Appendix 6: Summary Recommendation Table

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Taumata Arowai (S116)	\$116.017			5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Notes that the WSA framework for identification, monitoring and management of risks to water sources is intended to work together with resource management legislation. Notes that changes are made to rules that recognise proximity to source water intakes but that no change has been made to the reference to drinking water supplies (community drinking water supply and group drinking water supply) and these references are out of date due to the repeal of the Health (Drinking Water Amendment Act) 2007 and enactment of the WSA.	Amend provisions as appropriate to reflect legislative changes to what constitutes a drinking water supply.		Reject
Taumata Arowai (S116)		Forest & Bird	FS23.585	5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Sofia Holloway (S13)	S13.003			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.	Support		Aligns with central government direction.	Retain as notified		Accept
Sofia Holloway (S13)	S13.004			5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.8 Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing.	Support		Aligns with central government direction.	Retain as notified		Accept
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)	S151.046			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.	Amend		Question whether the reference to water processes should be more specific, such as 'drinking water processes	Consider referring to 'drinking water processes'. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Horticulture New Zealand	FS1.017	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Oppose	This rule does not need to be more specific.	Disallow	Retain reference to "water". Do not amend to "drinking water processes".	Accept
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1373	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
vonne Weeber	\$183.100			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor	Support		Firefighting training on	Not stated		Accept
5183)	0100.100				burning – permitted	Cupport		Wellington Airport could			/ looopt
,200,					activity.			occur in the coastal			
					uotinty.			marine area.			
vonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.100	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Accept
(183)		Haywards Residents			burning-permitted			should be protected or			
,		Community			activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
		. ,						remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
	1		1	1	1		1	appropriate for industrial	1		1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.101			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.	Support		appropriate. Firefighting training for Wellington airport could occur in the coastal marine area.	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.101	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.102			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas	Oppose		appropriate. Considers large scale	Requested interim measurable milestones		Reject
(S183)	5100.102			Siz nii quality futos	and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.	50000		burning of natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas should not be occurring	for phasing out large scale natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas generators.		
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.102	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								and ecological values,			recommendation
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								, prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
	0400 400			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or	Oppose		Considers the burning of	Seeks the inclusion of interim measurable		Reject
Yvonne Weeber	S183.103			0.17 in quality rates	Hate Ho. Biodet of	Oppose					
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.103				kerosene blends –	oppose		diesel and kerosene	milestones for phasing out large scale		

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								blends should not be occurring.			
onne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.103	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Reject
(183)		Haywards Residents			kerosene blends –			should be protected or			
		Community			permitted activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
		. ,						remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
							MPHRCI supports				
							retention of provisions				
							(and plan amendments)				
							that will help achieve this				
							outcome, and opposes				
							provisions that will not				
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
						1	1	and waste management			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.104			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.104	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.105			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.105	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								earthworks activities on			recommendation
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			-
Yvonne Weeber	S183.106			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light	Oppose		Considers that the	Seeks the inclusion of interim measurable		Reject
(S183)					fuel oil, and			burning of coal, light fuel	milestones for phasing out large scale		
					petroleum distillates			oil, and petroleum	coal, light fuel oil and petroleum distillates		
					of higher viscosity –			distillates of higher	of high viscosity generators.		
					permitted activity.			viscosity should not be			
								occurring			
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.106	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Reject
(S183)	1	Haywards Residents	1	1	fuel oil, and		1	should be protected or		1	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Community			petroleum distillates			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			of higher viscosity –			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")			permitted activity.			degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
		1	1					facilitate the rezoning of			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.107			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R12: Emergency power generators –	Support		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.107	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R12: Emergency power generators – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area.MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.108			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.108	5.1 Air quality rules	Permitted activity. Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'''. This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.109			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)	0100.100			July and quality rates	coating not within an						
(0100)					enclosed space –						
					permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.109	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
		Haywards Residents	1327.109	J.I All quality fulles	coating not within an		Support	should be protected or		NUL SLALEU	
(S183)		Community			enclosed space –			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
	1	niculpulate	1	1	permitted activity.					1	1
								degraded ecoecially ave			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our remaining native bush			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								areas and all streams and		1	
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
	1							Recent substantial			
1	1							vegetation clearance and			
1	1							earthworks activities on			
	1							the site have resulted in			
	1							significant adverse			
	1							environmental effects which should be			
								remedied and mitigated. There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
1	1							'planned / existing urban area'". This is a			
	1							disingenuous and			
	1							arrogant statement to			
	1							make. There is			
	1							considerable community			
	1							concern about, and			
	1							opposition to, 30			
	1							Benmore Street being			
	1							considered as a			
	1							potentially appropriate			
	1							site for urban			
	1							development, let alone			
	1							being considered			
	1							appropriate for industrial			
	1							and waste management			
	1							land uses. MPHRCI does			
	1							not agree with the relief			
	1							sought by those			
	1							submitters seeking to			
	1							facilitate the rezoning of			
	1							30 Benmore Street to an			
	1							urban zone. Similarly,			
	1							MPHRCI does not agree			
	<u> </u>							with the submitters		<u> </u>	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.110			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes –	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.110	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.111			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.111	5.1 Air quality rules	activity. Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.112			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.112	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								(and plan amendments)		1	recommentation
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate site for urban			
								development, let alone			
(I								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
1								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.113			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.113	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.114			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.114	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management	Allow	Not stated	Norecommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.115			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.115	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Image:	Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Ny Sure dia Construct Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure												
Image:												
Image:												
Section of contracts and exclusion of contracts and												
be at the network of the second of the secon												
significant devires devines devines devines de									earthworks activities on			
Image:												
Image:												
Image: set in the set in												
Image:												
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 1 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2												
her hand being reached here in the single second with Water Neugeneres New York west absorbed, with being be												
Image:												
Molified dees not algee Molified dees not algee With Addees alge be With Adde												
with Vactab Konzegnord With Konz												
New Zealand Lumber Und "planument for the state to be covery plant is well? a deconcert, with occursal a copert assessments under taken 174 a state and a state a state and a state a st									with Waste Management			
Aplaneining for the siles to Section 10												
Image:									"planning for the site to			
a donation, with several a donation has been been been been been been been bee												
Image: Section												
Image: set in the set in												
a b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b												
suitable and environment areacts and as such is should be considered and or such is should be considered as and is such is should be and as such is should be considered as and arragent statement to make. There is considered as and potentially appropriate as for units and waste management and waste management and waste management being considered or induction and waste management and waste management and waste management being considered or induction and waste management and w												
and as auch if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban auch if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) author if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban auth) author if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban author") (hoting uban a												
and as such is should be considered part of the 'planes' -kitting utuan after:"This is a disfingtrouces and utuas: There is considered bar of the considered as potentially appropriate set for utuas: is for												
Image:												
i juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint <td></td>												
area ²⁷ . This is a disingenuous and arrogent statement to make. There's conservation, and considerable community conservation, and performance. Street bong considerable considerable protectivity appropriate site for units that appropriate for industrial and waste managent appropriate for industrial and waste managent submitters seebing to submitters												
arrogent statement to makeThere is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Beemore's Cet being considered as potenticity appropriate she for urban development, lot done being considered appropriate she for urban development, lot done appropriate she for urban development, lot done appropriate she for urban development, lot done development dev												
Image: There is considerable community considerabe considerabe <td></td>												
considerable community considered a sa potentiality appropriate site for ruban development, it eatine being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter submitter being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter submitter being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter being considered a sa not agree with the rule of submitter being considered a sa not agree with the submitter being considered a sa not agree being considered a sa not agree being considered a												
concern about, and appoption to: 3.0 Bernore Street being considered as potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those sought												
a a a opposition to, 30 B b b b considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone b b b b b advestorment, let alone b b b b advestorment, let alone b b b advestormanagement let alone b advestormanagement let alone b let alone b b advestormanagement let alone advestormanagement let alone southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters see												
Bemore Street being Considered as a potentially appropriate site for undustrial advectopment, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and wase management Lind uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 3D Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRC1 does not agree with the submitters Street is rural land zoned Street is rural land zoned Street is rural land zoned Street a subal rura see, provide a suban or as 'planed urban'. A prohibited a chirdy status to prevent urban land uses on this fe, or												
considered as a performance of a second provide provide a second provide provide provide provide a second provide												
potentially appropriate site for urban development. let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHACI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the recoining of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarlay, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way subuilit be considered as urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban". A prohibilited activity status to prevent urban land.									-			
site for urban development, tet alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bernore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bernore Streets in zural land zone for urat purposes and in no way should be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land									potentially appropriate			
development, let atone being considered appropriate for industrial and wases. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the reconing of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does and agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bemmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as "planned urban". As prohibited as urban or as "planned urban". As provide das urban or as "planned urban". As provide as urban or as "planned urban". As to prevent urban land									site for urban			
appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the recording of 30 Bennore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bennore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be Considered as urban or as 'planed urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land												
and uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRC1 does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bemmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should the considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									being considered			
Image: Section of agree with the relief Submitters seeking to Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it to the Considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A 'planned urban'. A </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>appropriate for industrial</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									appropriate for industrial			
h otagree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bennore Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bennore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban or as 'plann												
sought by those submitters serving of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural lad mored for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as trban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
submittret speeking to facilitate speeking to												
Image: Street to an Image: Street to an Image: I									SUUGIIL DY THOSE			
30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
Image: Similar Simar Simar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Simi												
MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street Street Jand Zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent than land uses on this site, or												
with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purpes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
reasoning 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									reasoning. 30 Benmore			
no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									Street is rural land zoned			
considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									for rural purposes and in			
'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
uses on this site, or												
									uses on this site, or discharges to water from			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								activities on this site, is			recommendation
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.116			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(5165)					enclosed booth -						
					permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.116	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents Community			blasting within an enclosed booth –			should be protected or improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated. There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
		1						development, let alone	1		1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.117			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.117	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.118			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.118	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
							l	appropriate.	1		l

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Yvonne Weeber	S183.119			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					storage – permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.119	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents			storage - permitted			should be protected or			
. ,		Community			activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	and waste management	1		1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.120			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.120	5.1 Air quality rules	 permitted activity. Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production permitted activity. 		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
Yvonne Weeber	\$183.121			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee	Neutral		activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)	5165.121			5.1 All quality fulles	roasting – permitted activity.	Neutrat		Not stated	NULSIALEU		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.121	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'''. This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.122			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food,	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)	0100.122			and a during rates	animal or plant						
()					matter						
					manufacturing and						
					processing-						

Yvonne Weeber (S183)	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.122	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing –		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is	Allow
(S183)	Community Incorporate			matter manufacturing and			improved where it is	
	Incorporate			manufacturing and				
								1
				DIOCESSING -			degraded or risks being	
							degraded, especially our	
				permitted activity.			remaining native bush areas and all streams and	
							rivers in the Dry Creek	
							Catchment and	
							surrounding area.	
							MPHRCI supports	
							retention of provisions	
							(and plan amendments)	
							that will help achieve this	
							outcome, and opposes	
							provisions that will not	
							help achieve or will	
							frustrate this outcome. 30	
							Benmore Street had, until	
							very recently, relatively	
							high natural character	
							and ecological values,	
							open space values, and	
							rural amenity values. Recent substantial	
							vegetation clearance and	
							earthworks activities on	
							the site have resulted in	
							significant adverse	
							environmental effects	
							which should be	
							remedied and mitigated.	
							There is substantial	
I							community objection to	
							this land being rezoned	
							from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	
							with Waste Management	
							New Zealand Limited that	
							"planning for the site to	
							be used for a resource	
							recovery park is well	
							advanced, with several	
							expert assessments	
							undertaken that	
							demonstrate the use is	
							suitable and	
							environmental effects	
							and as such it should be	
							considered part of the	
							'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a	
							disingenuous and	
							arrogant statement to	
							make. There is	
							considerable community	
							concern about, and	
							opposition to, 30	
							Benmore Street being	
							considered as a	
							potentially appropriate	
							site for urban	
							development, let alone	
							being considered	
							appropriate for industrial	
							and waste management	
				1	1	1		
							land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief	

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.123			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.123	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.124			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.124	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								earthworks activities on			recommendation
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
1								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
1								undertaken that demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'''. This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
1								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate site for urban			
1								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
1								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
1								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.125			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35A: Gas	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					processes – permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.125	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35A: Gas		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(0100)		Haywards Residents			processes -			should be protected or			
(S183)			1	1	permitted activity.	1	1	improved where it is	1	1	1
(5183)		Community			permitted activity.						
(5183)		Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being degraded, especially our			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated. There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
		1	1	1				MPHRCI does not agree			1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.126			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals –	Support		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.126	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								arrogant statement to			. seeminendudion
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
Vyonno Wooher	\$192 127			5.1 Air quality rulae	Conoral conditions	Support		activities on this site, is appropriate.	Not stated		Accent
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.127			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of	Support		activities on this site, is	Not stated		Accept
(S183)	S183.127	Manor Park and	FS27,127		for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.127	Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.127	5.1 Air quality rules 5.1 Air quality rules	for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment	Not stated Allow	Not stated	Accept Accept
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.127	Haywards Residents	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.127		FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area.		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments)		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values,		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values.		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and		Not stated	
	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.127	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.127		for the discharge of agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of	Support	Support	activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse		Not stated	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects			
								and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone			
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.128			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals –	Support		activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.128	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					1			MPHRCI supports			recommendation
				1				retention of provisions			
	1			1				(and plan amendments)			
	1		/	1				that will help achieve this			
				1				outcome, and opposes			
				1				provisions that will not			
				1				help achieve or will			
				1				frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until			
		1		1				very recently, relatively			
		1		1				high natural character			
		1		1				and ecological values,			
		1		1				open space values, and			
		1		1				rural amenity values.			
		1		1				Recent substantial			
				1				vegetation clearance and			
		1		1				earthworks activities on			
		1		1				the site have resulted in			
	1		/	I				significant adverse			
	1		/	I				environmental effects			
	1		/	I				which should be			
	1		/	I				remedied and mitigated.			
	1			I				There is substantial community objection to			
		1		1							
		1		1				this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
		1		1				MPHRCI does not agree			
		1		1				with Waste Management			
		1		1				New Zealand Limited that			
		1		1				"planning for the site to			
		1		1				be used for a resource			
				1				recovery park is well			
		1		1				advanced, with several			
		1		1				expert assessments			
		1		1				undertaken that			
		1		1				demonstrate the use is			
		1		1				suitable and			
		1		1				environmental effects			
		1		1				and as such it should be considered part of the			
		1		1				'planned / existing urban			
				1				area'''. This is a			
		1		1				disingenuous and			
		1		1				arrogant statement to			
	1		/	I				make. There is			
	1		/	I				considerable community			
	1			l				concern about, and			
	1		/	l				opposition to, 30			
	1			l				Benmore Street being			
	1		/	I				considered as a			
	1		/	I				potentially appropriate			
	1		/	I				site for urban			
	1		/	I				development, let alone			
	1		/	1				being considered			
	1		/	l				appropriate for industrial			
	1			l				and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
	1		/	I				not agree with the relief			
	1		/	I				sought by those			
	1		/	I				submitters seeking to			
	1		/	I				facilitate the rezoning of			
	1		/	1				30 Benmore Street to an			
	1		/	l				urban zone. Similarly,			
	1		/	l				MPHRCI does not agree			
	1			l				with the submitters			
	1		/	I				reasoning. 30 Benmore			
	1		/	I				Street is rural land zoned			
	1		/	I				for rural purposes and in			
					1	1	1	no way should it be	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1	1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								considered as urban or as			recommendation
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.129			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
(S183)					and aerial discharge of agrichemicals –						
					permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.129	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Accept
(S183)		Haywards Residents Community			and aerial discharge of agrichemicals –			should be protected or improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek			
ĺ								Catchment and			
I								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
ĺ								There is substantial community objection to			
1								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.130			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity.	Support		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.130	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	
								no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.131			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.131	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								(and plan amendments)		1	recommentation
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate site for urban			
								development, let alone			
(I								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
1								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.132			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.132	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.046			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.	Support		appropriate. Firefighting training on Wellington Airport could occur in the coastal marine area.	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.469	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.047			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.	Support		appropriate. Airport fire service training at Wellington Airport could be done in the coastal marine area.	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.470	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								provisions that will not			recommendation
	1							help achieve or will			
	1							frustrate this outcome. 30	1		
1	1							Benmore Street had, until	1		
1	1							very recently, relatively	1		
	1							high natural character			
	1							and ecological values,			
	1							open space values, and			
1	1							rural amenity values.			
	1							Recent substantial			
	1							vegetation clearance and			
1	1							earthworks activities on			
1	1							the site have resulted in			
1	1							significant adverse			
	1							environmental effects which should be			
1	1							remedied and mitigated.			
	1							There is substantial			
1	1							community objection to			
1	1							this land being rezoned	1		
	1							from rural to urban.	1		1
	1							MPHRCI does not agree	1		1
	1							with Waste Management	1		
	1							New Zealand Limited that	1		
	1							"planning for the site to			
	1							be used for a resource			
	1							recovery park is well			
	1							advanced, with several			
	1							expert assessments			
	1							undertaken that			
	1							demonstrate the use is			
	1							suitable and			
	1							environmental effects			
	1							and as such it should be			
	1							considered part of the			
	1							'planned / existing urban			
	1							area'". This is a			
	1							disingenuous and arrogant statement to			
	1							make. There is			
	1							considerable community			
	1							concern about, and			
	1							opposition to, 30			
	1							Benmore Street being			
	1							considered as a			
	1							potentially appropriate	1		1
	1							site for urban	1		1
	1							development, let alone	1		1
	1							being considered	1		1
	1							appropriate for industrial	1		
	1							and waste management	1		1
	1							land uses. MPHRCI does	1		
	1							not agree with the relief	1		
	1							sought by those	1		
1	1							submitters seeking to	1		
1	1							facilitate the rezoning of	1		
1	1							30 Benmore Street to an	1		1
	1							urban zone. Similarly,	1		
	1							MPHRCI does not agree	1		
	1							with the submitters	1		
	1							reasoning. 30 Benmore	1		
	1							Street is rural land zoned	1		1
	1							for rural purposes and in	1		1
	1							no way should it be	1		
	1							considered as urban or as	1		1
1	1							'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status	1		
1	1							to prevent urban land	1		
1	1							uses on this site, or	1		
	L							uses 011 (1115 Sile, 01	L		1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the	S186.048			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas	Amend		appropriate. Large scale natural gas	Include interim measurable milestones of		Reject
Bays Inc (S186)	5100.040			5.1 All quality futes	and liquefied	Amenu		and liquefied petroleum	phasing out large scale natural gas and		hejeet
					petroleum gas –			gas should not be burnt in	liquefied petroleum gas generators.		
					permitted activity.			the climate emergency we are in.			
Guardians of the		Forest & Bird	FS23.341	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Whole submission	Reject
Bays Inc (S186)					and liquefied			help maintain, protect,		point	
					petroleum gas – permitted activity.			and restore indigenous biodiversity and			
					permitted detivity.			waterways throughout			
								Wellington and are			
								consistent with higher			
								order documents, including the NPS-FM, the			
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and			
								the RMA (including s6).			
Guardians of the		Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.471	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Reject
Bays Inc (S186)		Community			petroleum gas –			should be protected or improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects and as such it should be			
	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.049	Forest & Bird	FS23.342	5.1 Air quality rules 5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity. Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.	Amend	Support	Diesel and kerosene blends should not be burnt in the climate emergency we are in. Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Include interim measurable milestones of phasing out large scale diesel or kerosene blend generators. Allow	Whole submission point	Reject Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.472	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Image:	Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Ny Sure dia Construct Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure												
Image:												
Image:												
Section of contracts and exclusion of contracts and												
be at the network of the second of the secon												
significant devires devines devines devines de									earthworks activities on			
Image:												
Image:												
Image: set in the set in												
Image:												
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 1 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2												
her hand being reached here in the single second with Water Neugeneres New York west absorbed, with being be												
Image:												
Molified dees not algee Molified dees not algee With Addees alge be With Adde												
with Vactab Konzegnord With Konz												
New Zealand Lumber Und "planument for the state to be covery plant is well? a deconcert, with occursal a copert assessments under taken 174 a state and a state a state and a state a st									with Waste Management			
Aplaneining for the siles to Section 10												
Image:									"planning for the site to			
a donation, with several a donation has been been been been been been been bee												
Image: Section												
Image: set in the set in												
a b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b												
suitable and environment areacts and as such is should be considered and or such is should be considered as and is such is should be and as such is should be considered as and arragent statement to make. There is considered as and potentially appropriate as for units and waste management and waste management and waste management being considered or induction and waste management and waste management and waste management being considered or induction and waste management and w												
and as auch if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban auch if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) author if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban auth) author if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban author") (hoting uban a												
and as such is should be considered part of the 'planes' -kitting utuan after:"This is a disfingtrouces and utuas: There is considered bar of the considered as potentially appropriate set for utuas: is for												
Image:												
i juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint <td></td>												
area ²⁷ . This is a disingenuous and arrogent statement to make. There's conservation, and considerable community conservation, and performance. Street bong considerable considerable protectivity appropriate site for units that appropriate for industrial and waste managent appropriate for industrial and waste managent submitters seebing to submitters												
arrogent statement to makeThere is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Beemore's Cet being considered as potenticity appropriate she for urban development, lot done being considered appropriate she for urban development, lot done appropriate she for urban development, lot done appropriate she for urban development, lot done development dev												
Image: There is considerable community considerabe considerabe <td></td>												
considerable community considered a sa potentiality appropriate site for ruban development, it eatine being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter submitter being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter submitter being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter being considered a sa not agree with the rule of submitter being considered a sa not agree with the submitter being considered a sa not agree being considered a sa not agree being considered a												
concern about, and appoption to: 3.0 Bernore Street being considered as potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those sought												
a a a opposition to, 30 B b b b considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone b b b b b advestorment, let alone b b b b advestorment, let alone b b b advestormanagement let alone b advestormanagement let alone b let alone b b advestormanagement let alone advestormanagement let alone southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters see												
Bemore Street being Considered as a potentially appropriate site for undustrial advectopment, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and wase management Lind uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 3D Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRC1 does not agree with the submitters Street is rural land zoned Street is rural land zoned Street is rural land zoned Street a subal rura see, provide a suban or as 'planed urban'. A prohibited a chirdy status to prevent urban land uses on this fe, or												
considered as a performance of a second provide provide a second provide provide provide provide a second provide												
potentially appropriate site for urban development. let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHACI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the recoining of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarlay, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way subuilit be considered as urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban". A prohibilited activity status to prevent urban land.									-			
site for urban development, tet alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bernore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bernore Streets in zural land zone for urat purposes and in no way should be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land									potentially appropriate			
development, let atone being considered appropriate for industrial and wases. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the reconing of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does and agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bemmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as "planned urban". As prohibited as urban or as "planned urban". As provide das urban or as "planned urban". As provide as urban or as "planned urban". As to prevent urban land									site for urban			
appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the recording of 30 Bennore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bennore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be Considered as urban or as 'planed urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land												
and uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRC1 does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bemmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should the considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									being considered			
Image: Section of agree with the relief Submitters seeking to Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it to the Considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A 'planned urban'. A </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>appropriate for industrial</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									appropriate for industrial			
h otagree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bennore Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bennore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban or as 'plann												
sought by those submitters serving of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural lad mored for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as trban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
submittret speeking to facilitate speeking to												
Image: Street to an Image: Street to an Image: I									SUUGIIL DY THOSE			
30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
Image: Similar Simar Simar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Simi												
MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street Street Jand Zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent than land uses on this site, or												
with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purpes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
reasoning 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									reasoning. 30 Benmore			
no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									Street is rural land zoned			
considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									for rural purposes and in			
'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
uses on this site, or												
									uses on this site, or discharges to water from			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.050			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.473	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas –		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents			permitted activity.			should be protected or			
		Community						improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated. There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
			1		1			appropriate for industrial	1	1	1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, or			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.051			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.474	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	\$186.052			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and	Amend		reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of	Include interim measurable milestones of phasing out large scale coal, light fuel oil		Reject
Days IIIC (3100)					petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.			higher viscosity should not be burnt in the climate emergency we are in.	and petroleum distillates of high viscosity generators.		
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Forest & Bird	FS23.343	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Whole submission point	Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.475	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								surrounding area.			recommentation
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
		1			1	1	1		1	1	1
								Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.053			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.476	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.054			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.477	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.055			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.478	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments)	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'''. This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
					1	1				1	1
								for rural purposes and in			
								for rural purposes and in no way should it be			
								no way should it be considered as urban or as			
								no way should it be			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.056			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					cleaning – permitted activity.						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.479	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Community			activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			uoung.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Quardiana af tha	0100.057					Neutral		appropriate.	Not stated		Nexcommondation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.057			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.480	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.058			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.481	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
							l	appropriate.	1		l

riginal Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
uardians of the ays Inc (S186)	S186.059			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
uardians of the ays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.482	5.1 Air quality rules	- permitted activity. Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals - permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource 	Allow	Not stated Image: Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.060			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.483	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.061			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.484	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values,	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.062			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					blasting outside an						
					a mala a sala ma a						
					enclosed area – permitted activity.						

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.485	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive		Support	Our natural environment	Allow
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents			blasting outside an			should be protected or	
		Community			enclosed area –			improved where it is	
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being	
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our	
								remaining native bush areas and all streams and	
								rivers in the Dry Creek	
								Catchment and	
								surrounding area.	
								MPHRCI supports	
								retention of provisions	
								(and plan amendments)	
								that will help achieve this	
								outcome, and opposes	
								provisions that will not	
								help achieve or will	
								frustrate this outcome. 30	
								Benmore Street had, until	
								very recently, relatively	
								high natural character	
								and ecological values,	
								open space values, and	
								rural amenity values.	
								Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	
								earthworks activities on	
								the site have resulted in	
								significant adverse	
								environmental effects	
								which should be	
								remedied and mitigated.	
								There is substantial	
								community objection to	
								this land being rezoned	
								from rural to urban.	
								MPHRCI does not agree	
								with Waste Management	
								New Zealand Limited that	
								"planning for the site to	
								be used for a resource recovery park is well	
								advanced, with several	
								expert assessments	
								undertaken that	
								demonstrate the use is	
								suitable and	
								environmental effects	
								and as such it should be	
								considered part of the	
								'planned / existing urban	
								area'". This is a	
								disingenuous and	
								arrogant statement to	
								make. There is	
								considerable community	
								concern about, and	
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being	
								considered as a	
								potentially appropriate	
								site for urban	
								development, let alone	
								being considered	
								appropriate for industrial	
								and waste management	
								land uses. MPHRCI does	
								not agree with the relief	

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.063			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.486	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.064			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.487	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								earthworks activities on			recommendation
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the 'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.065			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					beverage production – permitted activity.						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.488	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents			beverage production			should be protected or			
	1	Community			 permitted activity. 			improved where it is			
		Incorporate ("MPHRCI")						degraded or risks being degraded, especially our			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated. There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
		1	1	1				MPHRCI does not agree			1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.066			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.489	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting - permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	\$186.067			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.490	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.068			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted	Neutral			Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.491	5.1 Air quality rules	activity. Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rivers in the Dry Creek	<u> </u>	1	
				1				Catchment and			
				l				surrounding area.	1		
				1				MPHRCI supports			
				1				retention of provisions			
				1				(and plan amendments)			
				1				that will help achieve this			
				1				outcome, and opposes			
				1				provisions that will not			
				1				help achieve or will			
				1				frustrate this outcome. 30			
				1				Benmore Street had, until			
				1				very recently, relatively			
				1				high natural character			
				1				and ecological values,			
				1				open space values, and			
				1				rural amenity values.			
				1				Recent substantial			
				1				vegetation clearance and	1		
1				1				earthworks activities on the site have resulted in	1		
1				1					1		
				1				significant adverse			
				1				environmental effects which should be	1		
				1							
				1				remedied and mitigated.			
				1				There is substantial			
				1				community objection to this land being rezoned			
				1				from rural to urban.			
				1				MPHRCI does not agree			
				1				with Waste Management			
				1				New Zealand Limited that			
				1				"planning for the site to			
				1				be used for a resource			
				1				recovery park is well			
				1				advanced, with several			
				1				expert assessments			
				1				undertaken that			
				1				demonstrate the use is			
				1				suitable and			
				1				environmental effects			
				1				and as such it should be			
				1				considered part of the			
				1				'planned / existing urban			
				1				area'". This is a	1		
				1				disingenuous and	1		
				1				arrogant statement to	1		
				1				make. There is	1		
				1				considerable community	1		
				1				concern about, and	1		
				1				opposition to, 30	1		
				1				Benmore Street being	1		
				1				considered as a	1		
				1				potentially appropriate	1		
				1				site for urban	1		
				1				development, let alone	1		
				1				being considered	1		
				1				appropriate for industrial	1		
				1				and waste management	1		
				1				land uses. MPHRCI does	1		
				1				not agree with the relief	1		
				1				sought by those	1		
				1				submitters seeking to	1		
				1				facilitate the rezoning of	1		
				1				30 Benmore Street to an	1		
				1				urban zone. Similarly,	1		
		1	1	(1	1	1	MPHRCI does not agree	1	1	1
				4							
								with the submitters			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.069			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.492	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.070			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.493	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	
Querdine effete	0400.074					Nested		prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.071			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35A: Gas processes – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.494	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35A: Gas processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								(and plan amendments)		1	recommentation
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate site for urban			
								development, let alone			
(I								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
1								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.072			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals –	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.495	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area.MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments 	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter						Sr Support/Oppose	rs subhorr, obbose	potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be			recommendation
Guardians of the	\$186.073			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions	Support		considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					for the discharge of agrichemicals.						
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.496	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.074			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.497	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Image:	Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Ny Sure dia Construct Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure Sure												
Image:												
Image:												
Section of contracts and exclusion of contracts and												
be at the network of the second of the secon												
significant devires devines devines devines de									earthworks activities on			
Image:												
Image:												
Image: set in the set in												
Image:												
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 1 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2												
her hand being reached here in the single second with Water Neugeneres New York west absorbed, with being be												
Image:												
Molified dees not algee Molified dees not algee With Addees alge be With Adde												
with Vactab Konzegnord With Konz												
New Zealand Lumber Und "planument for the state to be covery plant is well? a deconcert, with occursal a copert assessments under taken 174 a state and a state a state and a state a st									with Waste Management			
Aplaneining for the siles to Section 10												
Image:									"planning for the site to			
a donation, with several a donation has been been been been been been been bee												
Image: Section												
Image: set in the set in												
a b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b												
suitable and environment areacts and as such is should be considered and or such is should be considered as and is such is should be and as such is should be considered as and arragent statement to make. There is considered as and potentially appropriate as for units and waste management and waste management and waste management being considered or induction and waste management and waste management and waste management being considered or induction and waste management and w												
and as auch if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban auch if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) if should be considered part of the "planet") (hoting uban auth) author if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban auth) author if should be considered part of the "planet" (hoting uban author") (hoting uban a												
and as such is should be considered part of the 'planes' -kitting utuan after:"This is a disfingtrouces and utuas: There is considered bar of the considered as potentially appropriate set for utuas: is for												
Image:												
i juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint juint <td></td>												
area ²⁷ . This is a disingenuous and arrogent statement to make. There's conservation, and considerable community conservation, and performance. Street bong considerable considerable protectivity appropriate site for units that appropriate for industrial and waste managent appropriate for industrial and waste managent submitters seebing to submitters												
arrogent statement to makeThere is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Beemore's Cet being considered as potenticity appropriate she for urban development, lot done being considered appropriate she for urban development, lot done appropriate she for urban development, lot done appropriate she for urban development, lot done development dev												
Image: There is considerable community considerabe considerabe <td></td>												
considerable community considered a sa potentiality appropriate site for ruban development, it eatine being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter submitter being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter submitter being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief submitter being considered a sa not agree with the rule of submitter being considered a sa not agree with the submitter being considered a sa not agree being considered a sa not agree being considered a												
concern about, and appoption to: 3.0 Bernore Street being considered as potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those sought												
a a a opposition to, 30 B b b b considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone b b b b b advestorment, let alone b b b b advestorment, let alone b b b advestormanagement let alone b advestormanagement let alone b let alone b b advestormanagement let alone advestormanagement let alone southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to advestormanagement southters seeking to southters seeking to southters seeking to b b b b b downed b b b downed southters seeking to costadered as not agee with the subnitters reasoning: 30 Bemore Street to and southters seeking to considered as utban or as rpanohibidod stuban or as rpanohibido adve												
Bemore Street being Considered as a potentially appropriate site for undustrial advectopment, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and wase management Lind uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 3D Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRC1 does not agree with the submitters Street is rural land zoned Street is rural land zoned Street is rural land zoned Street a subal rura see, provide a suban or as 'planed urban'. A prohibited a chirdy status to prevent urban land uses on this fe, or												
considered as a performance of a second provide provide a second provide provide provide provide a second provide												
potentially appropriate site for urban development. let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHACI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the recoining of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarlay, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way subuilit be considered as urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban or as "planeed urban". A prohibilited activity status to prevent urban land.									-			
site for urban development, tet alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bernore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bernore Streets in zural land zone for urat purposes and in no way should be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land									potentially appropriate			
development, let atone being considered appropriate for industrial and wases. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the reconing of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does and agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bemmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as "planned urban". As prohibited as urban or as "planned urban". As provide das urban or as "planned urban". As provide as urban or as "planned urban". As to prevent urban land									site for urban			
appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the recording of 30 Bennore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bennore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be Considered as urban or as 'planed urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land												
and uses. MPHRC1 does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRC1 does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bemmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should the considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									being considered			
Image: Section of agree with the relief Submitters seeking to Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it to the Considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A 'planned urban'. A </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>appropriate for industrial</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									appropriate for industrial			
h otagree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bennore Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bennore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban or as 'plann												
sought by those submitters serving of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural lad mored for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as trban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
submittret speeking to facilitate speeking to												
Image: Street to an Image: Street to an Image: I									SUUGIIL DY THOSE			
30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
Image: Similar Simar Simar Similar Similar Similar Similar Similar Simi												
MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street Street Jand Zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent than land uses on this site, or												
with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purpes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
reasoning 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									reasoning. 30 Benmore			
no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									Street is rural land zoned			
considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or									for rural purposes and in			
'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
to prevent urban land uses on this site, or												
uses on this site, or												
									uses on this site, or discharges to water from			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								activities on this site, is			recommendation
	0400.075			E 4 Air and literation	Dula Door Mataria d	Ourse ant		appropriate.	Not state d		
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.075			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
,					of agrichemicals –						
					permitted activity.						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.498	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents Community			and aerial discharge of agrichemicals –			should be protected or improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
	1		1		1	1	1	development, let alone			1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.076			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity.	Support		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.499	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.077			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.500	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Benmore Street had, until			·····
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree	1		
								with Waste Management	1		
								New Zealand Limited that	1		
								"planning for the site to	1		
								be used for a resource	1		
								recovery park is well	1		
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered	1		
								appropriate for industrial	1		
								and waste management	1		
								land uses. MPHRCI does	1		
								not agree with the relief	1		
1								sought by those	1		
								submitters seeking to	1		
								facilitate the rezoning of	1		
								30 Benmore Street to an	1		
								urban zone. Similarly,	1		
								MPHRCI does not agree	1		
								with the submitters	1		
								reasoning. 30 Benmore	1		
								Street is rural land zoned	1		
								for rural purposes and in	1		
								no way should it be	1		
								considered as urban or as	1		
								'planned urban'. A	1		
								prohibited activity status	1		
								to prevent urban land	1		
								uses on this site, or	1		
								discharges to water from	1		
								activities on this site, is	1		
								appropriate.	1		

riginal Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
uardians of the ays Inc (S186)	S186.078			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
uardians of the ays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.501	5.1 Air quality rules	activity. Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to 	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Enviro NZ Services Ltd (Enviro NZ) (S209)	S209.004			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Higgins Contractors Limited (S226)	S226.003			5.1 Air quality rules	activity. Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity.	Support		Supports Rule R42 as it provides more clarity than existing rule	No relief sought.		Accept
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	\$227.001			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Notes only agrichemicals with hazardous properties are approved by the EPA and this rule effectively makes non-hazardous agrichemicals not permitted in the region. Notes that non-hazardous substances are already covered under the HSNO Act and associated EPA notices.	Delete "(d) the agrichemical is approved by the Enivronmental Protection Agency"		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		Horticulture New Zealand	FS1.019	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Non-hazardous substances are already regulated under the HSNO Act and EPA and do not require further regional rules.	Allow	Allow. Delete "(d) the agrichemical is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency"	Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.2	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	The use of non-hazardous agrichemicals should be a permitted activity.	Allow	Only agrichemicals with hazardous properties are approved by the EPA therefore non- hazardous agrichemicals would not be permitted	Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	S227.002			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Notes that 5.1.13 (e) requires the user to follow the label but there are multiple situations where users of agrichemicals may not follow the label including crops where the product has not been formally approved.
Considers it is reasonable to limit the application to the label</br 	Move clause (e) to amended R37 to make it a requirement for domestic users of agrichemicals only. Add an equivalent statement to R38 - see separate submission point.		Accept in part

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								requirements for domestic users of agrichemicals.			
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.3	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	There are many situations where agrichemicals may be applied off label for primary production and weed and pest control.	Allow	5.1.13 (e) requires the user to follow the label but there are situations where users of agrichemicals may not follow the label including crops where the product has not been formally approved.	Accept in part
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	S227.003			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers that handheld application on commercial/public properties should be subject to greater oversight than proposed. Notes handheld application is not covered by 	Rename R37 to Handheld discharge of agrichemicals on a residential property		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	\$227.004			5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Considers that requiring exact compliance with label conditions is restrictive for commercial agrichemical users.
Notes the New Zealand Standard for the Management of Agrichemicals, and that requirements have, through the Standards NZ process, been confirmed as reasonable minimum requirements for agrichemical use in workplaces but they retain flexibility for off- label use where it is appropriate.</br 	Replace 5.1.13 (e) with the following and move out of General Conditions and into R38: the discharge shall be undertaken in accordance with the good practice requirements set out in NZS 8409:2021 Section 5.2.		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		Horticulture New Zealand	FS1.018	5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	This is an appropriate amendment.	Allow	Allow replacement of 5.1.13 (e) with "the discharge shall be undertaken in accordance with the good practice requirements set out in NZS 8409:2021 Section 5.2.".	Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.1	5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	The New Zealand Standard for the Management of Agrichemicals, and that requirements have, through the Standards NZ process, been confirmed as br />reasonable minimum requirements for agrichemical use in 	Allow	NZAAA agrees that exact compliance with label conditions is restrictive for commercial agrichemical users.	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	\$227.005			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers notification requirements are too vague except for public spraying (g) and that appendix G3 requirements balances applicators and neighbour's needs. Suggests the deletion of R38(g) as Appendix G3 and G4 covers this content.	Amend R38(e)(ii) to reference NZS 8409: 2021 Appendix G3		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.4	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	NZAAA agrees that notification requirements are too vague except for public spraying (g)
and that appendix G3 requirements balances applicators and neighbour's needs</br 	Allow	Amend R38(e)(ii) to reference NZS 8409: 2021 Appendix G3 to provide clarity.	Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	\$227.006			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers if R37 is renamed to cover residential handheld application of agrichemicals, this rule must be extended to cover handheld application in non- residential application sites.	Amend name of Rule to All other agrichemical application		Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.077			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.	Support		Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.539	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.010	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that the proposed amendments increase the rule's efficacy in the CMA, and will provide greater protection for te taiao and people from discharges to air from outdoor burning by ensuring that the same level of protection is applied to the CMA as on land/property.	Allow	Allow the submission point.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.078			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.	Support		Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area	Retain as notified		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.540	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.079			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity.	Support		Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.541	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.080			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Support		the RMA (including s6). Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.542	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.081			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 tr />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.543	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	F\$37.011	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngã Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.082			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	F\$23.544	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	F\$37.012	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngã Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.083			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.545	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.013	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.084			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td>Retain as notified</td><td></td><td>Accept</td></br<>	Retain as notified		Accept
								<pre>/> br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and</br></pre>			
								effects on public access to be properly considered.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.546	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought	Accept
								biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher		unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with	
								order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.014	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
								therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these			
								activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism			
								to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.085			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 tr/>Considers the</br 	Retain as notified		Accept
								amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the			
								activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.547	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					of higher viscosity – permitted activity.			biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the DMA (including of)		unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.015	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.		Support	the RMA (including s6).NHoÖ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngã Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.086			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.548	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngã Hapū o Õtaki	FS37.016	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that			
Tama Potaka,	S245.087			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray	Support		the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan. Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of Conservation (S245)					coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.			functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly</br></br 			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.549	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	considered. Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	F\$37.017	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	NHoÕ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.088			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.550	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	F\$37.018	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.089			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.551	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout	Allow

FS decision sought Officer recommendation Accept Accept all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Support the whole of the submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept	FO de sisie e sought	045
Accept Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept	FS decision sought	
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Support the whole of the submission points. Accept Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Image: submission points. Accept		
all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Alcout Allow the submission points. Accept Image: Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: Allow the submission points. Accept		Accept
unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Image: Constraint of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept		
stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Alcept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission and all relief sought untess otherwise Accept		
points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Allow the Allow the submission points. Accept submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept		
submission points Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission points. Accept Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept		
and specific relief. Allow the submission points. Allow the submission points. Accept		
Allow the submission points. Accept Allow the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept		
submission points. submission points. Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept	and specific relief.	
submission points. submission points. Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept	Allow the	Accent
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise Accept		πουσμι
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		Accent
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		nocept
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		
the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise		Accept
unless otherwise	the submission and	
stated or where		
	stated of where	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
								the RMA (including s6).			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngã Hapũ o Õtaki	F\$37.019	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
								appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.090			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.552	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.020	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	NHoÖ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.091			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.553	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.021	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.092			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the	Retain as notified		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					processing of metals – permitted activity.			coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.554	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.022	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.		Support	NHoÖ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.093			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.555	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents,	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								including the NPS-FM, the		submission points	Tecommendation
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		and specific relief.	
								the RMA (including s6).			
ama Potaka,		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.023	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these	Allow	Allow the	Accept
1inister of					metal spraying –			activities do not have a		submission points.	
Conservation (S245)					permitted activity.			functional need to be			
								located in the CMA and			
								therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			
								likelihood of these activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the			
								operative drafting			
								provides no mechanism			
								to require resource			
								consent to consider any			
								potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The			
								CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and			
								wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection			
								through the regional plan.			
Fama Potaka,	S245.094			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Ainister of					blasting within an			functional need for this			
Conservation (S245)					enclosed booth –			activity to occur in the			
					permitted activity.			coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the			
								amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent			
								requirements enable the activity's location and			
								effects on public access			
								to be properly			
								considered.			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.556	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Minister of					blasting within an			help maintain, protect,		the submission and	
Conservation (S245)					enclosed booth -			and restore indigenous		all relief sought	
					permitted activity.			biodiversity and		unless otherwise	
								waterways throughout		stated or where	
								Wellington and are		points are	
								consistent with higher		inconsistent with	
								order documents,		Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the		submission points	
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		and specific relief.	
ama Potaka,		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.024	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these	Allow	Allow the	Accept
ama Potaka, 1inister of		пуда пари о Отакі	F337.024	5.1 All quality fulles	blasting within an		Support	activities do not have a	Allow	submission points.	Ассері
Conservation (S245)					enclosed booth –			functional need to be			
(324J)					permitted activity.			located in the CMA and			
					- since using.			therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			
								likelihood of these			
								activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the			
								operative drafting			
								provides no mechanism			
								to require resource			
				1		1	1	consent to consider any		1	
								potential effects on the			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.095			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.557	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.025	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Support	NHoÕ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.096			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 to considers the amendment gives effect</br 	Retain as notified		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access			
								to be properly considered.			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.558	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Minister of Conservation (S245)					of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.			help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher		the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's	
								order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the DMA (including of)		submission points and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.026	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
					permitted activity.			therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these			
								activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism			
								to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.097			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amondment diverse effort</br 	Retain as notified		Accept
								amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and			
								effects on public access to be properly			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.559	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic		Support	considered. Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Minister of Conservation (S245)			F323.338	5.1 An quality fulles	beverage production – permitted activity.		Support	help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and		the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise	
								waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents,		stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		submission points and specific relief.	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.027	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection	Allow
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.098			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.560	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	F\$37.028	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.		Support	NHOŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The	Allow

FS decision sought	Officer
Allow the	recommendation Accept
submission points.	Ассері
	Accept
Support the whole of	Accept
the submission and	
all relief sought	
unless otherwise stated or where	
points are	
inconsistent with	
Forest & Bird's submission points	
and specific relief.	
Allow the submission points.	Accept
submission points.	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.099			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.561	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.		Support	considered. Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.029	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.		Support	NHoÕ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngã Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.100			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 tr />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the</br></br 	Retain as notified		Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.562	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.030	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Support	NHoÖ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.101			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 br />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.563	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.031	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					processes – permitted activity.			located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.102			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area.
 Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.</br></br 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.564	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.032	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.		Support	NHoÖ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								practices, values and			
								wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
ama Potaka,	S245.103			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of	0240.100			0.1 All quality futes	discharge of			functional need for this	netalit as notified		Лосорі
Conservation (S245)					agrichemicals –			activity to occur in the			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					permitted activity.			coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the			
								amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent			
								requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access to be properly			
								considered.			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.565	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Minister of					discharge of			help maintain, protect,		the submission and	
Conservation (S245)					agrichemicals –			and restore indigenous		all relief sought	
. ,					permitted activity.			biodiversity and		unless otherwise	
								waterways throughout		stated or where	
								Wellington and are		points are	
								consistent with higher		inconsistent with	
								order documents,		Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the		submission points	
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		and specific relief.	
ama Potaka,		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.033	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these	Allow	Allow the	Accept
linister of		Nga Hapu O Olaki	1337.033	J.I All quality fules	discharge of		Support	activities do not have a	Allow	submission points.	Ассерг
Conservation (S245)					agrichemicals -			functional need to be		Submission points.	
					permitted activity.			located in the CMA and			
								therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			
								likelihood of these			
								activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the operative drafting			
								provides no mechanism			
								to require resource			
								consent to consider any			
								potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The			
								CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural			
								practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection			
								through the regional plan.			
ama Potaka,	S245.104			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
linister of					and aerial discharge			functional need for this			
Conservation (S245)					of agrichemicals –			activity to occur in the			
					permitted activity.			coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the			
								amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent			
								requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to be properly considered.			
ama Potaka, 4inister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.566	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngã Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.034	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	 The RMA (including so). NHoÖ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan. 	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	\$245.105			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Neutral		Considers this permitted activity rule should not have general application to the coastal marine area. br /> Considers it may be appropriate to apply it to the coastal marine area within the Commercial Port Area, consistent with 	Consider whether it is necessary for this rule to apply to the coastal marine area within the Commercial Port Area only.		Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.567	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.106			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity.	Amend		Supports the proposed amendments to condition (a) as it is ineffective to rely on a property boundary as the point beyond which effects of permitted activities are	Amend condition (b) wording as follows: (b) there is no emission of hazardous air pollutants as identified in Schedule L2 (air pollutants) beyond the boundary of the property or in the coastal marine area that does, or is likely to,		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								controlled.
Considers a similar amendment is required for condition (b) as concerned that the effects on human health and ecosystems from these pollutants is uncontrolled.</br 	cause adverse effects on human health, ecosystems, or property.		
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.568	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Waste Management NZ Limited (S256)	S256.013			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes –	Support		Supports Rule R35	Retain as notified.		Accept
Waste Management NZ Limited (S256)		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	FS21.118	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Neutral	Neutral	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Waste Management NZ Limited (S256)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1058	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Neutral	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.033			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions	Support		appropriate. Supports consistency	Retain as proposed.		Accept in part
. 01001 a bita (0201)	5201.000			or a nin quality futtos	for the discharge of agrichemicals.			with the NZCPS and NPSFM.			
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.360	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.652	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated. There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
, I								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
						1		to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
I											

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)	\$275.006			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes the s32 assessment indicates that this rule along with others are permitted activities within the coastal marine area but are inappropriate and there is no precedent or demand. /Notes that marine transport operates within the 	Reinstate the 'coastal icon' to Rule 5.1.10 Any further alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought.		Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)		Forest & Bird	FS23.700	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Pat van Berkel (S282)	S282.009			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Section 5.1.13 - General conditions for discharge of agrichemicals does not have a rule number	Add a rule number to Section 5.1.13 - General conditions for discharge of agrichemicals		Reject
CentrePort Limited (S93)	\$93.001			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.	Oppose	Cupant	Does not support the amendment of the rule to no longer apply in the coastal marine area. Disagrees there is "no precedent or demand" for such activities, particularly within the Commercial Port Area. Notes abrasive blasting of coastal structures is commonplace for maintenance. Considers existing permitted activity standards provide suitable controls and where they cannot be met that a different activity status should apply.	Reinstate the 'coastal' icon so that the rule applies in the coastal marine area.		Accept
CentrePort Limited (S93)		Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS28.002	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Support	Waka Kotahi has infrastructure in the coastal environment.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
CentrePort Limited (S93)	\$93.002			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Not Stated		Supports the amendment to exclude the activity as a coastal activity.	Retain as proposed.		Accept