

WHAITUA KĀPITI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

The Whaitua Kāpiti Committee was convened for its fifth meeting at 9.30 A.M on Jun 21, 2023, at Ramaroa Centre, Whareroa (Known as QEP)

Committee members present:

Mana Whenua Whare	Facilitator	Kāwanatanga Whare
Dr. Aroha Spinks, Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	Dr. Kathie Irwin (Kathie Irwin & Associates)	Jenny Rowan (<i>Taurite</i>), Kāpiti Coast community representative
Caleb Royal, Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki		Jocelyn Prvanov, Kāpiti Coast District Councillor
Dr. Mahina-a-rangi Baker (<i>Taurite</i>), Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust		Kerry Walker, Kāpiti Coast community representative
Naomi Solomon, Ngāti Toa Rangatira		Monique Leith, Kāpiti Coast community representative
Sharlene Maoate-Davis, Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust		Pātaka Moore, Kāpiti Coast community representative
Shane Parata, Ngāti Toa Rangatira		Penny Gaylor, Greater Wellington Regional Councillor

Kaimahi present:

Mana Whenua Whare	Role and responsibility
Amiee Rei-Bishop, Ngāti Toa Rangatira	Ngāti Toa Rangatira kaimahi
Claire Gibb, Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	Mana Whenua Whare co-ordinator

Council kaimahi	Role and responsibility
Theressa Murray, TMS Transcriptions Services Ltd	Transcription for Monique
Adele Dawson, Contractor (Incite)	Senior Planner to advise on plan change aspects; support drafting RMA section 32 and plan provisions
Chloë Nannestad, GWRC	Policy Advisor
Helli Ward, GWRC	Senior Advisor, Environmental Science
Jade Lee-Walker, GWRC	Policy Advisor
Michele Frank, GWRC	Kāpiti Coast Catchment Manager
Penny Fairbrother, GWRC	Senior Advisor, Environmental Science
Phill Barker, GWRC	Senior Catchment Advisor
Rita O'Brien, Kāpiti Coast District Council	Stormwater & Coastal Engineer, KCDC lead on Whaitua Kāpiti project
Tim Sharp, GWRC	Programme Manager Whaitua
Tim Stoddart, GWRC Contractor (Incite)	Senior Planner to advise on plan change aspects; support drafting RMA section 32 and plan provisions
Whāia te kikorangi Caton (<i>Minutes</i>), GWRC	Kāpiti Catchment Advisor and Whaitua Project advisor

PROPOSED AGENDA (Set prior to this meeting)

9:00am – Gather at venue, tea, and coffee.

9:30 am – Start

- 1) Welcome and confirm agenda and tabled action update for the day.
- 2) Decision-making process in the Tiriti House and individual houses.
 - a. Are we aiming for consensus? What is our process? How might it be tested? What if we do not reach it?

Morning Tea

- 3) Te Mana o te Wai
 - a. Presentation from Kāwanatanga Whare on their expression of the three Crown/Community principles
 - b. Presentation from Mana Whenua Whare on further expression of Te Mana o te Wai from last session

Decision – Te Tiriti House agreements on expressing Te Mana o te Wai for the Kāpiti context and communities. This could be about principles, local expression, decision-making or other relevant dimensions of Te Mana o te Wai.

- 4) Te Mana o te Wai Plan Objective

Decision – Te Tiriti House agreement on a Te Mana o te Wai statement for an Objective in the Regional Policy Statement (RPS). GW planning guidance will be provided to both houses prior to individual whare hui.

12:30pm Lunch

- 5) Individual Whare Caucus time
- 6) Freshwater Management Units (FMUs)
 - a. Mana Whenua Whare – Present a first draft of the spatial extent of FMUs as they relate to kaitiaki responsibilities and other considerations.
 - b. Kāwanatanga Whare – Reflect on their considerations and understanding on appropriate FMUs boundaries.
 - c. Workshop and deliberate to form a single set of spatial units for the Natural Resources Plan (NRP), and to organise information for the rest of the process.

Decision – Te Tiriti House agreement on draft Freshwater Management Units for the Natural Resources Plan. GW advice paper on planning considerations for FMUs will be provided to both houses prior to individual whare hui.

Afternoon tea

- 7) Direction on procurement of support for resourcing and procurement of Plan Change evidence needs. Given the agreement to pursue 'plan ready' decisions, a higher level of recording of evidence for decisions is needed. What are the Committee's needs for planning support and recording of evidence?

Decision – on the personnel capability needs and procurement of 'Section 32' plan change evidence support.

- 8) Next steps/ Agenda for next hui
- 9) Closing comments, recap key actions and agreements.

4:30pm – Finish and Depart

ITEM 1 – WELCOME, CONFIRM AGENDA AND TABLED ACTION UPDATE

The meeting was opened with a karakia in te reo Māori and NZSL by Mahina-a-rangi.

Acknowledgements were made to Matariki and a reminder that this time often comes with losing people or remembering those who have passed.

ITEM 2 – DECISION-MAKING PROCESS IN THE TIRITI HOUSE AND INDIVIDUAL HOUSES

Kathie spoke to the consensus decision-making process set in the Committee's Terms of Reference. Kathie stated that consensus may be reached at a high level within each Whare and that there is another opportunity to gain new insight from a different perspective at the Tiriti Whare. The Committee members discussed that aiming for consensus is tough in practice but acknowledged that the Tiriti Whare consensus decision making model also provides safety by ensuring everyone has the opportunity to speak. Noted that the consensus decision-making model can also provide stronger support for the next stage of this process.

There was a suggestion from a Mana Whenua Whare Committee member, to support the decision-making process by having ground rules identifying issues, for communication, negotiation, and compromises within all three Whare. This suggestion was supported by a committee member from the Kāwanatanga Whare.

Requested from both whare – To have a mechanism clarified by which the two Whare can communicate between hui and to assist with reaching consensus if required.

The Committee discussed with the kaimahi from GWRC and the Mana Whenua Whare co-ordinator about the (RMA) Section 32 report process. Noted that the Mana Whenua Whare stated the Section 32 report also underscores the conversation within each individual Whare caucus as well as within the Tiriti Whare.

The Mana Whenua Whare Kaimahi stated there is a sense check process within the Committee's work programme to support their decisions. The Committee discussed the Tiriti Whare model, Kathie being the conduit between the two Whare and a potential opportunity to modify the model.

The Mana Whenua Whare stated that the collateral out of this process like shared karakia, stories, values and Waiata can live beyond this process to bring people together. They are a spiritual element that captures the essence of the journey taken together and tell the story.

The meeting broke for morning tea

ITEM 3 – TE MANA O TE WAI

3.1 Presentation from Kāwanatanga Whare on their expression of the three Crown/Community principles

Monique shared a PowerPoint presentation (*Appendix 1*) on the Kāwanatanga Whare Committee members expression of the Crown principles stated in Te Mana o te Wai: Governance, Stewardship, and Care and Respect.

Questions were asked and a discussion took place between the Committee members and kaimahi regarding where the Crown and Pākehā receive their power.

Noted that te Tiriti o Waitangi created the opportunity for the Crown to establish a Westminster system of governing the people that settled here. It was discussed how this Western system of governing has a different understanding of where its authority comes from than that of Whakapapa and Tikanga systems for Māori. A question was asked from the Mana Whenua Whare to the Kāwanatanga Whare if this is their framework as well and asked how Pākehā define the 'Governance' principle.

A question was asked from the Mana Whenua Whare to their kaimahi for their opinion on where Pākehā received their power. They spoke to the difference in Whakapapa based connection with te ao Māori and reflected that Pākehā safety and security comes from that power and authority from the dominant system of governance. It was stated: What is the role of Pākehā's if they step out of formal governance?

A question was asked from the Mana Whenua Whare to GWRC Kaimahi, if all water take consents issued are controlled and limited by the minimum flow levels?

Noted the response that they are largely controlled by the consent conditions.

A committee member from the Kāwanatanga Whare acknowledge that there were no Compliance or Consents officers here from GWRC.

Requested from Mana Whenua Whare – To have a better understanding of how consents on the Kāpiti coast are limited at low flows. Firstly, highlighting the minimum flow limits for each of the Kāpiti water management units in the Whaitua and schedule R (of GWRC proposed Natural Resources Plan). Secondly, to look at example conditions on consents for the following:

1. "Standard" low flow conditions on consents in the Kāpiti Whaitua (examples of takes from each of the management units for surface water, category A, and stream depleting category B).
2. Consents with non-standard low flow conditions, e.g., consents with a more restrictive low flow condition to mitigate effects.

The Kāwanatanga Whare presented on the next two principles.

The group discussed where good decision making comes from the perspective of both Whare.

A question was asked from the Mana Whenua Whare on how to create conditions that enforce compliance and identifying the barriers to that.

The meeting broke for lunch

3.2 Presentation from Mana Whenua Whare on further expression of Te Mana o te Wai from last session

Mahina-a-rangi presented and shared Principles statement documents. (*Appendix 2*)

A question-and-answer session followed.

The Mana Whenua Whare asked the Kāwanatanga Whare their thoughts on the use of 'Pākehā' in a policy context within the joint statement. The group discussed the topic of identity and what it means to be Pākehā.

Requested from the Mana Whenua Whare – The Kāwanatanga Whare to confirm the language they feel comfortable to use to identify the Crown/community system of knowledge and regulation; as well as the language to use for the non-Māori communities on the Kāpiti Coast.

For example: Pākehā/Tangata Tiriti/Western/Tau iwi

The Kāwanatanga Whare requested to caucus on this and bring back their thoughts to the Tiriti Whare.

The Mana Whenua Whare continued to speak to the principles statement document presented and spoke to the rights and responsibilities that were affirmed through te Tiriti o Waitangi.

The group discussed who would be writing the reports, the purpose of the WIP report and who the audience is.

The Mana Whenua Whare noted a concern that if they are aiming to provide a product as close as possible to that required for the plan change, and GWRC's framework and process aren't in place, then there is a risk to the integrity of the kōrero.

GWRC Kaimahi stated that Council is yet to approve Motion 2 from the May hui (*see decisions table*) and the request for the Committee leading the process and writing the Section 32 report will require approval by Council. Currently there is no process established for the Committee to lead and write the Section 32 report, GWRC Kaimahi explained the plan change process involves Council workshops, consultation on drafts and Council sign off prior to notification.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi to seek approval for the Kāwanatanga Whare Committee members to be retained for the second phase of the Whaitua Kāpiti process and for the Committee leading the process and writing the Section 32 report.

The Kāwanatanga Whare stated it was fundamental to them to know where the Regional Council is politically with the way the law is on the rights of water with Māori.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi to report to what extent their organisation is progressing the rights and interests of iwi and Māori for freshwater in relation to mana whakahaere before the next caucus hui.

The Mana Whenua Whare finished their presentation, and the group confirmed the agenda for their individual caucus time.

ITEM 4 – TE MANA O TE WAI OBJECTIVE

Agreed – that the TMotW statement for an Objective in the Regional Policy Statement (RPS) will be agreed at the next Tiriti Whare hui, 19 July.

ITEM 5 – INDIVIDUAL WHARE CAUCUS TIME

The two-Whare separated to decide on the following:

1. The outputs of the Whaitua Kāpiti are that two reports are prepared

- a. A WIP recommendations document (1 plan)
 - b. An (RMA) Section 32 report (1 report)
2. The form of the WIP – noting that the WIP informs the drafting of GWRC’s Regional Plan.
 - a. Audience, scope and use
3. Report writing adopts a Kaupapa Māori reporting model
4. Process for writing report (who?)

Minutes are not taken during individual caucus time.

Kathie welcomed the two Whare back together and asked both to provide feedback on what was discussed and decided.

Both Whare agreed to:

Decision – To the two outputs of the Whaitua Kāpiti.

Decision – To have a Kaupapa Māori model for report writing.

Decision – To writing a joint report with two writers from each Whare.

The Kāwanatanga Whare raised that additional resourcing would be required to write the Section 32 report and WIP recommendation document.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi to seek direction from the Kāwanatanga Whare on allocating or procuring resource for WIP and (RMA) Section 32 writing personnel.

The Kāwanatanga Whare raised the matter of time commitment for their committee members as it differs from the expectations stated in the Terms of Reference.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi to seek direction from the Kāwanatanga Whare regarding the time commitment concerns and review the Terms of Reference for consideration of appropriate resourcing .

The Mana Whenua Whare raised that additional resourcing with specific skillset understanding Māori concepts and context, would be required to write the Section 32 report, WIP document and taking notes within their caucus hui.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi to seek direction from the Mana Whenua Whare on procurement for resourcing WIP, Section 32 writing personnel and support for caucus minutes.

The Mana Whenua Whare provided further feedback on the caucus agenda:

- Expressed that the form of the WIP recommendation report to be lean, to capture decisions spatially with GIS maps and written to inform GWRC’s Regional Plan.
- Expressed to have a different option (such as videos) to communicate the WIP recommendations to the community.

The Kāwanatanga Whare expressed that the Mana Whenua Whare can access both Council’s GIS staff resources if required for spatial mapping.

The Mana Whenua Whare stated that there is a transcriber at all the Tiriti Whare hui and questioned utilising these notes to support the writing and decision-making process.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi to investigate the use, information, and privacy management of TMS transcripts as a resource for (RMA) Section 32 and WIP reports, and report to the Committee prior to the July Tiriti Whare hui.

The group then discussed ideas to support their caucus time and decision making.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi and Mana Whenua Whare kaimahi to create a comprehensive WIP report template for the Committee, that contains a simple decision-making item template to test how agenda items fit into and progress the substance of the WIP and (RMA) section 32 reports.

Requested from Mana Whenua Whare – To have examples of previous WIP recommendations and the concrete provisions that came out of them in relation to specific decisions areas for this Committee.

The meeting broke for afternoon tea

ITEM 6 – FRESHWATER MANAGEMENT UNITS (FMUs)

Mana Whenua Whare Kaimahi joined online and shared a first draft of the spatial extent of FMUs as they relate to kaitiaki responsibilities and other considerations.

The Mana Whenua Whare stated their position is that there should not be sub-FMUs.

A question was raised from the Mana Whenua Whare asking if Kāpiti Island is part of this process.

Action – GWRC Kaimahi to seek information on the inclusion of Kāpiti Island in the Whaitua Committee's decisions and report to the Committee prior to July Tiriti Whare hui.

The Kāwanatanga Whare reflected on their considerations and understanding on appropriate FMUs boundaries.

The Committee discussed the management of FMUs with GWRC Kaimahi and the differences with a te ao Māori perspective.

Agreed – that Te Tiriti House agreement on draft FMUs for the NRP will be agreed at the next Tiriti Whare hui, 19 July.

ITEM 7 – DIRECTION ON PROCUREMENT OF SUPPORT FOR RESOURCING AND PROCUREMENT OF PLAN CHANGE EVIDENCE NEEDS.

This agenda item was discussed during agenda item 5 and actions have been recorded.

ITEM 8 – NEXT STEPS/ AGENDA FOR NEXT HUI

Kathie recaps the conversation of the day.

Action – Wednesday 28 June hui for kaimahi to come together to agree to the agenda for July hui.

The group discussed the May minutes.

A Kāwanatanga Whare Committee member questioned why the Council kaimahi are noted in the Kāwanatanga Whare.

Action – GWRC kaimahi to remove GWRC kaimahi connection to the Kāwanatanga Whare from the May hui, attendance section of the minutes, but to retain the Mana whenua kaimahi as part of the Mana Whenua Whare.

ITEM 9 – CLOSING COMMENTS, RECAP KEY ACTIONS AND AGREEMENTS

Next meeting: Wednesday 19 July 2023.

Pātaka shared closing statements and closed with a karakia.

END OF DAY

CONFIRMED ACTION REGISTER

Note that all actions captured during the Committee meeting must be clearly stated as an action and providing instruction to minute taker to note down. If there is no clear instruction to capture an action, it will be included in the requests log/eddy.

Opened	Action	Update	Owner
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to produce a paper on respective roles and enforcement powers of GWRC and KCDC.	<u>June note:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In progress. 	GWRC kaimahi
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to produce and present a technical paper on the limit setting process, that addresses where allocation is at, and includes commentary from mana whenua for the right to use water.	<u>June note:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This information is available however it is proposed to be provided as part of the water allocation topic, rather than out of sequence. 	GWRC kaimahi
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to produce a glossary of 'policy jargon' and identify commonly used Māori terms, to support the committee's decision making.	<u>June note:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Draft prepared. However, definitions are in the NPS-FM itself. Proposed that jargon be explained as they arise through the process. 	GWRC kaimahi
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi will follow up with members about remaining bios and photos for the GWRC website.	<u>June note:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Still waiting on a few bios and photos. 	GWRC kaimahi
10/05/23	GWRC kaimahi to report to the long-term plan (LTP) committee to understand the connection, start thinking about whaitua implementation and to connect with KCDC's officers to support as well.	<u>June note:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In progress. 	GWRC kaimahi
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to seek approval for the Kāwanatanga Whare Committee members to be retained for the second phase of the Whaitua Kāpiti process and for the Committee leading the process and writing the Section 32 report.		GWRC kaimahi
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to report to what extent their organisation is progressing the rights and interests of iwi and Māori for freshwater in relation to mana whakahaere		GWRC kaimahi
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to seek direction from the Kāwanatanga Whare on allocating or procuring resource for WIP and (RMA) Section 32 writing personnel.		GWRC kaimahi
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to seek direction from the Kāwanatanga Whare regarding the time commitment concerns and review the Terms of Reference for appropriate of resourcing together.		GWRC kaimahi
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to seek direction from the Mana Whenua Whare on procurement for resourcing WIP, Section 32 writing personnel and support for caucus minutes.		GWRC kaimahi
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to investigate the use, information, and privacy management of TMS transcripts as a resource for (RMA) Section 32 and WIP reports and email the Committee prior to the July Tiriti Whare hui.		GWRC kaimahi

21/06/23	GWRC Kaimahi and Mana Whenua Whare kaimahi to create a comprehensive WIP report template for the Committee, that contains a simple decision-making item template to test how agenda items fit into and progress the substance of the WIP and (RMA) section 32 reports.	<u>June note:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This will be supplied at the 19 July hui 	GWRC kaimahi and Mana Whenua Whare kaimahi
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to seek information on the inclusion of Kāpiti Island in the Whaitua Committee's decisions and report to the Committee prior to July Tiriti Whare hui.		GWRC kaimahi

CLOSED ACTIONS AND REQUESTS

Opened	Action	Update	Owner	Status
08/03/23	The Mana Whenua Whare asked for background information regarding Whaitua decision making and how the committee would receive this information.	The committee's decision at the 10 May hui requiring the anything brought to the Tiriti Whare must include the whakapapa/background with it.		Proposed Closure 21/06/23
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to provide hard copies of all WIP documents that have been produced, including iwi statements, for the committee to read.	This was supplied at the 10 May hui	GWRC kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to laminate the figure 2 from the National Objectives Framework (NOF) guideline and bring it to every committee meeting for visual aid.	This was supplied at the 10 May hui	GWRC kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to email the previous minutes to the committee members for their approval and/or amendments.	GWRC Kaimahi sent an email to the Committee: 13/04/23	GWRC kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to circulate dates for future hui for confirmation	GWRC Kaimahi sent an email to the Committee: 17/04/23	GWRC kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
05/04/23	GWRC kaimahi to create a shared folder for both houses to upload and share information.	The SharePoint site has been developed.	GWRC Kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23

		GWRC Kaimahi can provide support to gain access if there are issues.		
10/05/23	GWRC kaimahi to remove Jordan's name and add Aimee's to the attendees list from the Whaitua Kāpiti Committee minutes, 5 April 2023.		GWRC Kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
21/06/23	Wednesday 28 June hui for kaimahi to come together to agree to the agenda for July hui.		Kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
21/06/23	GWRC kaimahi to remove GWRC kaimahi connection to the Kāwanatanga Whare from the May hui, attendance section of the minutes, but to retain the Mana whenua kaimahi as part of the Mana Whenua Whare. Once completed, emailed to Kathie and Taurite for approval.		GWRC kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
Opened	Request	Update	Owner	Status
10/05/23	GWRC kaimahi to produce a table of decisions document the Whaitua Kāpiti Committee are required to make, where the aims are to have joint outputs and signals that might need to be received. The document is to include a column stating what other decision this Committee will have input to, and another column particularly to Tangata Whenua that might be seen as operational. Requested from: Mana Whenua Whare (item 6)	This information is stated in the programme plan.	GWRC kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
10/05/23	To develop the programme of work, the first phase until November 2023 and the second phase starting in October to November 2023. Requested from: Kāwanatanga Whare (item 7)	Claire and Phill have developed this.	Mana Whenua Whare and GWRC Kaimahi	Proposed Closure 21/06/23
10/05/23	A paper stating what is and isn't deliverable for time considerations. Requested from: Kāwanatanga Whare (item 7)	This information is stated in the programme plan.		Proposed Closure 21/06/23

REQUESTS LOG/EDDY

Note that all requests said during the Committee meeting by either of the Whare will be captured in this request log/eddy. If it was not clearly stated or instructed to be captured as an action, it will stay here until it is officially confirmed as an action.

Opened	Request	Update	Owner	Status
10/05/2023 1	GWRC kaimahi to provide information on the science behind consented water allocation, and how a consent is approved or declined. Requested from: Kāwanatanga Whare (item 2)			
10/05/2023 2	GWRC kaimahi to provide information on the possibility of the Consents team to start putting consent clauses on all new water take consent conditions, to link back to the outcomes of this whitua process. Requested from: Mana Whenua Whare (item 2)			
10/05/2023 3	the Kāwanatanga House speak to the other 3 Pākehā principles in TMoTW, including their take on what those concepts mean. Requested from: Mana Whenua Whare (item 2)			
10/05/2023	An in-depth session with Kathie, to understand the Tiriti House model. Requested from: Kāwanatanga Whare (item 6)			
10/05/2023	When the Kāwanatanga Whare is doing their Tiriti House Model session, to please address GWRC's position in the Kāwanatanga House, to provide clarity and address the power structures. Requested from: Mana Whenua Whare (item 6)			
10/05/2023	GWRC kaimahi to circulate to the Whitua Kāpiti Committee the Whitua Implementation 10-page report that was provided to their Environment Committee workshop in April. Requested from: Kāwanatanga Whare (item 7)			

10/05/2023 6	<p>GWRC, KCDC kaimahi and the Kāwanatanga Whare Committee members to share their perspective on identifying FMUs, their rationale behind it, the process and approach.</p> <p>Requested from: Mana Whenua Whare (item 7)</p>			
21/06/23 7	<p>To have a mechanism clarified by which the two Whare can communicate between hui and to assist with reaching consensus if required.</p> <p>Requested from: Both Whare (item 2)</p>			
21/06/23 8	<p>To have a better understanding of how consents on the Kāpiti coast are limited at low flows. Firstly, highlighting the minimum flow limits for each of the Kāpiti water management units in the Whaitua and schedule R (of GWRC proposed Natural Resources Plan). Secondly, to look at example conditions on consents for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "Standard" low flow conditions on consents in the Kāpiti Whaitua (examples of takes from each of the management units for surface water, category A, and stream depleting category B). 2. Consents with non-standard low flow conditions, e.g. consents with a more restrictive low flow condition to mitigate effects <p>Request from: Mana Whenua Whare (item 3)</p>			
21/06/23 9	<p>The Kāwanatanga Whare to confirm the language they feel comfortable to use to identify the Crown/community system of knowledge and regulation; as well as the language to use for the non-Māori communities on the Kāpiti Coast. For example: Pākehā/Tangata Tiriti/Western/Tau iwi</p> <p>Request from: Mana Whenua Whare (item 3)</p>			
21/06/23 10	<p>To have examples of previous WIP recommendations and the concrete provisions that came out of them in relation to specific decisions areas for this Committee.</p>			

DECISIONS LOG

Note that all decisions captured during the Committee meeting must be clearly stated as an action and providing instruction to minute taker to note down. If there is no clear instruction to capture a decision, it will be included in the requests log/eddy.

Opened	Decision	Update
05/04/23	Presentations to be shared in committee hui, are to be shared 1 week in advance, and slides are to be numbered.	
05/04/23	Minutes and agenda will be circulated in two weeks in advance of the next meeting.	
10/05/23	New people attending the Committee hui are to be introduced and welcomed, to establish relationships.	
10/05/23	Motion 1 – Accepting Mana Whenua Whare work programme.	
10/05/23	Motion 2 – the Kāwanatanga House representatives are retained for the second phase of the Whaitua Kāpiti process which will be resourced appropriately to recommend limits, action plans and other methods of the Whaitua Kāpiti process.	The motion did not carry and was left open.
10/05/23	Motion 3 – Approval of the previous Whaitua Kāpiti Committee minutes from 5 April 2023.	
10/05/23	To recognise that decisions happening in the Tiriti House context, need to include Mana Whenua Whare. When there are decisions to be made for the process, they are going to be made at the Tiriti House.	
10/05/23	That anything brought to the Tiriti House must include the whakapapa (meaning the background and research about the idea) that comes with it.	
21/06/23	To the two outputs of the Whaitua Kāpiti. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A WIP recommendations document (1 plan) • An (RMA) Section 32 report (1 report) 	
21/06/23	To have a Kaupapa Māori model for report writing.	
21/06/23	To writing a joint report with two writers from each Whare.	

Appendix

Appendix 1 – (Agenda item 3.1) Kāwanatanga Whare expression of the three Crown/Community principles in TMoTW.....	15
Appendix 2 – (Agenda 3.2) Mana Whenua presentation on further expressions of TMoTW principles	18
Appendix 3 – Te Mana o Ngā Manu Audit Check.....	19

Appendix 1 – (Agenda item 3.1) Kāwanatanga Whare expression of the three Crown/Community principles in TMoTW



1



2

Slides

Governance — the responsibility of those with authority for making decisions about freshwater to do so in a way that prioritises the health and well-being of freshwater now and into the future.

• Principle breakdown —

- Decision-makers, people with delegated or other authority to make decisions about freshwater, are responsible, accountable.
- Decision-makers must treat the health and well-being of freshwater as the most important factor, when considering any decisions made about freshwater.
- The present and future impacts on freshwater health must be considered by decision-makers.

Decision-makers are people with delegated or other authority to make decisions about freshwater. Not limited to the RMA context.

5

Stewardship – survey snippets

'With climate change confronting us, we need to protect our waterways for the sustenance and wellbeing of future generations.'

'All water sources need to be cared and maintained so that future generations can enjoy the life-giving waters.'

'That my grandchildren and future generations can have the opportunity to go trout fishing in the future.'

'Healthy clean water for drinking, swimming, fish and other species. I would rather be poorer financially and live more simply but have healthier water.'

6

Stewardship – the obligation of all New Zealanders to manage freshwater in a way that ensures it sustains present and future generations.

- Principle breakdown –
 - Freshwater impacts all New Zealanders, and all New Zealanders have an impact on freshwater. Therefore, all New Zealanders have a duty and responsibility to care for freshwater.
 - This care and duty includes the responsibility of looking ahead to ensure not only the present generation will be supported, but future generations will be too.

7

Care and respect – survey snippets

Survey respondents understood a healthy functioning freshwater system affects other aspects and relationships that are important to them, including the integrity of the waterways themselves, as well as health, social, recreational, and economic benefits.

Rivers, streams, lakes and wetlands, and areas adjacent to waterways provide whānau, neighbours and community groups spaces to gather for picnics, socialising, exercise, and fun. The healing and soothing power of freshwater also helps people to relax, destress and recharge.

'If it's a hot day, we ring up neighbours and say, "Hey, let's go for a swim and connect." That value is a huge part of who this place is.'

'Being by the water is connected to our wellbeing. It is peaceful. It washes away our stress. It has a therapeutic effect on all of us.' (The Shed Project)

8

Care and respect – the responsibility of all New Zealanders to care for freshwater in providing for the health of the nation.

- Principle breakdown –
 - Reinforces the vital importance of New Zealand's freshwater, whose health is to be respected as integral to the well-being of communities.
 - Therefore, caring for freshwater must be a collective effort – with all New Zealanders having a role to play.

9

Appendix 2 – (Agenda item 3.2) Mana Whenua presentation on further expressions of TMoTW principles

Presentation has been requested, but not yet provided

Appendix 3 – Te Mana o Ngā Manu Audit Check

TE MANA O TE WAI, WHAITUA HUI - WHARE ROA 21 Pipiri 2023

Te Mana o Ngā Manu Audit Check

TIRITI HOUSE

#	Manu	Meaning	Kaupapa
2	Kotare (sitting on line)	Future seers; Sentry of Repo; Watchful and observant; Wairua of the Repo	Tiriti House model discussion
2	Piwaiwaka (around whare)	Curious; fearless of life or death; Challenger from Tane	Receiving information from Mana Whenua whare and vice versa

KAWANATANGA WHARE

#	Manu	Meaning	Kaupapa
2	Kahu	Coming together of rangatira; making important decisions; focus; clarity; purpose	Governance & Authority, Mandate
2	Unknown (repo)	Whaia te waahi ngaro	Care & Regard through Implementation

MANA WHENUA WHARE

#	Manu	Meaning	Kaupapa
2	Piwaiwaka (flying around whare and repo)	Curious; fearless of life or death; Challenger from Tane; Maui narrative in the role of the Piwaiwaka in Hine nui te Po	Affecting Tiriti Policy Policy & Implementation Possible outcomes
1	Sparrow (hopping on deck)	Old wisdom	Decision-making in each whare
2	Kahu	Coming together of rangatira; making important decisions; focus; clarity; purpose	Is there a benefit of writing this policy in a particular text? What are the outcomes we're seeking? Commitment to drafting WIP & completing S32
2	Pukeko	Flexible, Adaptable, Social; Constant adapting in their habitats	Recognising interest holders in care and use of water
2	Poaka	Wading in; Getting in the water; roosting and feeding together	Recognising interest holders in care and use of water