Appendix 6: Summary Recommendation Table

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS
Onginal Submitter	Folint (SF)	(F3)	number	Flair Section	5.4.4 Uses of beds of	POSICION	POSICION	Supports the protection of beds of lakes and		
Zealandia Te Māra a				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			rivers, with specific considerations for the		
Tāne (S113)	S113.003			and rivers	general conditions.	Support		protection of inanga.	Not stated	
								Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Sup sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
								are consistent with higher order documents,		sta
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inc
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1513	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Bird
		bird	1 323.1313		general conditions.		Support	Seeks reference to NESETA to highlight to	Insert the following to the Interpretation	spe
								plan users and assist with plan	section of the chapter:	
								interpretation. Considers it relevant given the		
								potential difference in standards and activity status.	Many activities relating to the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or	
								status.	removal of an electricity transmission line	
									and ancillary structures that existed prior	
									to 14 January 2010 are controlled by the	
									Resource Management (National	
									Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009	
									(NESETA), separate to this Plan. Where the	
Transpower New					5.4.4 Uses of beds of				provisions of this Plan conflict with the	
Zealand Limited				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers				requirements of the NESETA, the	
(S177)	S177.016			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		Submission points would likely result in the	provisions of the NESETA apply.	Opp
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		sub
								degradation of waterways throughout		sou
								Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		stat
Transpower New		Farrant 9			5.4.4 Uses of beds of			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		con
Zealand Limited (S177)		Forest & Bird	FS23.759	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	lakes and rivers general conditions.		Oppose	NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Bird spe
()					8			Concerned with financial implications of (n)		
								for forestry activities, noting that the s32		
								report states that economic impact will be		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers			low to moderate. States that the NES-CF has not been considered, namely with	Amend to exclude forestry activities of	
PF Olsen Ltd (S18)	S18.013			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		management plan requirements.	compliance with the general condition (n).	
Wellington Fish and					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			Not stated		
Game Regional				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers					
Council (S188)	S188.022	Now		and rivers	general conditions.	Support		Net stated	Not stated	
		New Zealand						Not stated		
		Farm								
Wellington Fish and		Forestry			5.4.4 Uses of beds of					
Game Regional		Associatio	F00 000	5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers		0		Diseller	
Council (S188)		n (NZFFA) Manor	FS9.022	and rivers	general conditions.		Oppose	In keeping with the sanctuary environment	Disallow	Not
		Park Golf						status that the MPGC has established and is		
		Club						looking to maintain.		
Wellington Fish and		(Incorporat			5.4.4 Uses of beds of					
Game Regional Council (S188)		ed) (MPGC)	FS21.027	5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers		Sunnart		Allow	Not
		(MPGC)	r321.02/	and rivers	general conditions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain,		Not Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
								are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
Wellington Fish and		Easter 9			5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1178	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	general conditions.		Support	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Birc
		Manor		3.12.1.7010	general contaitions.			Our natural environment should be		1
Wellington Fish and		Park and			5.4.4 Uses of beds of			protected or improved where it is degraded		
Game Regional		Haywards		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			or risks being degraded, especially our		
Council (S188)		Residents	FS27.1111	and rivers	general conditions.		Support	remaining native bush areas and all streams	Allow	Not

decision sought	Officer recommendation
upport the whole of the obmission and all relief ought be unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Accept
	Accept
opose the whole of the Ibmission and all relief	Accept
nught unless otherwise ated or where points are nosistent with Forest & rd's submission points and ecific relief.	Reject
ecine reuer.	Reject
	Reject
	Accept
ot stated	Reject
ot stated upport the whole of the ubmission and all relief ought be unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Accept
ot stated	Accept

	Original	Further						Reasons			
Original Submitter	Submission	Submitter	FS	Dian contian	Dravision	SP	FS		Decision Requested	FC decision cought	Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS) Communit	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
		v						surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
		Incorporat						retention of provisions (and plan			
		е						amendments) that will help achieve this			
		("MPHRCI"						outcome, and opposes provisions that will			
)						not help achieve or will frustrate this			
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values,			
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks			
								activities on the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
								Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a			
								resource recovery park is well advanced,			
								with several expert assessments undertaken			
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing			
								urban area". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate site			
								for urban development, let alone being			
								considered appropriate for industrial and			
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief sought by those			
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.			
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the			
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is			
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no			
								way should it be considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this			
								site, is appropriate.			
								Questions whether this should be re-written			
								to allow ponding above an intake and			
								encourage a making room for rivers approach. Notes such an approach is only			
								encouraged where appropriate and doesn't			
								increase flood inundation risk to people,			
								property or infrastructure. Considers making			
								room for water allows land to flood safely,			
								while providing a range of benefits such as			
								aquatic and riparian habitat, wetland			
								restoration, carbon sequestration and			
								increased groundwater recharge. It also			
								offers to restore mana whenua connections			
								with their local water. Where streams,			
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			wetland and floodplain remain in natural state, they should be prioritised for	Amend to allow ponding above an intake		
Water New Zealand				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers			protection and providing natural hazard	and encourage a making room for rivers		
S246)	S246.024			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		mitigation.	approach.		Reject
	02-10.024				Sonoracionations.	7 interio		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes			
								and rivers rules to improve clarity.			
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			Concerned sites of significance to mana	Amend to include requirement to consult		
Rangitāne o				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	with tangata whenua for activities in the		
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.003			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		be protected.	beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons		Τ
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS
								Support in principle. Support partnership		Sup
								with mana whenua.		to b
										rule Co
										sig
		Ātiawa ki								not
		Whakaron								wil
		gotai			5.4.4 Uses of beds of					Am
Rangitāne o		Charitable		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers					req
Wairarapa (S85)		Trust	FS2.048	and rivers	general conditions.		Support		Allow	tan
								Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and		sub
								are consistent with higher order documents,		sou sta
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inc
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bir
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.457	and rivers	general conditions.		Support		Allow	spe
								NHoŌ agrees with the proposed		<u> </u>
								amendments as they improve clarity and		
								provide certainty for the protection of named		
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			birds identified in Schedule F2a (birds-rivers)		
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			or Schedule F2b (birds-lakes) at all times,		
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.003	and rivers	general conditions.		Support	rather than only during critical periods.	Allow	Allo
Graeme Iain Shellard , Sarah Elizabeth								Consider this unnecessarily limiting to prevent scouring increasing and there are		
Shellard, Cameron								many situations where planting is not		
Anthony Shellard,								appropriate. Notes gabions and		
Finlay David								concrete blocks are used throughout the		
ShellardGraeme				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.5 Uses of beds of			Hutt and Mangaroa valley since in many	Reinstate the use of erosion protection	
Shellard (S202)	S202.006			and rivers	lakes and rivers.	Oppose		situations they are appropriate.	structures.	
								Considers issues around fish passage are		
								not addressed (Rule R151A). Seeks for		
								discretion to be available to require fish		
Lynn Cadenhead				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			passage for activities which have existed for	Enable discretion to require fish passage	
(S22)	S22.018			and rivers	diverting water	Amend		10 years or more, where practical.	where practical.	
								Considers issues around fish passage are		
								not addressed (Rule R151A). Seeks for discretion to be available to require fish		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			passage for activities which have existed for	Enable discretion to require fish passage	
Neil Deans (S29)	S29.005			and rivers	diverting water	Amend		10 years or more, where practical.	where practical.	
								Considers long term consents for permanent		-
								diversion allows for review of the consent		
								and effects, including consultation with		
Rangitāne o				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			mana whenua and other parties to ensure		
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.001			and rivers	diverting water	Oppose		the diversion remains appropriate.	Delete proposed rule.	
								Support in principle.		Cor
										cor
										div
		Ātiawa ki								the inc
		Whakaron								ma
		gotai								par
Rangitāne o		Charitable		5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and					div
Wairarapa (S85)		Trust	FS2.046	and rivers	diverting water		Oppose		Disallow	app
								Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		SOL
								are consistent with higher order documents,		sta
		1		5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inc
					L 5 / 8 Dammind and			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bir
Rangitāne o		Forest &	E000 455		0				Allerin	
•		Forest & Bird	FS23.455	and rivers	diverting water		Support		Allow	spe
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)			FS23.455		0		Support	NHoŌ agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that Proposed Bule 1514 is inappropriate as it	Allow	spe
•			FS23.455		0		Support	Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it	Allow	spe
•			FS23.455		0		Support	Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it removes the mechanism to review the	Allow	
•			FS23.455		0		Support	Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it	Allow	Allo

	Officer
FS decision sought	recommendation
Supports proposed changes	
to beds of lakes and rivers	
rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of	
significance to mana whenua	
not identified in Schedule C	
will not be protected.	
Amend to include	
requirement to consult with	
tangata whenua.	Reject
Support the whole of the submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise	
stated or where points are	
inconsistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	
specific relief.	Reject
Allow the submission point.	Reject
· · · ·	
	Reject
	Rojoot
	Reject
	Reject
	Painat
Considers long term	Reject
consents for permanent	
diversion allows for review of	
the consent and effects,	
including consultation with	
mana whenua and other	
parties to ensure the	
diversion remains appropriate.	Accept
Support the whole of the	Accept
submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise	
stated or where points are	
inconsistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	.
specific relief.	Reject
Allow the submission point	
and relief sought to delete the	
proposed rule.	Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons		T
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS c
-								Supports the protection of native bird species habitat and the outcome general condition 5.4.4(n) seeks to achieve but is concerned the proposed amendment would require an ecologist to determine when the named birds are roosting, nesting, or		
								foraging and this work would take significant time which has the potential for adverse effects on the environment while this work is underway. Considers there needs to be more certainty to plan users as to when works can	Provide more certainty to plan users in general condition (n) so that a third party is not required to assess when named birds are identified as nesting, roosting and foraging, at the work site.	
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)	S151.047			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Amend		occur, and when they cannot, and less reliance on a third party to confirm if a condition can be met.	Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.	
(3151)	5151.047				conditions.	Amend		Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout	atternative, additional of consequential.	Opp
Wellington Water Ltd		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	Beds of lakes and rivers general			Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		sou; poir Fore
(S151)		Bird	FS23.1374	and rivers	conditions. Beds of lakes and		Oppose	s6). Not stated	Disallow	poir
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.157			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	rivers general conditions.	Neutral			Not stated	
	S183.157					Neutral		Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being	Not stated	
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	Beds of lakes and rivers general			considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as		
(S183)	I)	FS27.157	and rivers	conditions.	1	Support	'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status	Allow	Not

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
ppose the whole of the bmission and all relief ught except for where wints are consistent with wrest & Bird's submission	
ints and specific relief.	Accept
	No recommendation
ot stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		
								Concerned with significant change to activities in (n). Amended wording implies		
								that at no point are works able to be		
								undertaken if identified birds are roosting		
								and nesting even outside the critical period.		
					Beds of lakes and			Notes there are some birds which may nest		
Upper Hutt City				5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			year-round, on potentially significant infrastructure such as bridges which require	Retain as operative, do not amend as	
Council (S225)	S225.050			and rivers	conditions.	Oppose		maintenance.	proposed.	
								The amendment to point (n) implies that		
								works could not be undertaken if identified		
								birds are in the area for any purpose. As		
		Woodridge			Beds of lakes and			some birds may nest year round this clause may prevent the maintenance or		
Upper Hutt City		Holdings		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general		Not	construction of significant infrastructure		Reta
Council (S225)		Ltd	FS16.061	and rivers	conditions.		stated	even outside the critical period.	Allow	ame
. ,								Submission points would likely result in the		Opp
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		subr
								degradation of waterways throughout		SOUE
					Beds of lakes and			Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		state cons
Upper Hutt City		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Bird
Council (S225)		Bird	FS23.878	and rivers	conditions.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	spec
					Beds of lakes and			Supports greater clarity.		
E				5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.034	New		and rivers	conditions.	Support		Not stated	Retain as proposed.	
		Zealand						Not stated		
		Farm								
		Forestry			Beds of lakes and					
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.361	and rivers	conditions.		Oppose	Our natural anvironment abouild be	Disallow	Not
								Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that		
		Manor						"planning for the site to be used for a		
		Park and						resource recovery park is well advanced,		
		Haywards						with several expert assessments undertaken		
		Residents						that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
		Communit						environmental effects and as such it should		
	1	У	1	1				be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
		Incornerat						Lurbon groo''' This is a disingence and		
		Incorporat e			Beds of lakes and			urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is		
		Incorporat e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	Beds of lakes and rivers general			urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and		

S decision sought Cofficer	ndation
Reject	
etain as operative, do not nend as proposed. Reject	
ppose the whole of the Ibmission and all relief	
ought unless otherwise ated or where points are	
onsistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
pecific relief. Accept	
Accept	
at at at a d	
ot stated Reject	

	Original	Further						Reasons		
Ovicinal Cubmittee	Submission	Submitter	FS	Diamagatian	Dravision	SP	FS		Desision Remuseted	
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	espeidered as a potentially appropriate site	Decision Requested	FS d
								considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		
								Considers urgent works may not be able to	Provide more certainty to plan users in	
								wait for an ecologists assessment and	general condition (n) so that a third party is	
					Beds of lakes and			clause (n) may lead to poor environmental	not required to assess when named birds	
Civil Contractors				5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			outcomes.	are identified as nesting, roosting and	
New Zealand (S285)	S285.013			and rivers	conditions.	Amend			foraging, at the work site.	
(0200)								I also support the rest of the points made in		
								the Civil Contractors New Zealand		
								submission, and ask you to consider the		
								impacts this plan change will have on		
								horizontal construction across the region,		
								which will increase costs across the board,		
								including housing, transport and water		
								construction. Overall, I feel direct		
								consultation with the civil construction		
								industry has been absent in the creation of		
								this plan, and is required to make sure the		
								Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I		
								also feel that the consultation process is		
		Goodman			Beds of lakes and			very difficult to follow, ruling most		
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			contractors out of having a say. Accordingly,		Plan
New Zealand (S285)		s Limited	FS35.013	and rivers	conditions.		Support	I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	ahea
		PCL					Cuppert	Will impact on the ability to undertake		Cons
		Contractin						emergency works or result in non-		not b
		g Ltd as			Beds of lakes and			conformance		ecolo
Civil Contractors		part of		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					claus
New Zealand (S285)		CCNZ	FS32.005	and rivers	conditions.		Support		Allow	envir
		Multi Civil			Beds of lakes and			Not stated		-
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					Requ
New Zealand (S285)		s Ltd	FS49.013	and rivers	conditions.	-	Support		Allow	does
								Notes research indicates that ~2% of New		
								Zealand waterways are naturally soft-		
								bottomed, but due to sediment inputs into		
								waterways, currently ~ 20% of New Zealand		
								rivers and streams now have soft sediment		
								beds, rather than natural hard-bottomed,		
								stony beds they historically displayed		
								(Clapcott et al, 2011). Considers restoring		
Wellington Fish and								silted streams should be a priority and		
Game Regional				General	General comments -			restoration/monitoring should show this		
Council (S188)	S188.010			comments	water bodies	Not Stated		restoration over time.	Not stated	4
		New						Not stated		
		Zealand								
		Farm								
Wellington Fish and		Forestry								
Game Regional		Associatio		General	General comments -					
Council (S188)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.010	comments	water bodies		Oppose		Disallow	Not
		Manor						In keeping with the sanctuary environment		
		Park Golf						status that the MPGC has established and is		1
		Club						looking to maintain.		1
Wellington Fish and		(Incorporat								
Game Regional		ed)		General	General comments -					
Council (S188)	1	(MPGC)	FS21.015	comments	water bodies	1	Support		Allow	Not s

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Reject
Considers urgent works may not be able to wait for an	
ecologist's assessment and clause (n) may lead to poor	
environmental outcomes.	Reject
Request that plan change does not go ahead	Reject
	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation

	Original	Further	50			CD	50	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS d
onginat Submitter		(13)	number	T tall Section		Tosición	TOSICION	Submission points will help maintain,		Supp
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		subn
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		soug
								are consistent with higher order documents,		state
Wellington Fish and								including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Game Regional		Forest &		General	General comments -			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird'
Council (S188)		Bird	FS23.1166	comments	water bodies		Support		Allow	spec
								Our natural environment should be		
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		
								"planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
		Park and						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
		Residents						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit						rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		у						way should it be considered as urban or as		
		Incorporat						'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
Wellington Fish and		е						to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Game Regional		("MPHRCI"		General	General comments -			discharges to water from activities on this		
Council (S188))	FS27.1099	comments	water bodies		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not s
								Notes the inclusion of 'pipeline' removes		
								'pipes' from this Rule, as they have different	Amend to refer to both pipes and pipelines	
					Rule R128: New			dictionary definitions. Considers pipes have	Other relief as may be required to address	
Wellington Water Ltd				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			lesser effects than pipelines and should be	the issues identified, including relief that is	
(S151)	S151.048			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		specifically mentioned.	alternative, additional or consequential.	
								Submission points would likely result in the		
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		Oppo
								degradation of waterways throughout		subn
								Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		soug
					Rule R128: New			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		point
Wellington Water Ltd		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –	1	1	NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Fores
(S151)		Bird	FS23.1375	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	point

	Officer
FS decision sought	recommendation
Support the whole of the	
submission and all relief	
sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are	
nconsistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	
specific relief.	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation
	Deleast
	Reject
Oppose the whole of the	
submission and all relief	
sought except for where	
points are consistent with	
Forest & Bird's submission	
points and specific relief.	Accept

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons			Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								Considers removal of reference to	Reinstating the words "structure,		
								"structure" from chapeau significantly	including" to the chapeau of the		
								reduces range of structures permitted and it	rule.Alternatively, amend the rule as follows:Rule R128: New		
								is unclear whether National Grid transmission lines traversing rivers or lakes	structures – permitted activity		
								will be permitted under rule. Considers	placement of a new structure,		
								reference to "cable" in rule is not sufficient	including sediment retention weirs,		
								to provide for National Grid, as National Grid	pipeline s (such as a natural		
								cables are generally transmission lines	gas pipeline), ducts, cables,		
								located below ground (not those lines above	National Grid transmission line,		
								ground). Seeks either the reference to	hydrological and water quality		
								"new structure" in chapeau is retained, or specific reference to National Grid	monitoring equipment, fences,		
								transmission lines is provided for in rule,	erosion protection structures , debris arrestor structures or a and		
								wherever the term "cable" is mentioned. br	<t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
								/>Alternatively, considers matter would be			
								addressed by reinstating words "structure,	vegetative bank edge protection		
								including" to chapeau of rule. Notes	except a structure		
								minor error in chapeau, where "structure	permitted by Rules R125, R126 and R127		
								associated with vegetative bank edge	and passive flap gates, that is fixed		
								protection" should be amended to refer to	in, on, under, or over the bed of any river or		
								structure in singular (rather than plural).	lake, excluding activities regulated by		
									the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation		
									Forestry) Regulations 2017 except general		
									condition 5.4.4(n), including any		
									associated:		
									river or lake bed, and(b) deposition		
									on the river or lake bed, and(c)		
									diversion of water, and (d) discharge of		
									sediment to water, and(e)		
									temporary damming of water,excluding activities		
									regulated by the Resource Management		
									(National Environmental Standards for		
									Plantation Forestry) Regulations 2017		
									except when general condition 5.4.4(n)		
									applies,is a permitted activity,		
									provided the following conditions are		
									met:(f) the activity shall comply		
									with the beds of lakes and rivers general		
									conditions specified above in Section 5.4.4, and(g) the activity does not		
									occur within a site identified in Schedule C		
									(mana whenua), excluding adding		
									pipelines, or cables, 		
									or National Grid transmission lines		
									to an existing structure or		
									providing for fish refuge, and(h) the		
									activity does not occur in or on any part of		
									the river bed identified as inanga spawning habitat in Schedule F1 (rivers/lakes),		
									and		
									occupy a bed area any greater than $10m^2$,		
									except for where the structure is		
									associated with vegetative bank edge		
									protection, or a pipeline, duct, fence,		
									or cable, or National		
									Grid transmission line which is		
									located over or under the bed where no bed		
									occupancy limits apply, and		
									catchment upstream of any sediment		
									retention weir is not greater than 200ha, and(k) the height of any sediment		
									retention weir from the upstream base to		
anspower New					Rule R128: New				the crest of the weir at the time of		
ealand Limited				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				construction shall be no more than 0.5m,		
177)	S177.017			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			and		Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons		1
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS de
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		other than a customary weir, in, on over or under the bed of any river or connected area must also comply with the following: (i) the fall height of the weir must be no more than 0.5m, and(ii) the slope of the weir must be no steeper than 1:30, and(iii) the face of the weir must have roughness elements that are mixed grade rocks of 150 to 200mm diameter and irregularly spaced no more than 90mm apart to create a hydraulically diverse flow structure across the weir (including any wetted margins), and(iv) the weir's lateral profile must be V-shaped, sloping up at the banks, and with a low-flow channel in the centre, with the lateral cross-section slope between 5° and 10°, and(m) for all new weirs (except customary weirs), non-passive flap gates, aprons and ramps, placed in rivers or connected areas, the information requirements of Regulations 62, 64, 65, and 68 as relevant for the structure, of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 shall be provided as set out in the regulations.	
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)		Forest & Bird	FS23.760	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity. Rule R128: New		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6). Not stated	Freshwater) Regulations 2020.	Oppo subm sough stated consi Bird's speci
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.158			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	structures – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated	
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R128: New structures -			Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a		
(S183))	FS27.158	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	resource recovery park is well advanced,	Allow	Not s

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Oppose the whole of the	
submission and all relief sought unless otherwise	
stated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Αυτομί
	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation

	Original	Further						Reasons		
Original Submitter				Plan section	Provision				Decision Requested	FS d
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no	Decision Requested	FSd
								way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this		
					Rule R128: New			site, is appropriate. Amend for clarity and certainty as per pNRP mediated agreement	Amend as follows: Retain "except a structure permitted by rules R125, R126 and R127"	
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.045			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	structures – permitted activity.	Amend			Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.	
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1001	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.			Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppo subn soug state cons Bird'
							Oppose	Considers the removal of the reference to "structure" from the chapeau of the rule significantly reduces the range of structures that are permitted under the rule. States this change removes the ability to construct minor structures within the bed of a river without the need for resource consent and will make the following permitted structures a discretionary activity under R145: - Intake structures, - Outfall 		spec
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)	S2.014			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Oppose		broad range of structures is inappropriate. States there is no acknowledgment of the efficiency of requiring resource consent for	Changes are rejected and Rule R128 is retained as operative	

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
Oppose the whole of the	
submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	
consistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	A
specific relief.	Accept
	Deinet
	Reject

	Original	Further	50			CD.	50	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS d
								all minor structures that are no longer permitted. 		
								Considers the proposed change will remove		
								the ability to construct minor structures		
								within the bed of a river without the need for resource consent, noting examples of		
								structures that would be come discretionary		
								activities. Notes the existing rule provides for		
								minor structures (less than 10m2) and		
					Dula D100 Name			considers discretionary activity status for		
Winstone Aggregates				5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R128: New structures –			such structures onerous. Considers insufficient explanation is provided for the	Changes are rejected and Rule R128 is	
(S206)	S206.030			and rivers	permitted activity.	Oppose		change in the s32 evaluation.	retained as operative.	
								Notes Rule R128 was originally promulgated		
								to reduce the need to obtain permits for		
								minor structures needed in the bed of a		
					Rule R128: New			watercourse/lake provided the works met the general standards. Opposes the changes		
Rosco Ice Cream Ltd				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			as they reduce the scope of activities that		
(S220)	S220.009			and rivers	permitted activity.	Oppose		would be permitted.	Retain the operative rule R128.	
								To give effect to Policies 7 and 9 NPSFM and	Ensure that activities avoid the loss of river	
								Policy 30 NRP.	extent and values and that the habitats of	
									indigenous species are protected.	
Environmental					Rule R128: New				Introduce TASs for habitat, natural form	
Defence Society Inc.				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				and character which activities must	
(S222)	S222.013			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			achieve.	
		New						Not stated		
		Zealand Farm								
Environmental		Forestry			Rule R128: New					
Defence Society Inc.		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -					
(S222)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.194	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not
								Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Sup subi
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
								are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
Environmental					Rule R128: New			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Defence Society Inc.		Forest &	F000 100	5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –		Cummont	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Bird
(S222)		Bird	FS23.169	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be	Allow	spe
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
		Manor Park and						which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to		1
		Haywards						this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
	1	Residents						MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
		nesidents					1	-		1
		Communit						Management New Zealand Limited that		
		Communit y						"planning for the site to be used for a		
Environmente'					Dulo D100 Nove			"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced,		
Environmental Defence Society Inc.		Communit y		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R128: New structures –			"planning for the site to be used for a		

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
	Deject
	Reject
	Reject
ot stated	Accept
pport the whole of the	100000
bmission and all relief ught unless otherwise	
ated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and	
ecific relief.	Reject
ot stated	Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons			
Original Submittor	Submission	Submitter	FS	Dianasation	Dravision	SP	FS		Decision Requested	FC decision courth	Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate site			
								for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and			
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief sought by those			
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning			
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.			
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is			
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no			
								way should it be considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this			
Environmental	<u> </u>				Rule R128: New			site, is appropriate. This is too onerous for Council water			
Defence Society Inc.		Wellington		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –			infrastructure.			
(S222)		Water Ltd	FS39.001	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not stated	Accept
								Supports the exclusion of "erosion	Remove permitted status for "debris		
								protection structures", however, notes the	arrestor structures" and "structures		
								provision for "debris arrestor structures" and	associated with vegetative edge		
								"structures associated with vegetative edge protection" up to 10m2 can alter the natural	protection" to ensure these activities are managed in a way that maintains and		
								character and habitat of rivers. Considers	restores physical habitat in riverbeds and		
								this frustrates the ability to achieve	natural form and character.		
								outcomes of NPSFM Policy 7 and 9; and NRP			
								Policy 30.	Introduce a condition of the rule (in the		
									general conditions) that requires compliance with Target Attribute States.		
					Rule R128: New				Any further consequential or alternative		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				relief as may be necessary and appropriate		
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.035			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			to address concerns.		Reject
		New						Not stated			
		Zealand Farm									
		Forestry			Rule R128: New						
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -						
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.362	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not stated	Accept
								Our natural environment should be			
								protected or improved where it is degraded			
								or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams			
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan			
								amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will			
								not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values,			
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks			
		Manor Bark and						activities on the site have resulted in			
		Park and Haywards						significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated.			
		Residents						There is substantial community objection to			
		Communit						this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
		у						MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
		Incorporat						Management New Zealand Limited that			
		e ("MPHRCI"			Rule R128: New			"planning for the site to be used for a			
		I UMPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -	1					1
Forest & Bird (S261)			FS27.654	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Decision Requested	F5 0
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		
								Notes that inclusion of 'pipeline' excludes		
					Rule R128: New			'pipes' from this Rule as they have different		
Civil Contractors				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			dictionary definitions. Considers that pipes		
New Zealand (S285)	S285.014			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		should be specifically mentioned.	Refer to both pipes and pipelines.	
								I also support the rest of the points made in		
								the Civil Contractors New Zealand		
								submission, and ask you to consider the		
								impacts this plan change will have on		
								horizontal construction across the region,		
								which will increase costs across the board,		
								including housing, transport and water		
								construction. Overall, I feel direct		
								consultation with the civil construction		
								industry has been absent in the creation of		
								this plan, and is required to make sure the		
								Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I		
								also feel that the consultation process is		
		Goodman			Rule R128: New			very difficult to follow, ruling most		
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			contractors out of having a say. Accordingly,		Plan
New Zealand (S285)		s Limited	FS35.014	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	ahea
								Not stated		Note
										'pipe
		PCL								from
		Contractin								differ
		g Ltd as			Rule R128: New					defin
Civil Contractors		part of		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -					pipes
New Zealand (S285)		CCNZ	FS32.006	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support		Allow	ment
		Multi Civil			Rule R128: New			Not stated		
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –					Requ
New Zealand (S285)		s Ltd	FS49.014	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support		Allow	does
								Considers there is misalignment with the	Amend to: has the same	
								NES-CF.	meaning as given in section 3 of the	
China Forest Group					Rule R128: New				Resource Management (National	
Company New				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				Environmental Standards tor Commercial	
Zealand Ltd (S288)	S288.032			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			Forestry Regulations 2023.	
								Submission points would likely result in the		Oppo
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		subm
								degradation of waterways throughout		soug
							1	Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		state
China Forest Group					Rule R128: New			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		cons
Company New		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Bird's
Zealand Ltd (S288)		Bird	FS23.056	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	spec
					Rule R128: New			Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o				5.5 Water	structures -			and rivers rules to improve clarity.	with tangata whenua for activities in the	
	S85.004			allocation rules	permitted activity.			Concerned sites of significance to mana	beds of lakes and rivers.	

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Reject
Notes that inclusion of 'pipeline' excludes 'pipes'	
from this Rule as they have different dictionary	
definitions. Considers that pipes should be specifically	
mentioned	Reject
Request that plan change	.
does not go ahead	Reject
	Reject
Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	
consistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Reject

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS	Disconstinu	President	SP	FS	Reasons	Desision Democrated	FO de dela constante	Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								be protected.			
								Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Support the whole of the submission and all relief	
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sought unless otherwise	
								are consistent with higher order documents,		stated or where points are	
					Rule R128: New			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inconsistent with Forest &	
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.5 Water	structures -			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird's submission points and	
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.458	allocation rules	permitted activity.		Support	NULOÕ europaste the prepagad emergidamente	Allow	specific relief.	Reject
								NHoŌ supports the proposed amendments that improve certainty by identifying which			
								activities the rule applies to. This ensures			
								that other structures are not inadvertently			
								captured by the rule and considered			
								permitted activities. We agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that there is no			
								protection for sites of significance to mana			
								whenua that are not recorded (in a regional			
								plan) are protected from new structures. We		Allow the submission point	
								also agree that there are many reasons why		and relief sought to amend	
								mana whenua may choose not to include certain sites within a plan and our		the rule to include an additional mechanism to	
					Rule R128: New			relationship with significant sites and the		consult with mana whenua	
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū		5.5 Water	structures -			sites themselves should not be limited or		for activities in the beds of	
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.004	allocation rules	permitted activity.		Support	adversely impacted as a result.	Allow	lakes and rivers.	Reject
					Rule R132: Minor			Not stated			
Yvonne Weeber				5.4 Beds of lakes	sand and gravel extraction –						
	S183.159			and rivers	permitted activity.	Neutral			Not stated		No recommendation
								Our natural environment should be			
								protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush areas and all streams			
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan			
								amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will			
								not help achieve or will frustrate this			
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks			
								activities on the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
								Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a			
								resource recovery park is well advanced,			
								with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects and as such it should			
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing			
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and			
		Manor Park and						arrogant statement to make. There is			
		Park and Haywards						considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
		Residents						considered as a potentially appropriate site			
		Communit						for urban development, let alone being			
		v						considered appropriate for industrial and			
		Incorporat			Rule R132: Minor			waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
Yvonne Weeber		Incorporat e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction –			waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning			

	Original	Further						Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS de
Onginal Submitter	Form (SF)	(F3)	Inninnei	Flair Section	PIOVISION	POSICION	POSICION	Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the	Decision Requested	FSU
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.	Amend general conditions in accordance	
								Notes compliance with target attribute states is required to give effect to NPSFM	with relief sought for Rule R128.	
								Policy 7 and 9; the requirement to manage	with felici sought for huite hize.	
								water bodies to achieve all five components	Include target states for habitat and natural	
								of ecosystem health; and NRP Policy 30.	form and character.	
					Rule R132: Minor					
					sand and gravel				Any further consequential or alternative	
				5.4 Beds of lakes	extraction -				relief as may be necessary and appropriate	
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.036			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			to address concerns.	
		New						Not stated		
		Zealand								
		Farm			Rule R132: Minor					
		Forestry			sand and gravel					
Forest & Bird (S261)		Associatio n (NZFFA)	FS9.363	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	extraction – permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not s
10103(@Difd (0201)			100.000		permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be	Disatiow	TNOU 3
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		1
								"planning for the site to be used for a		1
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		1
								with several expert assessments undertaken		1
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
		Manor						waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		1
		Park and						not agree with the relief sought by those		1
		Haywards						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		1
		Residents						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		1
		Communit						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		1
		У						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		1
		Incorporat			Rule R132: Minor			rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		е			sand and gravel			way should it be considered as urban or as		
			1	1	1	1	1	(planned urban) A prohibited activity status	1	1
		("MPHRCI"	FS27.655	5.4 Beds of lakes	extraction -			'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	D · · ·
	Reject
	_
Not stated	Accept
Not stated	Reject
ווטו זומוכע	NEJEUL

	Original	Further	50			0.0		Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS d
								discharges to water from activities on this		
					Rule R132: Minor			site, is appropriate. This term is broadly used but poorly		+
					sand and gravel			understood, making it unclear what		
		Wellington		5.4 Beds of lakes	extraction -			measures are needed to achieve the desired		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Water Ltd	FS39.033	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose	outcome	Disallow	Not
								The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further		
								target attribute staters are unnecessary and		
								unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute		
					Rule R132: Minor			states, or altering timelines will further		
		Wallington		5.4 Beds of lakes	sand and gravel			complicate matters, particularly for		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Wellington Water Ltd	FS39.056	and rivers	extraction – permitted activity.		Oppose	sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Nots
			10001000		portinition doctivity:		oppood	Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes		11011
					Rule R132: Minor			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					sand and gravel			Concerned sites of significance to mana	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o	005 005			5.5 Water	extraction -	Amond		whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	with tangata whenua for activities in the	
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.005			allocation rules	permitted activity.	Amend		be protected. Submission points will help maintain,	beds of lakes and rivers.	Supr
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Supp subn
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		soug
					Rule R132: Minor			are consistent with higher order documents,		state
					sand and gravel			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.459	5.5 Water	extraction – permitted activity.		Support	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Bird' spec
		Bilu	F323.439	allocation rules	permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees with Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Allow	spec
								that the proposed amendment to remove		
								reference to the bed of a lake improves the		
								clarity and interpretation of the rule and		
								ensures that those activities are more		
								appropriately dealt with under Rule R145. We agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that		
								there is no protection for sites of significance		
								to mana whenua that are not recorded (in a		
								regional plan) from new structures. We also		
								agree that there are many reasons why mana		
								whenua may choose not to include certain		
								sites within a plan and our relationship with significant sites and the sites themselves		
								should not be limited or adversely impacted		
								as a result. We note that gravel extraction		
								from a waterbody and other activities that		
								disturb the bed of a waterbody can have a		
								direct impact on our relationship with the		
								water and sites in, on or near the waterbody by restricting access to the waterbody or		Allov and i
								site, reducing water quality, disrupting		the r
								natural flows and damaging sites or values		addit
					Rule R132: Minor			associated with cultural practices. This		cons
					sand and gravel			negatively impacts our ability to uphold our		for
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū	5007.005	5.5 Water	extraction -		0	inherited obligation as kaitiaki to protect and	Allerer	activ
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.005	allocation rules	permitted activity. Rule R133: Gravel		Support	care for te taiao. Considers the Kaiwharawhara estuary may	Allow	and
					extraction for flood			benefit from the installation of planter boxes		
					protection purposes			alongside concrete walls as this would		
					or erosion mitigation			enhance inanga spawning habitat, provide		
					inside sites of			flow variation alongside the stream and have	Amend rule to consider hard infrastructural	
Zealandia Te Māra a	0440.004			5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			overall positive environmental outcomes.	improvements required to dechannelise	
Tāne (S113)	S113.004			and rivers	discretionary activity. Rule R133: Gravel	Amend		Submission points will holp maintain	river banks.	Supr
					extraction for flood			Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Supp subn
					protection purposes			and waterways throughout Wellington and		soug
					or erosion mitigation			are consistent with higher order documents,		state
					inside sites of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		incor
Zealandia Te Māra a		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird'
Tāne (S113)	1	Bird	FS23.1514	and rivers	discretionary activity.		Support		Allow	spec

decision sought	Officer recommendation
ot stated	Accept
ot stated	Accept
	Reject
upport the whole of the Ibmission and all relief	
ought unless otherwise ated or where points are	
consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and becific relief.	Reject
low the submission point nd relief sought to amend	
e rule to include an	
lditional mechanism to onsult with mana whenua	
r stivities in the beds of lakes	
nd rivers.	Reject
	Reject
upport the whole of the	
ubmission and all relief bught be unless otherwise	
ated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and	
ecific relief.	Reject

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS d
0					Rule R133: Gravel			Not stated	•	
					extraction for flood					
					protection purposes					
					or erosion mitigation					
Yvonne Weeber				5.4 Beds of lakes	inside sites of significance –					
(S183)	S183.160			and rivers	discretionary activity.	Neutral			Not stated	
(0100)	5105.100				discretionary activity.	Noutrat		Our natural environment should be	Not stated	
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
		Park and						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		1
		Residents			Rule R133: Gravel			submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit			extraction for flood			rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		У			protection purposes			way should it be considered as urban or as		
		Incorporat			or erosion mitigation			'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
		е			inside sites of			to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Yvonne Weeber		("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			discharges to water from activities on this		
(S183))	FS27.160	and rivers	discretionary activity.		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not
					Rule R133: Gravel			Considers effects can be managed through		
					extraction for flood			conditions on a controlled activity		
					protection purposes				Provide for gravel extraction in Schedule F1	
					or erosion mitigation				rivers as a controlled activity	
Moiroron Fastante				E 4 Dede of Labor	inside sites of				Make any conservation are described	
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.046			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	significance – discretionary activity.	Amond			Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.	
	3193.046			anunvers	Rule R133: Gravel	Amend		Submission points would likely result in the	necessary to give effect to the relief sought.	Opp
					extraction for flood			further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		subr
					protection purposes			degradation of waterways throughout		soug
					or erosion mitigation			Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		state
					inside sites of			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		cons
Wairarapa Federated		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Bird
			1			1	1		1	1 200
Farmers (S193)		Bird	FS23.1002	and rivers	discretionary activity.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	spec

6 decision sought	Officer recommendation
	No recommendation
at atotad	No rocommondation
ot stated	No recommendation
	Reject
ppose the whole of the	
ubmission and all relief bught unless otherwise	
ated or where points are	
onsistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
pecific relief.	Accept

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.014			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Include Schedules A1 - A3 in Rule R133 so that activities inside a scheduled area require discretionary consent.	
Environmental Defence Society Inc.		New Zealand Farm Forestry Associatio	500 405	5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance –		0	Not stated	Disellow	Net
(S222) Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		n (NZFFA) Forest & Bird	FS9.195 FS23.170	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	discretionary activity. Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Oppose Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Not s Supp subr soug state incol Bird' spec
Environmental Defence Society Inc.		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e		E 4 Pada of Jakas	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of			Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as propriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban law tark from activitios on this in a site, or disaberder to an urban zone.		
Defence Society Inc. (S222)		("MPHRCI")	FS27.906	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	significance – discretionary activity.		Support	discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not

6 decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
	A
ot stated upport the whole of the ubmission and all relief ought unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Accept Reject
ot stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS c
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.037			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.			Considers the discretion provided by the rule should be provided to other water bodies, particularly Outstanding Waterbodies, to ensure consistency with NPSFM Policy 7 and 9; and NRP Policy 30.	Include Schedule A1, A2, and A3 in R133 as areas where excavation, deposition, or disturbance are discretionary activities. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.	
		New Zealand Farm Forestry Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance –			Not stated		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e	FS9.364	and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as propriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is irural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not
Forest & Bird (S261)		("MPHRCI")	FS27.656	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	significance – discretionary activity.		Support	discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not
Donald Skerman (S37)	\$37.002			5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Support		Disturbances to the riverbed should be minimised to reduce sediment, and work should be limited around periods where recreational use is most likely. The public should be notified when water is unsuitable for swimming due to these activities	Retain as notified	

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Deinet
	Reject
ot stated	Accept
ot stated	Reject
	Accept

	Original	Further						Reasons		Τ
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS c
onginacousinittei		(10)	Indiniber	T tull Scotion	Rule R133: Gravel	1 USILION	residen	Seeks to change the activity status from		
					extraction for flood			discretionary to restricted discretionary to		
					protection purposes			recognise the benefits of gravel extraction for		
					or erosion mitigation			flood management.		
Follow Here and the					inside sites of					
Fulton Hogan Ltd (S43)	S43.005			5.4 Beds of lakes	significance – discretionary activity.	Amend			Change the activity status to restricted discretionary	
(343)	343.005			and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel	Amenu		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes		
					extraction for flood			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					protection purposes			Concerned sites of significance to mana		
					or erosion mitigation			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not		
					inside sites of			be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o				5.5 Water	significance –				with tangata whenua for activities in the	
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.006			allocation rules	discretionary activity.	Amend		Outration in a sinte will be be assisted in	beds of lakes and rivers.	
					Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood			Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Sup
					protection purposes			and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
					or erosion mitigation			are consistent with higher order documents,		state
					inside sites of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.5 Water	significance –			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.460	allocation rules	discretionary activity.		Support		Allow	spec
								NHoŌ agrees with Rangitāne o Wairarapa		
								that the proposed amendments improve		1
								clarity and remove incorrect cross-		
								references to Schedules in the Coastal and Marine Area (CMA). We agree that there is no		
								protection for sites of significance to mana		
								whenua that are not recorded (in a regional		
								plan) from excavation, deposition, or		
								disturbance associated with gravel		
								extraction for flood protection purposes or		
								erosion mitigation. We support Rangitāne o		
								Wairarapa whakaaro that there are many		
								reasons why mana whenua may choose not		
								to include certain sites within a plan and our relationship with significant sites and the		
								sites themselves should not be limited or		
								adversely impacted as a result. We note that		
								gravel extraction from a waterbody and other		
								activities at certain times or from particular		
								locations that disturb the bed of a waterbody		
								can have a direct impact on our relationship		
								with the water and sites in, on or near the		Allo
					Rule R133: Gravel			waterbody by restricting access to the		and
					extraction for flood protection purposes			waterbody or site, reducing water quality, disrupting natural flows and damaging sites		the r addi
					or erosion mitigation			or values associated with cultural practices.		cons
					inside sites of			This negatively impacts our ability to uphold		for
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū		5.5 Water	significance -			our inherited obligation as kaitiaki to protect		activ
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.006	allocation rules	discretionary activity.		Support	and care for te taiao.	Allow	and
					Rule R133: Gravel			Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes		
					extraction for flood			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					protection purposes			Concerned that sites of significance to mana		
					or erosion mitigation			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not		
Pongitāno o				E E Wotor	inside sites of			be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.007			5.5 Water allocation rules	significance – discretionary activity.	Amend			with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.	
Wallarapa (565)	000.007			allocation fulles	Rule R133: Gravel	Ameria		Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
					extraction for flood			protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
					protection purposes			and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
					or erosion mitigation			are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
					inside sites of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.5 Water	significance –			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.461	allocation rules	discretionary activity.		Support		Allow	spec
Yvonne Weeber	S183.161			5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R145: All other			Not stated		
(S183)				and rivers	uses of river and lake	Neutral			Not stated	

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
	Reject
Ipport the whole of the Ibmission and all relief	
ught unless otherwise ated or where points are	
consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
ecific relief.	Reject
low the submission point Id relief sought to amend	
e rule to include an Iditional mechanism to	
onsult with mana whenua r	
tivities in the beds of lakes d rivers.	Reject
ipport the whole of the	Reject
bmission and all relief	
ated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Reject
	No recommendation

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS de
					beds – discretionary activity.					
					uotivity.			Our natural environment should be		
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that		
								"planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
		Manar						not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor Park and						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
		Residents						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit						rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		y						way should it be considered as urban or as		
		Incorporat			Rule R145: All other			'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
		e			uses of river and lake			to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Yvonne Weeber		("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary			discharges to water from activities on this		
(S183))	FS27.161	and rivers	activity.		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not s
								Considers prohibited activity status provides	Remove the word "note".	
								most certainty to achieve the policy direction		
								of the NPSFM and RMA, including protection	Make placement of passive gates	
								of fish passage.	prohibited (i.e., strengthen rule beyond	
					Rule R145: All other				NES minimum).	
					uses of river and lake				Any further consequential or alternative	
				5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary				relief as may be necessary and appropriate	
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.038			and rivers	activity.	Amend			to address concerns.	
	0201.000	New			douvrey.	Amond		Not stated		
		Zealand								
		Farm			Rule R145: All other					
		Forestry			uses of river and lake					
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary					
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.365	and rivers	activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not s
		Manor			Rule R145: All other			Our natural environment should be		
		Park and			uses of river and lake			protected or improved where it is degraded		
		Haywards		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds - discretionary			or risks being degraded, especially our		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Residents	FS27.657	and rivers	activity.	1	Support	remaining native bush areas and all streams	Allow	Not s

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	No voce monore detion
Not stated	No recommendation
	Reject
Not stated	Accept
Not stated	Reject

	Original Submission	Further	FS			SP	FS	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS d
onginatousinition	r oline (or y	Communit	Indiniber				1 controll	and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		1.00
		У						surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
		Incorporat						retention of provisions (and plan		
		е						amendments) that will help achieve this		
		("MPHRCI"						outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
)						not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		
								"planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		<u> </u>
		Waka			Rule R145: All other			Oppose due to lack of s32 assessment and a		
		Kotahi NZ		E 4 De de séletere	uses of river and lake			discretionary activity status is appropriate.		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Transport	FS28.066	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	beds – discretionary		Oppose		Disallow	Not
Folest & bild (3201)		Agency	F320.000		activity.		Oppose	Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes	Disatiow	Not
					Rule R145: All other			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					uses of river and lake			Concerned sites of significance to mana	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o				5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	with tangata whenua for activities in the	
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.008			and rivers	activity.	Amend		be protected.	beds of lakes and rivers.	
								Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
					Rule R145: All other			are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
					uses of river and lake			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.462	and rivers	activity.		Support		Allow	spe
								Supports the rule, specifically the approach		
								that the ongoing diversion can only be	Retain as notified.	
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			considered permitted if all of the conditions	Other relief as may be required to address	
Wellington Water Ltd	0154 040			5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –	Current		of the resource consent to lawfully establish	the issues identified, including relief that is	
(S151)	S151.049			and rivers	permitted activity.	Support		the diversion have been complied with.	alternative, additional or consequential.	0.57
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		Opp subi
										- SUD'
Wellington Water Ltd		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			degradation of waterways throughout		sou

1	1
FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Not stated	Accept
Support the whole of the	Reject
Support the whole of the submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	
inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and	
specific relief.	Reject
Oppose the whole of the	Accept
submission and all relief sought except for where	
points are consistent with	Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons		Τ
Oniginal Cubraittan	Submission	Submitter	FS	Dianastian	Ducudation	SP	FS		Desision Remuseted	FC .
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	order documents, including the NPS-FM, the	Decision Requested	FS d Fore
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		poin
								s6).		pom
								Considers Rule 5.4.8 should be a		
								discretionary activity to provide fish passage		
								over artificial barriers such as dams even for		
Te Awarua o Porirua								those that have existed for 10 years or more -		
Harbour and								rather than reverting to permitted activity		
Catchments								status. Considers if these are consented		
Community Trust & Guardians of					Pulo P151A: Opgoing			using permitted activity status, this will authorise a past decision which leaves a		
Pāuatahanui Inlet				5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river –			negative legacy and does not address the		
(S176)	S176.007			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		issues around fish passage that remain.	Make Rule R151A a discretionary activity	
(0170)					Rule R151A: Ongoing			Not stated		
Yvonne Weeber				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –					
(S183)	S183.162			and rivers	permitted activity.	Neutral			Not stated	
								Our natural environment should be		
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		
								"planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
		Park and						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
		Residents						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit						rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		y Incorporat						way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
		Incorporat e			Rule R151A: Ongoing			to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Yvonne Weeber		e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			discharges to water from activities on this		
(S183)			FS27.162	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not
/		,			in the dealing i			Concerns permitted activity status for	Retain river diversions as discretionary or	
								permanent diversions over 10 years risks	restricted activities to allow for uptake of	
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			resulting in inappropriate structures creating	new river management systems, ideas, and	
Wellington Fish and										
Wellington Fish and Game Regional				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			potential adverse effects to waterbody flow,	materials as knowledge and technologies	

decision sought	Officer recommendation
orest & Bird's submission bints and specific relief.	
	Reject
	No recommendation
ot stated	No recommendation
	Deinet
	Reject

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
								passage issues, which are contrary to Te Mana o te Wai, NPS-FM, and RMA.		
Wellington Fish and		New Zealand Farm Forestry			Rule R151A: Ongoing			Not stated		
Game Regional		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –					
Council (S188)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.023	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Nots
Wellington Fish and Game Regional		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporat ed)		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river –			In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.		
Council (S188)		(MPGC)	FS21.028	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support		Allow	Nots
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1179	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Supp subr soug state inco Bird'
		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat						Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		spec
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		e ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1112	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Nots

S decision sought	Officer recommendation
ot stated	Accept
ot stated	Reject
upport the whole of the ubmission and all relief bught be unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
pecific relief.	Reject
ot stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Wellington Fish and	Folit (SF)	(13)	number	Flair Section	Rule R151A: Ongoing	FUSICION	FUSICION	Permitted activity status is appropriate for		15 decision sought	recommendation
Game Regional		Wellington		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			diversions that come within the rule.			
Council (S188)		Water Ltd	FS39.276	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not stated	Accept
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			Supports the inclusion of this rule which will negate the requirement for long term river			
Horokiwi Quarries				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			diversions where that diversion is			
Ltd (S2)	S2.015			and rivers	permitted activity.	Support		permanent.	Retain as notified.		Accept
Minster Address				E 4 De de effetee	Rule R151A: Ongoing			Supports the rule as it will negate the			
Winstone Aggregates (S206)	S206.031			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Support		requirement for long term river diversions where they are permanent.	Retain as notified		Accept
(0200)	0200.001				pormitted detivity.			Considers the rule is overly complicated and			
								does not see the reason for differentiating			
								between existing diversions associated with	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river –		
								a structure and existing diversions which do not include structures, or the need to	permitted activity An existing permanent diversion, that was		
								differentiate between diversions consented	lawfully established by way of a resource		
								before or after PC1 became operative. <br< td=""><td>consent is a permitted activity [provided]</td><td></td><td></td></br<>	consent is a permitted activity [provided]		
								/>Seeks for clause (a) the words "as at the	all of the conditions of the resource		
								date of this rule becoming operative" should	consent which lawfully established the		
								be deleted, and a specific date inserted as the date at which the rule becomes operative	diversion have been complied with. 		
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			will be harder to determine as time	Delete all use of the words "as at the date		
Woodridge Holdings				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			progresses. This change should be made	of this rule becoming operative" in PC1 and		
Ltd (S255)	S255.019			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		where ever this or a similar reference is used.	insert a specific date.		Accept in part
								Considers the rule enables an established			
								diversion to continue indefinitely, regardless of size or effects. Considers such diversion			
								should be subject to assessments and			
								consenting to manage effects on natural			
								form, character and health; ecosystem	Make a discretionary activity.		
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			health; and Te Mana o te Wai. Considers	Any further concernantial or alternative		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			permitted activity status inappropriate and that greater scope is required to manage	Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate		
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.039			and rivers	permitted activity.	Oppose		potential ongoing effects.	to address concerns.		Reject
		New						Not stated			
		Zealand									
		Farm Forestry			Rule R151A: Ongoing						
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –						
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.366	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not stated	Accept
								Our natural environment should be			
								protected or improved where it is degraded			
								or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams			
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan			
								amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will			
								not help achieve or will frustrate this			
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values,			
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks			
								activities on the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
		Manor						which should be remedied and mitigated.			
		Park and						There is substantial community objection to			
		Haywards Residents						this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
		Communit						MPHRCI does not agree with waste Management New Zealand Limited that			
		y						"planning for the site to be used for a			
		Incorporat						resource recovery park is well advanced,			
		е			Rule R151A: Ongoing			with several expert assessments undertaken			
Forest & Divel (0004)		("MPHRCI"	F007 050	5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river -		Current	that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not state -	Deiest
Forest & Bird (S261))	FS27.658	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	environmental effects and as such it should	Allow	Not stated	Reject

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons			Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing			
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate site			
								for urban development, let alone being			
								considered appropriate for industrial and			
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief sought by those			
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning			
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.			
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the			
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is			
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no			
								way should it be considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this			
								site, is appropriate.			
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			Supports lawfully established activities as a			
Fulton Hogan Ltd				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			permitted activity			
(S43)	S43.006			and rivers	permitted activity.	Support			Retain as notified		Accept