete the reference in condition (f) to Schedule E2 (wharves and boatsheds)**" In all other respects the submission remains unchanged.

We would appreciate your acceptance of this correction to our submission and for the notified summary of submissions to contain the corrected outcome sought by CentrePort Properties Ltd.

Regards

Neville Hyde | Corporate Advisor

CENTREPORT PROPERTIES LIMITED, HINEMOA STREET, PO BOX 794, WELLINGTON 6140, NEW ZEALAND
PH +64 4 495 3800 | FAX +64 4 495 3820 | DDI +64 4 495 3855 | CELL +64 29 200 3471 | www.centreport.co.nz



[We welcome you to take a virtual tour of CentrePort Wellington](#)

This email message and any attachments contain information that is CONFIDENTIAL. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of the message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify itadmin@centreport.co.nz immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments.